



**ASA/ANSI S12.68-2007  
(Editorially Corrected and Republished  
July 2009)**

**Reaffirmed by ANSI  
September 29, 2017**

**AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD**

# **Methods of Estimating Effective A-Weighted Sound Pressure Levels When Hearing Protectors are Worn**

**Secretariat:**

**Acoustical Society of America**

**Approved on August 20, 2007**

**American National Standards Institute, Inc.**

## **Abstract**

This standard specifies three methods, in ascending order of complexity of use and potential accuracy, for the estimation of the sound pressure levels that are effective when a hearing protector is worn. The application of the procedures in turn requires an estimate of the real-ear attenuation of the device for groups of users and an estimate of the noise levels to which the users are exposed. The simplest method is the Noise Level Reduction Statistic for use with A-weighting (NRSA) that can be directly subtracted from an A-weighted sound level or sound exposure estimate. A more accurate procedure is the Noise Level Reduction Statistic, Graphical (NRSG) that requires measurements of both the A- and C-weighted sound levels or exposures, and the application of a set of graphical data. Potentially the most accurate approach is the octave-band method utilizing the octave-band real-ear attenuation and noise measurement data. Each of the simplified ratings, the NRSA and NRSG, is to be computed at both the 80th and 20th percentiles to reflect the range of performance to be expected based on the variation in the attenuation data.

**ANSI/ASA S12.68-2007**

(Editorially Corrected and Republished July 2009)

Reaffirmed by ANSI  
September 29, 2017

Reaffirmed by ANSI  
September 5, 2012

---

---

---

## AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

### **Methods of Estimating Effective A-Weighted Sound Pressure Levels When Hearing Protectors are Worn**

---

---

---

ANSI/ASA S12.68-2007

Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise

---

Standards Secretariat  
Acoustical Society of America  
35 Pinelawn Road, Suite 114 E  
Melville, NY 11747-3177

The American National Standards Institute, Inc. (ANSI) is the national coordinator of voluntary standards development and the clearinghouse in the U.S.A. for information on national and international standards.

The Acoustical Society of America (ASA) is an organization of scientists and engineers formed in 1929 to increase and diffuse the knowledge of acoustics and to promote its practical applications.



AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

**Methods of Estimating Effective A-Weighted Sound  
Pressure Levels When Hearing Protectors are Worn**

**Secretariat:**

**Acoustical Society of America**

**Approved August 20, 2007**

**American National Standards Institute, Inc. (ANSI)**

**Abstract**

This standard specifies three methods, in ascending order of complexity of use and potential accuracy, for the estimation of the sound pressure levels that are effective when a hearing protector is worn. The application of the procedures in turn requires an estimate of the real-ear attenuation of the device for groups of users and an estimate of the noise levels to which the users are exposed. The simplest method is the Noise Level Reduction Statistic for use with A-weighting ( $NRS_A$ ) that can be directly subtracted from an A-weighted sound level or sound exposure estimate. A more accurate procedure is the Noise Level Reduction Statistic, Graphical ( $NRS_G$ ) that requires measurements of both the A- and C-weighted sound levels or exposures, and the application of a set of graphical data. Potentially the most accurate approach is the octave-band method utilizing the octave-band real-ear attenuation and noise measurement data. Each of the simplified ratings, the  $NRS_A$  and  $NRS_G$ , is to be computed at both the 80<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> percentiles to reflect the range of performance to be expected based on the variation in the attenuation data.

## AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS ON ACOUSTICS

The Acoustical Society of America (ASA) provides the Secretariat for Accredited Standards Committees S1 on Acoustics, S2 on Mechanical Vibration and Shock, S3 on Bioacoustics, and S12 on Noise. These committees have wide representation from the technical community (manufacturers, consumers, trade associations, organizations with a general interest, and government representatives). The standards are published by the Acoustical Society of America as American National Standards after approval by their respective Standards Committees and the American National Standards Institute.

These standards are developed and published as a public service to provide standards useful to the public, industry, and consumers, and to Federal, State, and local governments.

Each of the accredited Standards Committees [operating in accordance with procedures approved by American National Standards Institute (ANSI)] is responsible for developing, voting upon, and maintaining or revising its own Standards. The ASA Standards Secretariat administers Committee organization and activity and provides liaison between the Accredited Standards Committees and ANSI. After the Standards have been produced and adopted by the Accredited Standards Committees, and approved as American National Standards by ANSI, the ASA Standards Secretariat arranges for their publication and distribution.

An American National Standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered and that a concerted effort be made towards their resolution.

The use of an American National Standard is completely voluntary. Their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he or she has approved the Standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the Standards.

NOTICE: This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken periodically to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this Standard.



Acoustical Society of America  
ASA Secretariat  
35 Pinelawn Road, Suite 114E  
Melville, New York 11747-3177  
Telephone: 1 (631) 390-0215  
Fax: 1 (631) 390-0217  
E-mail: [asastds@aip.org](mailto:asastds@aip.org)

© 2007 by Acoustical Society of America. This standard may not be reproduced in whole or in part in any form for sale, promotion, or any commercial purpose, or any purpose not falling within the provisions of the U.S. Copyright Act of 1976, without prior written permission of the publisher. For permission, address a request to the Standards Secretariat of the Acoustical Society of America.

## Contents

1	Scope and Applications.....	1
1.1	Scope.....	1
1.2	Applications.....	1
2	Normative references.....	3
3	Terms and definitions.....	3
4	Measurement of Sound Attenuation of Hearing Protectors.....	4
5	Noise Level Reduction Statistic for Use with A-Weighting ( $NRS_A$ ).....	4
5.1	Introduction.....	4
5.2	Calculation.....	4
5.3	Application.....	6
6	Noise Level Reduction Statistic, Graphical ( $NRS_G$ ).....	7
6.1	Introduction.....	7
6.2	Calculation.....	7
6.3	Application.....	9
7	Octave-Band Method.....	10
7.1	Introduction.....	10
7.2	Calculation.....	10
7.3	Application.....	11
	Annex A (normative) Noise Spectra Used in Calculating the $NRS_A$ and $NRS_G$ .....	13
	Annex B (informative) Spreadsheet Illustrating Calculation and Use of the $NRS_A$ and $NRS_G$ .....	18
	Annex C (informative) Example of the Calculation of the Assumed Protection Values and the Use of the Octave-Band Method.....	21
	Annex D (informative) Calculation of the Confidence Interval for the $NRS_{A80}$ .....	22
	Bibliography.....	27

## Tables

Table 1	– Values of $\alpha$ for various protection performance values $x$ .....	6
Table 2	– Calculation of $L'_{A80}$ using the octave band method in a representative noise with greater than average low-frequency content ( $B = 5.0$ dB).....	11
Table A.1	– Spectra used to compute $NRS_A$ and $NRS_G$ , with the particular spectrum (NIOSH#43) used in example calculations in Clauses 5.2, 6.2, and 7.2.....	13
Table C.1	– Calculation of assumed protection values for a protection performance of 80%.....	21

Table D.1 – Values of $t$ for 95% two-tailed confidence and various numbers of subjects $P$ .....	24
Table D.2 – Typical widths of the confidence interval ( $2 \times U_{95}$ ) .....	25

## Figures

Figure 1 – Computation of the $NRS_G$ .....	8
Figure 2 – Example of using the $NRS_G$ graph and table .....	10
Figure B.1 – “Input” sheet of Excel rating computation workbook .....	19
Figure B.2 – “Ratings” output sheet of Excel rating computation workbook .....	20
Figure D.1 – Convergence of the estimate of the expanded uncertainty $U_{95}$ with increasing $Z$ .....	25

## Foreword

*[This Foreword is for information only, and is not a part of the American National Standard ANSI/ASA S12.68-2007 American National Standard Methods of Estimating Effective A-Weighted Sound Pressure Levels When Hearing Protectors are Worn].*

This standard comprises a part of a group of definitions, standards, and specifications for use in noise. It was developed and approved by Accredited Standards Committee S12 Noise, under its approved operating procedures. Those procedures have been accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). The Scope of Accredited Standards Committee S12 is as follows:

*Standards, specifications, and terminology in the field of acoustical noise pertaining to methods of measurement, evaluation, and control, including biological safety, tolerance, and comfort, and physical acoustics as related to environmental and occupational noise.*

This is a new American National Standard that pertains solely to the computation of number ratings for hearing protectors; acquisition of the data themselves is described in other ANSI standards. Though number ratings have been heretofore specified by regulation in the U.S., this is the first American National Standard method that specifies how to compute such numbers. This standard compares to ISO 4869-2, *Acoustics – Hearing Protectors – Part 2: Estimation of Effective A-Weighted Sound Pressure Levels When Hearing Protectors are Worn*. Like that standard it includes three different rating methods of increasing accuracy, but unlike that document it includes a number that is suitable for direct application to A-weighted sound pressure level measurements ( $NRS_A$ ), whereas the ISO document's simplest approach still requires the measurement of C-weighted sound pressure level measurements. Both standards include a multi-number rating that requires use of both A- and C-weighted sound pressure level measurements—in the ANSI document an  $NRS_G$  and in the ISO document an HML. The  $NRS_G$  is similar in application to the HML as specified in ISO 4869-2:1994; however, the two methods differ in how they are calculated. The  $NRS_G$  more accurately achieves the targeted protection rate while providing a simplified graphical presentation for ease of use. Both standards include as their most accurate descriptor an octave-band computational method identical in all aspects except that the ANSI document excludes 63 Hz from the computations since such attenuation data are not normally available and even when they are, they usually have negligible impact on the overall A-weighted noise reduction.

The standard was editorially corrected and republished in July 2009 after discovery of a typographical error in Table 2 which carried through to Equation (14). This edition contains the corrected table and equation.

The Microsoft® Excel® workbook provided with this American National Standard is entirely informative and provided for the convenience of the user. Use of the provided workbook is not required for conformance with the Standard. ASA makes no representation or warranty or condition of any kind, whether express or implied (either in fact or by operation of law) with respect to any part of this product, including, without limitation, with respect to the sufficiency, accuracy or utilization of, or any information or opinion contained or reflected in, any of the product. ASA expressly disclaims all warranties or conditions of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. No officer, director, employee, member, agent, representative or publisher of the copyright holder is authorized to make any modification, extension, or addition to this limited warranty.

At the time this Standard was submitted to Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise for approval, the membership was as follows:

R.D. Hellweg, *Chair*

W.J. Murphy, *Vice-Chair*

S.B. Blaeser, *Secretary*

<b>Acoustical Society of America</b> .....	R.D. Hellweg
.....	D. Lubman (Alt.)
<b>Aearo Technologies</b> .....	E.H. Berger
<b>Air-Conditioning and Refrigeration Institute</b> .....	S. Lind
.....	D. Brown (Alt.)
<b>Alcoa Inc.</b> .....	W.D. Gallagher
<b>American Academy of Otolaryngology</b> .....	R.A. Dobie
.....	L.A. Michael (Alt.)
<b>American Industrial Hygiene Association</b> .....	D. Driscoll
.....	S.N. Hacker (Alt.)
<b>American Society of Heating, Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE)</b> .....	R.J. Peppin
<b>American Speech-Hearing-Language Association</b> .....	L.A. Wilber
.....	V. Gladstone (Alt.)
<b>Bruel &amp; Kjaer Instruments, Inc.</b> .....	M. Alexander
.....	J. Chou (Alt.)
<b>Caterpillar, Inc.</b> .....	K.G. Meitl
<b>Compressed Air and Gas Institute</b> .....	R.C. Johnson
.....	D.R. Bookshar (Alt.)
<b>Council for Accreditation in Occupational Hearing Conservation</b> .....	J.A. Mann
.....	E.H. Berger (Alt.)
<b>Emerson Electric – Copeland Corporation</b> .....	A.T. Herfat
<b>General Motors</b> .....	D. Moore
<b>G.R.A.S. Sound and Vibration</b> .....	B. Schustrich
.....	V.E. Terrell (Alt.)
<b>Howard Leight Industries</b> .....	B. Witt
.....	V. Larson (Alt.)
<b>Information Technology Industry Council</b> .....	M.A. Nobile
.....	J. Rosenberg (Alt.)
<b>Institute of Noise Control Engineering</b> .....	B. Tinianov
.....	M. Lucas (Alt.)
<b>International Safety Equipment Association</b> .....	J. Birkner
.....	J.C. Bradley (Alt.)

<b>John Deere</b> .....	K. Cone
<b>Modular Building Institute</b> .....	D. Shuford
.....	I. Derks (Alt.)
<b>National Council of Acoustical Consultants</b> .....	J. Erdreich
.....	G.E. Winzer (Alt.)
<b>National Hearing Conservation Association</b> .....	K. Michael
<b>National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health</b> .....	W.J. Murphy
.....	M. Stephenson (Alt.)
<b>Noise Control Engineering, Inc.</b> .....	M. Bahtiarian
.....	R. Fischer (Alt.)
<b>Noise Pollution Clearinghouse</b> .....	L. Blomberg
<b>North American Insulation Manufacturers Association</b> .....	R.D. Godfrey
<b>PCB Group</b> .....	K. Cox
.....	L. Harbaugh (Alt.)
<b>Plantronics, Inc.</b> .....	A.K. Woo
<b>Power Tool Institute, Inc.</b> .....	W.D. Spencer
.....	M. Hickok (Alt.)
<b>Quest Technologies, Inc.</b> .....	M. Wurm
.....	P. Battenberg (Alt.)
<b>Rubber Manufacturers Association</b> .....	S. Butcher
.....	A. Hartke (Alt.)
<b>SAE</b> .....	C. Michaels
<b>Schomer and Associates, Inc.</b> .....	P.D. Schomer
<b>U.S. Air Force</b> .....	R. McKinley
<b>U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Lab</b> .....	W. Ahroon
<b>U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine</b> .....	W.A. Russell
.....	D. Fenneman (Alt.)
<b>U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory</b> .....	M. White
.....	L. Pater (Alt.)
<b>U.S. Army Human Research and Engineering Directorate</b> .....	VACANT
.....	M.S. Binseel (Alt.)
<b>U.S. Department of Transportation</b> .....	A. Konheim
<b>U.S. Naval Surface Warfare Center - Carderock</b> .....	M. Craun
.....	VACANT (Alt.)

Individual Experts of Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise, were:

P.K. Baade	W.J. Galloway	J. Pope
L.L. Beranek	R.D. Hellweg	P.D. Schomer
E.H. Berger	R.K. Hillquist	J.P. Seiler
S.H.P. Bly	W.W. Lang	L.C. Sutherland
B.M. Brooks	D. Lubman	W.R. Thornton
A.J. Campanella	W.J. Murphy	L.A. Wilber
K.M. Eldred	M.A. Nobile	G.E. Winzer
L.S. Finegold	R.J. Peppin	G.S.K. Wong

Working Group S12/WG 11, Hearing Protector Attenuation and Performance, which assisted Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise, in the development of this standard, had the following membership.

	E.H. Berger, Chair	
W. Ahroon	D. Gauger	B. Mozo
A. Behar	L.D. Hager	W.J. Murphy
J.G. Casali	T.K. Madison	D. Ohlin
C. Dixon-Ernst	R. McKinley	B. Witt
	K. Michael	

Suggestions for improvements of this standard will be welcomed. They should be sent to Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise in care of the Standards Secretariat of the Acoustical Society of America, 35 Pinelawn Road, Suite 114E, Melville, New York 11747-3177. Telephone: 631-390-0215; FAX: 631-390-0217; E-mail: [asastds@aip.org](mailto:asastds@aip.org)

## Introduction

Though there exists today, and has for many years, an American National Standard for the measurement of real-ear attenuation at threshold (ANSI S12.6), there has never been a U. S. national standard for the estimation of effective A-weighted sound pressure levels when hearing protection devices (HPDs) are worn. This standard addresses that need by specifying procedures for estimation, values suitable for labeling of HPDs, and guidelines on the accuracy that can be expected.

Many issues are involved in estimating the protection that users achieve while wearing HPDs. These include obtaining valid estimates of the hearing protector's attenuation as influenced by user training and motivation, the proportion of exposure time during which users actually wear the devices, and accurate measurements of the noise exposure in question. Perhaps of greatest concern is the issue of individual variability in the fit and performance users achieve. Even with precise computational schemes such as an octave-band analysis of the noise, the issue of variability remains critical. Once predictions are made, one can estimate the percentage of users in various noises that achieve the targeted protection values, called the protection performance, and use this metric to evaluate the accuracy of various rating systems. For example, if the goal is to protect 84% of the population to a "safe" exposure level, it is desirable to know how closely the protection performance approaches the desired value.

Numerous rating systems have been proposed in the past 30 years. Those materials were used as the basis for an extensive research project that was reviewed and approved by ANSI Accredited Standards Committee S12/WG11 (Gauger and Berger, 2004). That project expanded upon the prior published literature by introducing new concepts and new data. Methods of varying complexity were examined, from an octave-band approach to ones involving ratings used with C-weighted sound levels or exposures, from those that work with A-weighted measurements to those that are simple class or grading schemes. It became apparent that the straightforwardness of what are called A – A' ratings is appealing. Such ratings predict, by simple subtraction from the A-weighted ambient noise levels, the effective A-weighted levels ( $L'_A$ ) when an HPD is worn. A – A' ratings, which by their very nature are easier to use and less prone to computational errors, are of sufficient precision for most applications considering the many sources of variability inherent in predicting protection.

An important collateral issue to the development of a rating procedure is the underlying attenuation data from which the rating is to be computed. Gauger and Berger examined various techniques, especially Methods A and B as specified in the ANSI S12.6 standard. Subsequent to publication of their report an interlaboratory study was completed (Murphy et al., 2006) and the results demonstrated differences in the repeatability and reproducibility of the two methods. Since both methods have merits and applications as discussed in ANSI S12.6 and the selection of one for labeling purposes is primarily a matter of public policy, the decision was made to incorporate both methods as options in this standard.

Various sets of representative noise data have been published since the 1950s to provide a picture of the occupational noise scene. They originated from around the globe and included industrial, military, and specialized environments. Most of the prior hearing protection analytical studies have based their work on the "NIOSH 100" (Kroes et al., 1975). Gauger and Berger assessed a variety of additional data sets to make sure that the 60-year old data from which the NIOSH 100 were selected were indeed still representative. They were, and thus those values are utilized for the most simplified of the proposed ratings. For the more complex graphical approach, data were included from specialized Air Force and aviation spectra in order to assure the suitability of the recommendations for a broader range of noises.

The basis for the research in support of this standard is the pioneering work of Dick Waugh (1976, 1984). Building upon his analytical methods allowed examination of the ratings that best met the goals of simplicity, consistency, and accuracy. What emerged were two ratings, the Noise Level Reduction Statistic for use with A-weighting ( $NRS_A$ ), and the somewhat more complex and more accurate Noise Level Reduction Statistic, Graphical ( $NRS_G$ ). Finally as the “gold standard” for comparison purposes and for cases in which the maximum accuracy is warranted, a classical octave-band noise-reduction computational scheme is described as a third method.

A substantial divergence in this standard from prior publications and other standards (CSA Z94.2; ISO 4869-2; SA/SNZ 1270) is the recommendation that the simplified ratings be presented as pairs of numbers in order to provide additional information about the precision of the ratings, and to supply better user guidance for labeling purposes. This pair of values describes the range of performance at the 80<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> percentile level; the specific meaning depends upon whether Method-A or Method-B data are utilized, as defined below:

- Method A,  $NRS_{A80}$  (80<sup>th</sup> percentile value) - the protection that is possible for *most individually trained* users to achieve or exceed.
- Method B,  $NRS_{B80}$  (80<sup>th</sup> percentile value) - the protection that is possible for *most users* to achieve or exceed.
- Method A or Method B,  $NRS_{A20}$  (20<sup>th</sup> percentile value) - the protection that is possible for *a few motivated proficient users* to achieve or exceed.

The 20<sup>th</sup> percentile number has the same meaning regardless of the procedure, Method-A or Method-B, since the results of the Murphy et al. (2006) study demonstrated that the high-performing users achieved approximately the same protection regardless of the test procedure. This was not the case for the low-performing subjects.

The rationale for the two-number approach is:

- It indicates that a range of performance is to be anticipated.
- It represents, via the range between the high and low numbers, products that offer more or less inter-subject variability.
- It diverts the attention of the buyer from a single value and the associated tendency to focus on the seeming “accuracy” of that value.
- It supports the rating of the product with a conservative number that may appear low to some observers, while still indicating a much higher level of protection that is potentially attainable when a hearing protector is fit in an exemplary manner.
- It draws attention, via the higher number, to the possibility of overprotection.
- It may also encourage more careful fitting of hearing protection, especially among consumers who are buying products for their own use, by explicitly demonstrating what exacting application of the product can achieve.

The Gauger and Berger report summarizes the rationale for the choices made in this standard and provides comprehensive recommendations on how to implement them. It included presentation of the data in a primary label [much like the existing primary label required by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (1979)] that incorporated a pair of  $NRS_A$  values and new explanatory wording along with supporting information.

## American National Standard

# Methods of Estimating Effective A-Weighted Sound Pressure Levels When Hearing Protectors are Worn

## 1 Scope and Applications

### 1.1 Scope

This standard specifies a choice of three methods for use with hearing protector attenuation data to estimate the effective A-weighted sound pressure levels when a hearing protector is worn. The three methods, the Noise Level Reduction Statistic for use with A-weighting ( $NRS_A$ ), the Noise Level Reduction Statistic, Graphical ( $NRS_G$ ), and the octave-band method are presented in order of increasing complexity of use and potential accuracy. Furthermore, the standard specifies in the case of the  $NRS_A$  and the  $NRS_G$  that values will be presented for both the 80<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> percentiles, indicated as  $NRS_{A80}$  and  $NRS_{A20}$ , and as  $NRS_{G80}$  and  $NRS_{G20}$ , to reflect the range of attenuation that can be anticipated.

The  $NRS_A$  specifies an attenuation value, the Noise Level Reduction Statistic for use with A-weighting, determined from the octave-band attenuation data of a hearing protector in an ensemble of 100 representative noises, which may be directly subtracted from an A-weighted noise assessment to estimate  $L'_A$ , the effective A-weighted sound pressure level when the hearing protector is worn.

The  $NRS_G$  specifies an estimated noise level reduction value deduced from a graph (or, alternatively, an arithmetic interpolation done by a spreadsheet) that relates the protection in a given A-weighted exposure to the difference between the C- and A-weighted sound pressure levels of the noise. It requires two noise measures (A- and C-weighted), instead of the single measure (A-weighted) necessary for use with the  $NRS_A$ . The  $NRS_G$  is determined by applying the octave-band attenuation data for a hearing protector to an ensemble of 67 noises that span a broader range of spectral types than used for the  $NRS_A$  computation.

The octave-band method specifies a procedure for directly applying the octave-band attenuation data of a hearing protector to a set of octave-band measurements of the noise. The computation includes a correction that is a multiple of the standard deviation in order to adjust the prediction for the desired protection performance.

### 1.2 Applications

The methods of this standard are applicable to estimating either the sound pressure level or the equivalent continuous or time-weighted average sound pressure levels that are effective when hearing protectors are worn.<sup>1</sup> Although primarily intended for steady noise exposures, the methods are also

---

<sup>1</sup> The estimated value represents the “effective” sound level when the hearing protector is worn, i.e., the A-weighted sound level at the head center with the listener absent (commonly estimated by the on-the-shoulder measurement with a dosimeter), minus the attenuation of the HPD. This is not the same as the sound level in the ear canal. The ear canal sound level differs from that in the sound field by the transfer function of the open ear. It cannot simply be estimated by subtracting the HPD’s attenuation from the A-weighted level. However, it is the “effective” values that are required to assess noise hazard, as it is those values that are normally compared to the classical damage-risk curves and permissible exposure limits.