

CGA P-8.2—2013

**GUIDELINE FOR VALIDATION OF
AIR SEPARATION UNIT AND
CARGO TANK FILLING FOR
OXYGEN USP AND NITROGEN NF**

FIFTH EDITION



PLEASE NOTE:

The information contained in this document was obtained from sources believed to be reliable and is based on technical information and experience currently available from members of the Compressed Gas Association, Inc. and others. However, the Association or its members, jointly or severally, make no guarantee of the results and assume no liability or responsibility in connection with the information or suggestions herein contained. Moreover, it should not be assumed that every acceptable commodity grade, test or safety procedure or method, precaution, equipment or device is contained within, or that abnormal or unusual circumstances may not warrant or suggest further requirements or additional procedure.

This document is subject to periodic review, and users are cautioned to obtain the latest edition. The Association invites comments and suggestions for consideration. In connection with such review, any such comments or suggestions will be fully reviewed by the Association after giving the party, upon request, a reasonable opportunity to be heard. Proposed changes may be submitted via the Internet at our web site, www.cganet.com.

This document should not be confused with federal, state, provincial, or municipal specifications or regulations; insurance requirements; or national safety codes. While the Association recommends reference to or use of this document by government agencies and others, this document is purely voluntary and not binding unless adopted by reference in regulations.

A listing of all publications, audiovisual programs, safety and technical bulletins, and safety posters is available via the Internet at our website at www.cganet.com. For more information contact CGA at Phone: 703-788-2700, ext. 799. E-mail: customerservice@cganet.com.

Work Item 13-004
Medical Gases Committee

NOTE—Technical changes from the previous edition are underlined.

NOTE—Appendices A, B, and C (Informative) are for information only.

FIFTH EDITION: 2013
FOURTH EDITION: 2008
THIRD EDITION: 2003
SECOND EDITION: 2001

© 2013 The Compressed Gas Association, Inc. All rights reserved.

All materials contained in this work are protected by United States and international copyright laws. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system without permission in writing from The Compressed Gas Association, Inc. All requests for permission to reproduce material from this work should be directed to The Compressed Gas Association, Inc., 14501 George Carter Way, Suite 103, Chantilly VA 20151. You may not alter or remove any trademark, copyright or other notice from this work.

Contents	Page
1 Introduction.....	1
2 Scope	1
3 Definitions.....	1
4 Overview of process.....	4
4.1 Background	4
4.2 Process description	5
5 Plant and process assumptions	5
6 Approach to process validation	5
7 Stage 1—Process design.....	6
7.1 Incoming air study	6
7.2 Risk analysis.....	6
7.3 Hazard analysis and critical control point	6
7.4 Critical control points	6
7.5 Variations.....	7
7.6 Change control	7
8 Stage 2—Process qualification	7
8.1 Validation master plan.....	7
8.2 Validation protocols	7
8.3 Typical validation requirements for identified critical control points.....	7
8.4 Sampling.....	9
8.5 Development of PPQ (objective measures)	9
8.6 Validation summary report.....	9
8.7 Bridge from legacy validation to new validation model.....	9
8.8 Additional support documentation	9
9 Stage 3—Continued process verification	10
10 References	10
 Tables	
Table 1—IQ requirements.....	8
Table 2—OQ requirements	8
Table 3—PQ requirements	9
 Appendices	
Appendix A—Simplified typical air separation unit process flow diagram (Informative).....	11
Appendix B—Failure mode and effects analysis (Informative)	12
Appendix C—Hazard analysis and critical control point (Informative)	21
 Appendices Tables	
Table B-1—Criteria for FMEA	12
Table B-2—FMEA	13
Table C-1—HACCP decision tree.....	21

This page is intentionally blank.

1 Introduction

This publication provides the Compressed Gas Association (CGA) position and guidance on the manufacturing, bulk product storage, and cargo tank filling validation activities that take place at a typical air separation unit (ASU) that is manufacturing oxygen USP, nitrogen NF, or both [1].¹ Variations from the typical ASU process configurations can exist. Companies shall assess variations and determine if deviations from this guidance are necessary.

The approach and activities in this publication are designed to ensure that these gases, which are classified as drug products, have the claimed identity, strength, quality, and purity. Scientific, documented studies will show that the given utility, system, process, or piece of equipment:

- meets the specifications of its design for its critical elements;
- is properly installed, operated, and maintained;
- is suitable for its intended application;
- is in accordance with principles established and generally accepted by the compressed gas industry;
- meets the CGMP/GMP requirements;
- meets the principles of FDA's *Guidance for Industry, Process Validation General Principles and Practices*, and meets the Health Canada Validation Guidelines for Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms (GUIDE-0029) [2, 3]; and
- is capable of consistently producing a product that meets all predetermined specifications and quality attributes.

2 Scope

This publication addresses validation for ASU cryogenic manufacturing and cargo tank filling processes pertaining to oxygen USP and nitrogen NF.

3 Definitions

For the purpose of this publication, the following definitions apply.

3.1 Automated loading

Computer assisted cargo tank filling system.

NOTE—The degree of assistance can vary based on the company and application.

3.2 Calibration

Process by which an instrument of known accuracy or a certified standard is used to detect, report, or eliminate variation in the accuracy of the item being tested.

3.3 Change control

Formal monitoring program in which qualified representatives of appropriate disciplines review proposed or actual changes that can affect a validated status.

NOTE—The intent is to determine the need for action that would ensure and document that a system is maintained in a validated state as described in *Good Computer Validation Practices*, "Common Sense Interpretation" [4].

¹ References are shown by bracketed numbers and are listed in order of appearance in the reference section.