

IPC-A-600H-2010

Acceptability of Printed Boards

April 2010

Supersedes IPC-A-600G

July 2004

A standard developed by IPC

Association Connecting Electronics Industries



The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-A-600H-2010

Acceptability of Printed Boards

Developed by the IPC-A-600 Task Group (7-31a) of the Product Assurance Committee (7-30) of IPC

Supersedes:

IPC-A-600G - July 2004

IPC-A-600F - November 1999

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

Acknowledgment

Any standard involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the A-600 Task Group (7-31a) of the Product Assurance Committee (7-30) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of IPC extend their gratitude. Special thanks goes to the members of the Rigid Printed Board Committee (D-30) for their efforts in establishing acceptance criteria for printed boards.

Product Assurance Committee

Chair
Mel Parrish
STI Electronics
Vice-Chair
Michael E. Hill
Colonial Circuits, Inc.

IPC-A-600 Task Group

Chair
Mark Buechner
BAE Systems
Vice-Chair
Randy R. Reed
Viasystems Group, Inc.

Rigid Printed Board Committee

Chair
Vicka White
Honeywell Inc. Air Transport Systems
Vice-Chair
Debora Obitz
Trace Laboratories - East

Technical Liaisons of the IPC Board of Directors

Peter Bigelow Sammy Yi
IMI Inc. Aptina Imaging Corp.

IPC-A-600 Task Group

Lance Auer, Raytheon Missile Systems
Robert F. Bagsby, Rockwell Collins
Wendi Boger, DDi Corp.
Gerald Leslie Bogert, Bechtel Plant
Machinery, Inc.
Scott Bowles, Hallmark Circuits Inc.
Elaine Brown, Lockheed Martin Systems
Integration
Tracey Bumann, Minco Products Inc.
Byron Case, L-3 Communications
Laya Chen, Microtek (Changzhou)
Product Services Co., Ltd.
Pei-Liang Chen, Shanghai Printronics
Electronics Co., Ltd.
Christine Coapman, Delphi Electronics
and Safety
C. Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin
Missiles and Fire Control
Theodore Edwards, Dynaco Corp.
Alan Exley, Raytheon Company
Gary Ferrari, FTG Circuits
Lionel Fullwood, WKK Distribution Ltd.
Mahendra Gandhi, Northrop Grumman
Aerospace Systems
Thomas Gardeski, Gemini Sciences
Constantino Gonzalez, ACME Training &
Consulting
Hue Green, Lockheed Martin Space
Systems Company
Michael Green, Lockheed Martin Space
Systems Company
Philip Henault, Raytheon Company
Michael Hill, Colonial Circuits Inc.

Eddie Huddleston, Elbit Systems of
America
Todd Jarman, L-3 Communications
Candee Kaminski, Honeywell Inc. Air
Transport Systems
Thomas E. Kemp, Rockwell Collins
Jason Koch, Robisan Laboratory Inc.
Nick Koop, Minco Products Inc.
Karin LaBerge, Microtek Laboratories
Leo Lambert, EPTAC Corporation
Jeff Lewis, Holaday Circuits Inc.
Michael G. Luke, Raytheon Company
Clifford Maddox, Boeing Company
Brian Madsen, Continental AG
Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc.
Rene Martinez, Northrop Grumman
Aerospace Systems
Matthew McQueen, NSWC Crane
Renee J. Michalkiewicz, Trace
Laboratories - East
Roger Miedico, Raytheon Company
Michael Miller, NSWC Crane
James Monarchio, TTM Technologies,
Inc.
Bob Neves, Microtek Laboratories
Steven M. Nolan, Lockheed Martin
Maritime Systems & Sensors
Debora Obitz, Trace Laboratories - East
William Ortloff, Raytheon Company
Michael Paddack, Boeing Company
J. Lee Parker, JLP
Mel Parrish, STI Electronics

Marybeth Perrino, Endicott Interconnect
Technologies Inc
Stephen Pierce, SGP Ventures, Inc.
Donna Richardson, M-Flex (Multi-Fineline
Electronix Inc)
Jose Rios, Endicott Interconnect
Technologies Inc
Gary Roper, Roper Resources, Inc.
Joseph Schmidt, Raytheon Missile
Systems
Russell Shepherd, Microtek Laboratories
Lowell Sherman, Defense Supply Center
Columbus
James Stack, Endicott Interconnect
Technologies Inc
Kevin Therault, Lockheed Martin Space
Systems Company
Dung Q. Tiet, Lockheed Martin Space
Systems Company
Bradley Toone, L-3 Communications
Crystal E. Vanderpan, Underwriters
Laboratories Inc.
Sharon Ventress, U.S. Army Aviation &
Missile Command
Rob Walls, PIEK International Education
Centre BV
Clark Webster, ALL Flex LLC
Vicka White, Honeywell Inc. Air Transport
Systems
Dewey Whittaker, Honeywell Inc. Air
Transport Systems
Mike Wilson, Minco Products Inc.

Table of Contents

<p>Acknowledgment i</p> <p>1 Introduction 1</p> <p>1.1 Scope 1</p> <p>1.2 Purpose 1</p> <p>1.3 Approach To This Document 1</p> <p>1.4 Classification 1</p> <p>1.5 Acceptance Criteria 2</p> <p>1.6 Applicable Documents 3</p> <p> 1.6.1 IPC 3</p> <p> 1.6.2 American Society of Mechanical Engineers 3</p> <p>1.7 Dimensions and Tolerances 3</p> <p>1.8 Terms and Definitions 3</p> <p>1.9 Revision Level Changes 3</p> <p>1.10 Workmanship 3</p> <p>2 Externally Observable Characteristics 4</p> <p>2.1 Printed Board Edges 4</p> <p> 2.1.1 Burrs 4</p> <p> 2.1.1.1 Nonmetallic Burrs 5</p> <p> 2.1.1.2 Metallic Burrs 6</p> <p> 2.1.2 Nicks 7</p> <p> 2.1.3 Haloing 8</p> <p>2.2 Base Material Surface 9</p> <p> 2.2.1 Weave Exposure 10</p> <p> 2.2.2 Weave Texture 11</p> <p> 2.2.3 Exposed/Disrupted Fibers 12</p> <p> 2.2.4 Pits and Voids 13</p> <p>2.3 Base Material Subsurface 14</p> <p> 2.3.1 Measling 17</p> <p> 2.3.2 Cracking 18</p> <p> 2.3.3 Delamination/Blister 20</p> <p> 2.3.4 Foreign Inclusions 22</p> <p>2.4 Solder Coatings and Fused Tin Lead 24</p> <p> 2.4.1 Nonwetting 24</p> <p> 2.4.2 Dewetting 25</p> <p>2.5 Holes – Plated-Through – General 27</p> <p> 2.5.1 Nodules/Burrs 27</p> <p> 2.5.2 Pink Ring 28</p> <p> 2.5.3 Voids – Copper Plating 29</p> <p> 2.5.4 Voids – Finished Coating 30</p> <p> 2.5.5 Lifted Lands – (Visual) 31</p> <p> 2.5.6 Cap Plating of Filled Holes – (Visual) 32</p> <p>2.6 Holes – Unsupported 34</p> <p> 2.6.1 Haloing 34</p>	<p>2.7 Printed Contacts 35</p> <p> 2.7.1 Surface Plating – Plated Contacts 35</p> <p> 2.7.1.1 Surface Plating – Wire Bond Pads 37</p> <p> 2.7.2 Burrs on Edge-Board Contacts 39</p> <p> 2.7.3 Adhesion of Overplate 40</p> <p>2.8 Marking 41</p> <p> 2.8.1 Etched Marking 42</p> <p> 2.8.2 Screened or Ink Stamped Marking 44</p> <p>2.9 Solder Mask 46</p> <p> 2.9.1 Coverage Over Conductors (Skip Coverage) 47</p> <p> 2.9.2 Registration to Holes (All Finishes) 48</p> <p> 2.9.3 Registration to Other Conductive Patterns 49</p> <p> 2.9.3.1 Ball Grid Array (Solder Mask-Defined Lands) 50</p> <p> 2.9.3.2 Ball Grid Array (Copper-Defined Lands) 51</p> <p> 2.9.3.3 Ball Grid Array (Solder Dam) 52</p> <p> 2.9.4 Blisters/Delamination 53</p> <p> 2.9.5 Adhesion (Flaking or Peeling) 55</p> <p> 2.9.6 Waves/Wrinkles/Ripples 56</p> <p> 2.9.7 Tenting (Via Holes) 57</p> <p> 2.9.8 Soda Strawing 58</p> <p>2.10 Pattern Definition – Dimensional 60</p> <p> 2.10.1 Conductor Width and Spacing 60</p> <p> 2.10.1.1 Conductor Width 61</p> <p> 2.10.1.2 Conductor Spacing 62</p> <p> 2.10.2 External Annular Ring – Measurement 63</p> <p> 2.10.3 External Annular Ring – Supported Holes 64</p> <p> 2.10.4 External Annular Ring – Unsupported Holes 66</p> <p>2.11 Flatness 67</p> <p>3 Internally Observable Characteristics 69</p> <p>3.1 Dielectric Materials 70</p> <p> 3.1.1 Laminate Voids/Cracks (Outside Thermal Zone) 70</p> <p> 3.1.2 Registration/Conductor to Holes 72</p> <p> 3.1.3 Clearance Hole, Unsupported, to Power/Ground Planes 73</p> <p> 3.1.4 Delamination/Blister 74</p> <p> 3.1.5 Etchback 75</p> <p> 3.1.5.1 Etchback 76</p> <p> 3.1.5.2 Negative Etchback 78</p> <p> 3.1.6 Smear Removal 79</p>
--	--

Table of Contents (cont.)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3.1.7 Dielectric Material, Clearance, Metal Plane for Supported Holes 81 3.1.8 Layer-to-Layer Spacing 82 3.1.9 Resin Recession 83 3.1.10 Hole Wall Dielectric/Plated Barrel Separation (Hole Wall Pullaway) 84 3.2 Conductive Patterns – General 85 3.2.1 Etching Characteristics 86 3.2.2 Print and Etch 88 3.2.3 Surface Conductor Thickness (Foil Plus Plating) 89 3.2.4 Foil Thickness – Internal Layers 90 3.3 Plated-Through Holes – General 91 3.3.1 Annular Ring – Internal Layers 93 3.3.2 Lifted Lands – (Cross-Sections) 95 3.3.3 Foil Crack – (Internal Foil) “C” Crack 96 3.3.4 Foil Crack (External Foil) 97 3.3.5 Plating Crack (Barrel) “E” Crack 98 3.3.6 Plating Crack – (Corner) “F” Crack ... 99 3.3.7 Plating Nodules 100 3.3.8 Copper Plating Thickness – Hole Wall 101 3.3.9 Copper Wrap Plating 102 3.3.10 Plating Voids 104 3.3.11 Solder Coating Thickness (Only When Specified) 105 3.3.12 Solder Mask Thickness 106 3.3.13 Wicking 107 3.3.13.1 Wicking, Clearance Holes 108 3.3.14 Innerlayer Separation – Vertical (Axial) Microsection 109 3.3.15 Innerlayer Separation – Horizontal (Transverse) Microsection 110 3.3.16 Material Fill of Blind and Buried Vias 111 3.3.17 Cap Plating of Filled Holes 113 3.4 Plated-Through Holes – Drilled 115 3.4.1 Burrs 116 3.4.2 Nailheading 117 3.5 Plated-Through Holes – Punched 118 3.5.1 Roughness and Nodules 119 3.5.2 Flare 120 4 Miscellaneous 121 4.1 Flexible and Rigid-Flex Printed Boards 122 4.1.1 Coverlay Coverage – Coverfilm Separations 123 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4.1.2 Coverlay/Covercoat Coverage – Adhesives 124 4.1.2.1 Adhesive Squeeze-Out – Land Area 124 4.1.2.2 Adhesive Squeeze-Out – Foil Surface 125 4.1.3 Access Hole Registration for Coverlay and Stiffeners 126 4.1.4 Plating Defects 127 4.1.5 Stiffener Bonding 128 4.1.6 Transition Zone, Rigid Area to Flexible Area 129 4.1.7 Solder Wicking/Plating Penetration Under Coverlay 130 4.1.8 Laminate Integrity 131 4.1.8.1 Laminate Integrity – Flexible Printed Board 132 4.1.8.2 Laminate Integrity – Rigid-Flex Printed Board 133 4.1.9 Etchback (Type 3 and Type 4 Only) 134 4.1.10 Smear Removal (Type 3 and 4 Only) 135 4.1.11 Trimmed Edges/Edge Delamination 136 4.1.12 Fold/Bend Marks 138 4.1.13 Silver Film Integrity 139 4.2 Metal Core Printed Boards 141 4.2.1 Type Classifications 142 4.2.2 Spacing Laminated Type 143 4.2.3 Insulation Thickness, Insulated Metal Substrate 144 4.2.4 Insulation Material Fill, Laminated Type Metal Core 145 4.2.5 Cracks in Insulation Material Fill, Laminated Type 146 4.2.6 Core Bond to Plated-Through Hole Wall 147 4.3 Flush Printed Boards 148 4.3.1 Flushness of Surface Conductor 148 5 Cleanliness Testing 149 5.1 Solderability Testing 150 5.1.1 Plated-Through Holes (Applicable to Test C/C1) 151 5.2 Electrical Integrity 152
---	--

1 INTRODUCTION

Introduction

1.1 SCOPE

This document describes the preferred, acceptable, and non-conforming conditions that are either externally or internally observable on printed boards. It represents the visual interpretation of minimum requirements set forth in various printed board specifications, e.g.; IPC-6010 series, J-STD-003, etc.

1.2 PURPOSE

The visual illustrations in this document portray specific criteria of the requirements of current IPC specifications. In order to properly apply and use the content of this document, the printed board should comply with the design requirements of the applicable IPC-2220 series document and the performance requirements of the applicable IPC-6010 series document. In the event the printed board does not comply with these or equivalent requirements, then the acceptance criteria should be as agreed between user and supplier (AABUS).

1.3 APPROACH TO THIS DOCUMENT

Characteristics are divided into two general groups:

- Externally Observable (section 2)
- Internally Observable (section 3)

“Externally observable” conditions are those features or imperfections which can be seen and evaluated on or from the exterior surface of the board. In some cases, such as voids or blisters, the actual condition is an internal phenomenon and is detectable from the exterior.

“Internally observable” conditions are those features or imperfections that require microsectioning of the specimen or other forms of conditioning for detection and evaluation. In some cases, these features may be visible from the exterior and require microsectioning in order to assess acceptability requirements.

Specimens should be illuminated during evaluation to the extent needed for effective examination. The illumination should be such that no shadow falls on the area of interest except those shadows caused by the specimen itself. It is recommended that polarization and/or dark field illumination be employed to prevent glare during the examination of highly reflective materials.

The illustrations in this document portray specific criteria relating to the heading and subheading of each page, with brief descriptions of the acceptable and nonconforming conditions for each product class. (See 1.4.) The visual quality acceptance criteria are intended to provide proper tools for the evaluation of visual anomalies. The illustrations and photographs in each situation are related to specific requirements.

The characteristics addressed are those that can be evaluated by visual observation and/or measurement of visually observable features.

Supported by appropriate user requirements, this document should provide effective visual criteria to quality assurance and manufacturing personnel.

This document cannot cover all of the reliability concerns encountered in the printed board industry; therefore, attributes not addressed in this issue **shall** be AABUS. The value of this document lies in its use as a baseline document that may be modified by expansions, exceptions, and variations which may be appropriate for specific applications.

When making accept and/or reject decisions, the awareness of documentation precedence must be maintained.

This document is a tool for observing how a product may deviate due to variation in processes. Refer to IPC-9191.

IPC-A-600 provides a useful tool for understanding and interpreting Automated Inspection Technology (AIT) results. AIT may be applicable to the evaluation of many of the dimensional characteristics illustrated in this document.

1.4 CLASSIFICATION

This standard recognizes that electrical and electronic products are subject to classifications by intended end-item use. Three general end-product classes have been established to reflect differences in producibility, complexity, functional performance requirements, and verification (inspection/test) frequency. It should be recognized that there may be overlaps of product between classes.

Process Indicator imperfections are permitted and are deliverable.

The user is responsible for defining the product class. The procurement documentation package **shall** state the product class and any exceptions to specific parameters, where appropriate.

Criteria defined in this document reflect three classes, which are as follows:

Class 1 — Includes limited life products suitable for applications where the requirement is function of the completed product.

Class 2 — Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical.

Class 3 — Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, product downtime cannot be tolerated, and the product must function when required.

Introduction (cont.)

Acceptability criteria in this document have been separated so that printed board product may be evaluated to any one of the three classes. The use of one class for a specific characteristic does not mean that all other characteristics must meet the same class. Selection should be based on minimum need. The customer has the ultimate responsibility for identifying the class to which the product is evaluated. Thus, accept and/or reject decisions must be based on applicable documentation such as contracts, procurement documentation, specifications, standards and reference documents.

1.5 ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

Most of the illustrations and photographs included in this document represent three levels of quality for each specific characteristic; i.e., Target Condition, Acceptable and Nonconforming. The text included with each level establishes the "Acceptance Criteria" for each class of product.

Target Condition in many cases is close to perfect. While this is the desired condition it is not always achievable and may not be necessary to ensure the reliability of the printed board in its service environment.

Acceptable indicates that the condition depicted, while not necessarily perfect, will maintain the integrity and reliability of the printed board in its service environment. The acceptable condition is considered acceptable for at least one or more classes but may not be acceptable for all classes, as specified by the associated acceptance criteria.

Nonconforming indicates that the condition depicted may be insufficient to ensure the reliability of the printed board in its service environment. The nonconforming condition is considered unacceptable for at least one or more classes of product but may be acceptable for other classes as specified by the associated acceptance criteria.

The target, acceptable and nonconforming conditions depicted herein and the associated acceptance criteria are intended to represent typical industrial practices. Requirements of individual product designs may deviate from these criteria.

The examples shown in the photographs and/or illustrations are sometimes exaggerated to make the referenced imperfection more apparent. The relationship between the text and the examples is not always parallel; it would be difficult to find many cases so specific that they would always match the acceptance criteria. When photographs or illustrations contained in this standard are not consistent with discussion in the written text, the written text takes precedence and should be followed.

It should also be noted that some of the photographs used may have more than one type of condition on the same example. It is necessary that the users of this document pay

particular attention to the subject of each section to avoid misinterpretation.

It should be understood that the first inference to nonconformance given implies that all other conditions of lesser magnitude are acceptable. Thus, a criteria which states a nonconformance condition as 50% of the surface is pitted, for example, implies that anything less than 50% of the surface being pitted is acceptable for that characteristic in that class. Obviously, nonconformance in Class 1 implies nonconformance in Class 2 and Class 3; and likewise, nonconformance for Class 2 implies nonconformance in Class 3.

An inspector **shall not** make the selection as to which class the part under inspection belongs. When making accept and/or nonconformance decisions, the awareness of precedence of documentation must be maintained.

In all cases, documentation should be available to the inspector defining to which class the part submitted for inspection belongs.

Procedures and requirements for conducting visual inspections related to this document **shall** be in accordance with the requirements of the applicable performance specification.

In the event of conflict, the following order of precedence **shall** apply:

1. Purchase Order (including exceptions to the master drawing, if any)
2. Procurement documentation reflecting the customers detailed requirements (such as master drawing)
3. Other documents to the extent specified by the customer
4. The end item performance specification such as the IPC-6010 series when invoked by the customer
5. This acceptability document. Printed boards should be of uniform quality and **shall** conform to the IPC-6010 series.

IPC-6010 series establishes the minimum acceptability requirements for printed boards. This document, IPC-A-600, is a companion and complementary document, providing pictorial interpretation of these requirements. It is not intended to be used as a performance specification for printed board manufacture or procurement.

IPC-A-600 can be used as a support document for inspection. It does not specify frequency of in-process inspection or frequency of end product inspection. Nor is the allowable number of nonconforming process indicators or the number of allowable repair/rework of defects specified.

Visual examination for applicable attributes **shall** be conducted at 3 diopters (approx. 1.75X). If the acceptable condition of a suspected defect is not apparent, it should be verified at progressively higher magnifications (up to 40X) to confirm that it is a defect. Dimensional requirements such as spacing or conductor width measurements may require other

1 INTRODUCTION

Introduction (cont.)

magnifications and devices with reticles or scales in the instrument, which allow accurate measurements of the specified dimensions. Contract or specification may require other magnifications.

Plated-through holes (PTHs) **shall** be internally examined for foil and plating integrity at a magnification of 100X. Referee examinations **shall** be accomplished at a magnification of 200X.

Automated Inspection Technology (AIT) results may be applicable to the evaluation of many of the dimensional characteristics illustrated in this document.

1.6 Applicable Documents The following specifications of the revision in effect at the time of order form a part of this document to the extent specified herein. If a conflict of requirements exists between this specification and the listed applicable documents, this specification **shall** take precedence.

1.6.1 IPC¹

J-STD-003 Solderability Tests for Printed Boards

IPC-T-50 Terms and Definitions for Interconnecting and Packaging Electronic Circuits

IPC-TM-650 Test Methods Manual²

2.1.1 Microsectioning

2.1.1.2 Microsectioning, Semi or Automatic Technique
Microsection Equipment (Alternate)

2.2.2 Optical Dimensional Verification

2.3.25 Detection and Measurement of Ionizable Surface
Contaminants

2.4.1 Adhesion, Tape Testing

2.4.22 Bow and Twist

2.4.28.1 Adhesion, Solder Resist (Mask), Tape Test Method

2.6.25 Conductive Anodic Filament (CAF) Resistance Test
(Electrochemical Migration Testing)

IPC-SM-840 Qualification and Performance of Permanent
Solder Mask

IPC-2220 Family of Design Documents

IPC-4562 Metal Foil for Printed Wiring Applications

IPC-4781 Qualification and Performance Specification of
Permanent, Semi-Permanent and Temporary Legend and/or
Marking Inks

IPC-6010 Family of Board Performance Documents

IPC-9191 General Requirements for Implementation of Sta-
tistical Process Control

IPC-9691 User Guide for the IPC-TM-650, Method 2.6.25,
Conductive Anodic Filament (CAF) Resistance Test (Electro-
chemical Migration Testing)

1.6.2 American Society of Mechanical Engineers³

ASME B46.1 Surface Texture (Surface Roughness, Wavi-
ness and Lay)

1.7 DIMENSIONS AND TOLERANCES

All dimensions and tolerances specified herein are applicable only to the end product. Dimensions are expressed in hard SI (metric) units and parenthetical soft imperial [inch] units.

Reference information is shown in parentheses ().

1.8 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Terms and definitions **shall** be in accordance with IPC-T-50 and as defined in 1.8.1.

1.8.1 Process Indicator A detectable anomaly, other than a defect, that is reflective of material, equipment, personnel, process and/or workmanship variation.

1.9 Revision Level Changes Changes made to this revision of the IPC-A-600 are indicated throughout by gray-shading of the relevant subsection(s). Changes to a figure or table are indicated by gray-shading of the figure or table header.

1.10 WORKMANSHIP

Printed boards fabricated to the requirements of this document **shall** be processed in such a manner as to be uniform in quality and to preclude the introduction of dirt, foreign matter, oil, fingerprints, flux residues, or other contaminants that may affect the life or serviceability of the product. Printed boards **shall** be free of defects in excess of those allowed by this document. Acceptance of imperfections not specifically covered by this document **shall** be AABUS.

1. www.ipc.org

2. Current and revised IPC Test Methods are available on the IPC Web Site
(www.ipc.org/html/testmethods.htm)

3. www.asme.org