



ASSOCIATION CONNECTING
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES

IPC-A-600G

Acceptability of Printed Boards

IPC-A-600G

July 2004

A standard developed by IPC

Supersedes IPC-A-600F
November 1999

2215 Sanders Road, Northbrook, IL 60062-6135
Tel. 847.509.9700 Fax 847.509.9798
www.ipc.org

The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision.
Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/790-5372.

Thank you for your continued support.



ASSOCIATION CONNECTING
ELECTRONICS INDUSTRIES

IPC-A-600G

Acceptability of Printed Boards

Developed by the IPC-A-600 Task Group (7-31a) of the Product Assurance Committee (7-30) of IPC

Supersedes:

IPC-A-600F - November 1999

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
2215 Sanders Road
Northbrook, Illinois
60062-6135
Tel 847 509.9700
Fax 847 509.9798

Acknowledgement

Any standard involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the A-600 Task Group (7-31a) of the Product Assurance Committee (7-30) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of IPC extend their gratitude. who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of IPC extend their gratitude. Special thanks goes to the members of the D-30 Rigid Printed Board Committee for their efforts in establishing acceptance criteria for printed boards.

Product Assurance Committee Chair Mel Parrish Soldering Technology International	IPC-A-600 Task Group Chair Mark Buechner BAE Systems	IPC Rigid Printed Board Committee Chair C. Don Dupriest Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control
---	---	--

Technical Liaisons of the
IPC Board of Directors

Peter Bigelow
IMI Inc.

Sammy Yi
Flextronics International

Mary E. Bellon, Boeing Satellite Systems Gerald Leslie Bogert, Bechtel Plant Machinery, Inc. Scott A. Bowles, Sovereign Circuits Inc. Ronald J. Brock, NSWC - Crane Dennis J. Cantwell, Printed Circuits Inc. Byron Case, L-3 Communications Pei-Liang Chen, Shanghai Printronics Circuit Phillip Chen, Northrop Grumman Canada Corporation Christine R. Coapman, Delphi Delco Electronics Systems W. Glenn Colescott, Delphi Delco Electronics Systems Dan Colvin, Tyco PCG/Logan Division Christopher Conklin, Lockheed Martin Corporation David J. Corbett, Defense Supply Center Columbus Dennis DeBord, Nortel Networks Center 1 C. Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control Theodore Edwards, Dynaco Corp. Werner Engelmaier, Engelmaier Associates, L.C. Gary M. Ferrari, C.I.D.+, Ferrari Technical Services Lionel Fullwood, WKK Distribution Ltd. Mahendra S. Gandhi, Northrop Grumman Thomas F. Gardeski, E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co. Floyd L. Gentry, Sandia National Labs Albuquerque Constantino J. Gonzalez, ACME Training & Consulting	Robert J. Gordon, Visteon Corp. Hue T. Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company Ken Greene, Siemens Energy and Automation Michael R. Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company Samy Hanna, AT&S Austria Technologie & Systemtechnik Michael E. Hill, Colonial Circuits Phillip E. Hinton, Hinton 'PWB' Engineering Kazuo Hirasaka, Eastern Manufacturing Ted J. Jones, NSWC - Crane Thomas E. Kemp, Rockwell Collins Arshad Khan, Celestica International Inc. Clarence W. Knapp, Litton Systems Inc. Jason Koch, Robisan Laboratory Inc. Raymond L. Kolonchuk, Jr., Defense Supply Center Columbus Nick Koop, Minco Products Inc. Leo P. Lambert, EPTAC Corporation Michael G. Luke, C.I.D., Raytheon Company Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc. Wesley R. Malewicz, Draeger Medical Systems, Inc. Susan S. Mansilla, Robisan Laboratory Inc. Rene R. Martinez, Northrop Grumman Brian C. McCrory, Delsen Testing Laboratories Ronald McInay, Medtronic Physio-Control Randy McNutt, Northrop Grumman Renee J. Michalkiewicz, Trace Laboratories - East	James H. Moffitt, Moffitt Consulting Services Bob Neves, Microtek Laboratories Benny Nilsson, Ericsson AB Debora L. Obitz, Trace Laboratories - East William Ortloff, B/C Engineering Donald G. Pucci, Gould Electronics Inc. Jim R. Reed, Dell Computer Corporation Randy R. Reed, Merix Corporation Gary C. Roper, Eagle Circuits Inc. Visa Ruuhonen, Nokia Networks Russell S. Shepherd, Microtek Laboratories Lowell Sherman, Defense Supply Center Columbus Akikazu Shibata, Ph.D., JPCA-Japan Printed Circuit Association Hans L. Shin, Pacific Testing Laboratories, Inc. Frank A. Stetson, Training & Certification Specialists Roger Su, L-3 Communications Gail Tennant, Celestica Ronald E. Thompson, NSWC - Crane Dung Q. Tiet, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company Robert Vanech Rob Walls, C.I.D.+, PIEK International Education Centre BV Ronnie Walker, Northrop Grumman Clark F. Webster, ALL Flex Inc. Robert B. Whitehouse, Sanmina-SCI Corporation Philip W. Wittmer, Delphi Delco Electronics Systems
--	---	--

PWB on front and back cover photo courtesy of U.S. Robotics

Table of Contents

Acknowledgement	i	2.7 Printed Contacts	29
1.0 Introduction	1	2.7.1 Surface Plating - General	29
1.1 Scope	1	2.7.1.1 Surface Plating - Wire Bond Pads ...	30
1.2 Purpose	1	2.7.2 Burrs on Edge-Board Contacts	31
1.3 Approach To This Document	1	2.7.3 Adhesion of Overplate	32
1.4 Classification	1	2.8 Marking	33
1.5 Acceptance Criteria	2	2.8.1 Etched Marking	34
1.6 Applicable Documents	3	2.8.2 Screened or Ink Stamped Marking	35
1.7 Dimensions And Tolerances	3	2.9 Solder Resist (Solder Mask)	36
1.8 Terms And Definitions	3	2.9.1 Coverage Over Conductors (Skip Coverage)	37
1.9 Workmanship	3	2.9.2 Registration to Holes (All Finishes) ...	38
2.0 Externally Observable Characteristics	4	2.9.3 Registration to Other Conductive Patterns	39
2.1 Board Edges	4	2.9.3.1 Ball Grid Array (Solder Resist- Defined Lands)	40
2.1.1 Burrs	4	2.9.3.2 Ball Grid Array (Copper-Defined Lands)	41
2.1.1.1 Nonmetallic Burrs	5	2.9.3.3 Ball Grid Array (Solder Dam)	42
2.1.1.2 Metallic Burrs	6	2.9.4 Blisters/Delamination	43
2.1.2 Nicks	7	2.9.5 Adhesion (Flaking or Peeling)	44
2.1.3 Haloing	8	2.9.6 Waves/Wrinkles/Ripples	45
2.2 Base Material	9	2.9.7 Tenting (Via Holes)	46
2.2.1 Weave Exposure	10	2.9.8 Soda Strawing	47
2.2.2 Weave Texture	11	2.10 Pattern Definition - Dimensional	48
2.2.3 Exposed/Disrupted Fibers	12	2.10.1 Conductor Width and Spacing	48
2.2.4 Pits and Voids	13	2.10.1.1 Conductor Width	49
2.3 Base Material Subsurface	14	2.10.1.2 Conductor Spacing	50
2.3.1 Measling	17	2.10.2 External Annular Ring - Measurement	51
2.3.2 Crazeing	18	2.10.3 External Annular Ring - Supported Holes	52
2.3.3 Delamination/Blister	19	2.10.4 External Annular Ring - Unsupported Holes	53
2.3.4 Foreign Inclusions	20	2.11 Flatness	54
2.4 Solder Coatings and Fused Tin Lead	21	3.0 Internally Observable Characteristics	55
2.4.1 Nonwetting	21	3.1 Dielectric Materials	56
2.4.2 Dewetting	22	3.1.1 Laminate Voids (Outside Thermal Zone)	56
2.5 Holes – Plated-Through – General	23	3.1.2 Registration/Conductors to Holes ...	58
2.5.1 Nodules/Burrs	23	3.1.3 Clearance Hole, Unsupported, to Power/Ground Planes	59
2.5.2 Pink Ring	24	3.1.4 Delamination/Blister	60
2.5.3 Voids - Copper Plating	25	3.1.5 Etchback	61
2.5.4 Voids - Finished Coating	26		
2.5.5 Lifted Lands - (Visual)	27		
2.6 Holes – Unsupported	28		
2.6.1 Haloing	28		

Table of Contents

3.1.5.1	Etchback	62	4.1 Flexible And Rigid-Flex Printed Wiring	98	
3.1.5.2	Negative Etchback	63	4.1.1	Coverlayer Coverage - Coverfilm Separations	99
3.1.6	Smear Removal	64	4.1.2	Coverlayer/Cover Coat Coverage Adhesives	100
3.1.7	Dielectric Material, Clearance, Metal Plane for Supported Holes	65	4.1.2.1	Adhesive Squeeze-Out - Land Area	100
3.1.8	Layer-to-Layer Spacing	66	4.1.2.2	Adhesive Squeeze-Out - Foil Surface	101
3.1.9	Resin Recession	67	4.1.3	Access Hole Registration for Coverlayer and Stiffeners	102
3.2 Conductive Patterns - General		68	4.1.4	Plating Defects	103
3.2.1	Etching Characteristics	69	4.1.5	Stiffener Bonding	104
3.2.2	Print and Etch	71	4.1.6	Transition Zone, Rigid Area to Flexible Area	105
3.2.3	Surface Conductor Thickness (Foil Plus Plating)	72	4.1.7	Solder Wicking/Plating Migration Under Coverlayer	106
3.2.4	Foil Thickness - Internal Layers	72	4.1.8	Laminate Integrity	107
3.3 Plated-Through Holes - General		73	4.1.8.1	Laminate Integrity - Flexible Printed Wiring	108
3.3.1	Annular Ring - Internal Layers	75	4.1.8.2	Laminate Integrity - Rigid-Flex Printed Wiring	109
3.3.2	Lifted Lands - (Cross-Sections)	77	4.1.9	Etchback (Type 3 and Type 4 Only)	110
3.3.3	Foil Crack - (Internal Foil) "C" Crack	78	4.1.10	Smear Removal (Type 3 and 4 Only)	111
3.3.4	Foil Crack - (External Foil)	79	4.1.11	Trimmed Edges/Edge Delamination	112
3.3.5	Plating Crack - (Barrel) "E" Crack	80	4.2 Metal Core Printed Boards	113	
3.3.6	Plating Crack - (Corner) "F" Crack	81	4.2.1	Type Classifications	114
3.3.7	Plating Nodules	82	4.2.2	Spacing Laminated Type	115
3.3.8	Copper Plating Thickness - Hole Wall	83	4.2.3	Insulation Thickness, Insulated Metal Substrate	116
3.3.9	Plating Voids	84	4.2.4	Insulation Material Fill, Laminated Type Metal Core	117
3.3.10	Solder Coating Thickness (Only When Specified)	85	4.2.5	Cracks in Insulation Material Fill, Laminated Type	118
3.3.11	Solder Resist Thickness	86	4.2.6	Core Bond to Plated-Through Hole Wall	119
3.3.12	Wicking	87	4.3 Flush Printed Boards	120	
3.3.12.1	Wicking, Clearance Holes	88	4.3.1	Flushness of Surface Conductor ...	120
3.3.13	Innerlayer Separation - Vertical (Axial) Microsection	89	5.0 Cleanliness Testing	121	
3.3.14	Innerlayer Separation - Horizontal (Transverse) Microsection	90	5.1 Solderability Testing	122	
3.3.15	Material Fill of Blind and Buried Vias	91	5.1.1	Plated-Through Holes	123
3.4 Plated-Through Holes - Drilled		92	5.2 Electrical Integrity	124	
3.4.1	Burrs	93			
3.4.2	Nailheading	94			
3.5 Plated-Through Holes - Punched		95			
3.5.1	Roughness and Nodules	96			
3.5.2	Flare	97			
4.0 Miscellaneous		98			

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Introduction

1.1 SCOPE

This document describes the preferred, acceptable, and non-conforming conditions that are either externally or internally observable on printed boards. It represents the visual interpretation of minimum requirements set forth in various printed board specifications, i.e.; IPC-6010 series, ANSI/J-STD-003, etc.

1.2 PURPOSE

The visual illustrations in this document portray specific criteria of the requirements of current IPC specifications. In order to properly apply and use the content of this document, the printed wiring product should comply with the design requirements of the applicable IPC-2220 series document and the performance requirements of the applicable IPC-6010 series document. In the event the printed wiring product does not comply with these or equivalent requirements, then the acceptance criteria should be as defined between a user and supplier agreement as part of the procurement documentation.

The illustrations in this document portray specific criteria relating to the heading and subheading of each page, with brief descriptions of the acceptable and nonconforming conditions for each product class. (See 1.4 Classification.) The visual quality acceptance criteria are intended to provide proper tools for the evaluation of visual anomalies. The illustrations and photographs in each situation are related to specific requirements. The characteristics addressed are those that can be evaluated by visual observation and/or measurement of visually observable features.

Supported by appropriate user requirements, this document should provide effective visual criteria to quality assurance and manufacturing personnel.

This document cannot cover all of the reliability concerns encountered in the printed board industry; therefore, attributes not addressed in this issue **shall** be agreed upon between user and supplier. The value of this document lies in its use as a baseline document that may be modified by expansions, exceptions, and variations which may be appropriate for specific applications.

This is a document for minimum acceptability requirements and is not intended to be used as a performance specification for printed board manufacture or procurement.

In the event of a conflict between the requirements of this document and the applicable product performance specification, the following precedence **shall** be used:

- a) Approved Printed Board Procurement Document
- b) Generic Specifications

c) Applicable Performance Specification

d) Acceptability of Printed Boards (IPC-A-600)

When making accept and/or reject decisions, the awareness of documentation precedence must be maintained.

This document is a tool for observing how a product may deviate due to variation in processes. Refer to IPC-9191.

IPC-A-600 provides a useful tool for understanding and interpreting Automated Inspection Technology (AIT) results. AIT may be applicable to the evaluation of many of the dimensional characteristics illustrated in this document.

1.3 APPROACH TO THIS DOCUMENT

Characteristics are divided into two general groups:

- Externally Observable (Section 2)
- Internally Observable (Section 3)

“Externally observable” conditions are those features or imperfections which can be seen and evaluated on or from the exterior surface of the board. In some cases, such as voids or blisters, the actual condition is an internal phenomenon and is detectable from the exterior.

“Internally observable” conditions are those features or imperfections that require microsectioning of the specimen or other forms of conditioning for detection and evaluation. In some cases, these features may be visible from the exterior and require microsectioning in order to assess acceptability requirements.

Specimens should be illuminated during evaluation to the extent needed for effective examination. The illumination should be such that no shadow falls on the area of interest except those shadows caused by the specimen itself. It is recommended that polarization and/or dark field illumination be employed to prevent glare during the examination of highly reflective materials.

1.4 CLASSIFICATION

This document recognizes that the acceptable extent of imperfection for specific characteristics of printed boards may be determined by the intended end use. For this reason, three general classes have been established based on functional reliability and performance requirements.

Class 1 — General Electronic products: Includes consumer products, some computer and computer peripherals suitable for applications where cosmetic imperfections are not important, and the major requirement is function of the completed printed board.

Class 2 — Dedicated Service Electronic Products: Includes communications equipment, sophisticated business machines, and instruments where high performance and