

ASME PTB-6-2013

# Guidelines for Strain Gaging of Pressure Vessels Subjected to External Pressure Loading in the PVHO-1 Standard



**PTB-6-2013**

**GUIDELINES FOR STRAIN  
GAGING OF PRESSURE  
VESSELS SUBJECTED TO  
EXTERNAL PRESSURE  
LOADING IN THE  
PVHO-1 STANDARD**

**Lawrence J. Goland**

**Southwest Research Institute**



Date of Issuance: June 21, 2013

This document was supported by ASME Pressure Technology Codes and Standards (PTCS) through the ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC).

Neither ASME, the author, nor others involved in the preparation or review of this document, nor any of their respective employees, members or persons acting on their behalf, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe upon privately owned rights.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation or favoring by ASME or others involved in the preparation or review of this document, or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors, contributors and reviewers of the document expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of ASME or others involved in the preparation or review of this document, or any agency thereof.

ASME does not “approve,” “rate”, or “endorse” any item, construction, proprietary device or activity.

ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letters patent, nor assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this publication.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,  
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,  
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers  
Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2013 by  
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS  
All rights reserved  
Printed in the U.S.A.



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Foreword ..... v

Abstract ..... vi

Acknowledgements ..... vii

1 PURPOSES OF STRAIN GAGING PRESSURE HULL ..... 1

    1.1 General ..... 1

    1.2 Monitoring For Behavior ..... 1

    1.3 Monitoring For Stability..... 2

2 STRAIN GAGE ROSETTE TYPES AND RECOMMENDED USES ..... 3

    2.1 General ..... 3

    2.2 Use of Uniaxial Strain Gages ..... 4

    2.3 Use of Biaxial (Tee) Strain Gage Rosettes..... 4

    2.4 Use of Triaxial Strain Gage Rosettes ..... 5

3 NOMENCLATURE FOR PRESSURE HULLS ..... 6

4 GENERAL GUIDELINES FOR LOCATIONS OF STRAIN GAGES AND ROSETTES ..... 9

5 EXAMPLE OF BASIC STRAIN GAGE LOCATIONS..... 10

6 EXAMPLE OF COMPLEX STRAIN GAGE LOCATIONS ..... 12

    6.1 General ..... 12

    6.2 Locations on Ring-Stiffened Cylindrical Hull ..... 17

    6.3 Locations on Hemispherical Heads..... 17

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 2.1 – Examples of Uniaxial and Typical Strain Gage Rosettes..... 3

Figure 3.1 – Illustrative Hull Components ..... 6

Figure 3.2 – End Bay Region in Typical Ring Stiffened Hull (and Exaggerated Displaced Shape under External Pressure Load) ..... 7

Figure 3.3 – Out-of-Circularity (OOC) of Cylindrical Pressure Hull..... 7

Figure 3.4 – Out-of-Fairness (OOF) of Cylindrical Pressure Hull ..... 8

Figure 3.5 – Out-of-Sphericity (OOS) of Spherical/Hemispherical Pressure Hull ..... 8

Figure 5.1 – Basic Strain Gage Location on Pressure Hull ..... 10

Figure 6.1 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 13

Figure 6.2 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 14

Figure 6.3 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 15

Figure 6.4 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 18

Figure 6.5 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 19

Figure 6.6 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 20

Figure 6.7 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 21

Figure 6.8 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 22

Figure 6.9 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 23

Figure 6.10 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 24

Figure 6.11 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 25

Figure 6.12 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 26

Figure 6.13 – Complex Strain Gage Locations on Pressure Hull ..... 27

## FOREWORD

Strain gaging of pressure vessels (also known as pressure hulls) subjected to the external hydrostatic test pressure loading serves to monitor the structural behavior and response of the pressure vessel under external pressure load conditions. Monitoring the gages during the hydrostatic test can allow the hydrostatic test to be halted prior to causing significant damage and/or collapse of the hull. Therefore the use of strain gaging is recommended to help observe any deviation from the predicted strains (stresses) vs. external pressure in order to avoid unexpected deformation of the hull and possible collapse during the hydrostatic test.

Established in 1880, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) is a professional not for-profit organization with more than 127,000 members promoting the art, science and practice of mechanical and multidisciplinary engineering and allied sciences. ASME develops codes and standards that enhance public safety, and provides lifelong learning and technical exchange opportunities benefiting the engineering and technology community. Visit [www.asme.org](http://www.asme.org) for more information.

The ASME Standards Technology, LLC (ASME ST-LLC) is a not-for-profit Limited Liability Company, with ASME as the sole member, formed in 2004 to carry out work related to newly commercialized technology. The ASME ST-LLC mission includes meeting the needs of industry and government by providing new standards-related products and services, which advance the application of emerging and newly commercialized science and technology and providing the research and technology development needed to establish and maintain the technical relevance of codes and standards. Visit [www.stllc.asme.org](http://www.stllc.asme.org) for more information.



**ABSTRACT**

This document provides information and guidance regarding the use of strain gaging of pressure hulls subjected to external hydrostatic test pressure loading. The document presents two examples of strain gaging a pressure vessel subjected to external pressure loading. The first example shows a basic strain gaging plan useful for validating strain and stress analyses, which requires a minimal number of strain gages located at general positions on the hull, and the second example shows a strain gage layout plan which is useful for not only validating strain and stress analyses, but also for monitoring the behavior of the hull during the hydrostatic test, which requires the most number of strain gages since gages are placed at both general locations and regions of concern due to hull as-built geometries that might initiate collapse. These two strain gaging examples are provided as illustrative examples only. These examples in no way establish actual strain gaging requirements per any code, design rules, or jurisdictional body. They do not establish required placement gage locations, gage types to be used, or number of gages. For each hull, the actual strain gaging plan implemented is a function of many factors, such as the chamber's configuration, number and size of openings, attachments, actual as-built geometry, weld details, and whether just validating an analysis and/or monitoring hull behavior to preclude collapse.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to acknowledge the review performed by the following members of the PVHO Standards Committee: Michael Allen, William Crowley, William Davison, Michael Frey, Thomas Galloway, Gary Jacob, Barton Kemper III, James Lawrence, Peter Lewis, Jack Maison, Guy Richards, Thomas Schmidt, John Selby, James Sheffield, Robert Smith, Kenneth Smith, Deepak Talati, Roy Thomas, Matthew Walters, George Wolfe, Eric Fink, Harald Pauli, Todd Marohl, Stephen Reimers and John Witney.



INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



## 1 PURPOSES OF STRAIN GAGING PRESSURE HULL

### 1.1 General

The strain gaging of pressure vessels (also known as pressure hulls) subjected to the external hydrostatic test pressure loading serves two purposes. First and foremost, the gaging is to monitor the structural behavior and response of the pressure vessel under external pressure load conditions. The resulting strains and stresses can then be compared to those obtained from the design analyses performed.

Secondly, proper strain gaging can indicate the onset of collapse of the pressure hull under the external hydrostatic pressure test. Theoretically, using the design rules of the latest ASME PVHO-1 “Safety Standard for Pressure Vessels for Human Occupancy,” standard, the pressure hull will not collapse during the external hydrostatic pressure test and serves as the proof test. However, given an unknown circumstance such as an undetected out-of-tolerance fabrication issue, onset of the collapse of the pressure hull can be detected by monitoring the strain gages.

Deviation from the predicted strains (stresses) vs. external pressure is an indicator that the hull is behaving unexpectedly, deforming more than expected, and possibly be near collapse. Monitoring the gages during the hydrostatic test can allow the test to be halted prior to causing significant damage and/or collapse of the hull.

Two examples of strain gaging a pressure vessel subjected to external pressure loading are presented herein. The first example, presented in Section 5.0, shows a basic strain gaging plan useful for validating strain and stress analyses. This level of gaging requires a minimal number of strain gages located at general positions on the hull. The second example, presented in Section 6.0, shows a strain gage layout plan which is useful for not only validating strain and stress analyses, but also for monitoring the behavior of the hull during the hydrostatic test. This level of strain gaging requires the most number of strain gages since gages are placed at both general locations and regions of concern due to hull as-built geometries that might initiate collapse.

These two strain gaging examples are provided as illustrative examples only. These examples in no way establish actual strain gaging requirements per any code, design rules, or jurisdictional body. They do not establish required placement gage locations, gage types to be used, or number of gages. For each hull, the actual strain gaging plan implemented is a function of many factors, such as the chamber’s configuration, number and size of openings, attachments, actual as-built geometry, weld details, and whether just validating an analysis and/or monitoring hull behavior to preclude collapse. Other factors not mentioned here might also dictate the placement of strain gages.

### 1.2 Monitoring For Behavior

The primary purpose of strain gaging a pressure hull subjected to external pressure loading is to monitor its structural behavior under load. Monitoring the behavior consists of measuring the resulting strains, and then typically calculating the corresponding stresses. Traditionally, the desired type of strains and stresses are the maximum and minimum principal strains and stresses. Given these principal stresses, the von Mises stress (also known as the equivalent stress) and stress intensity can then be calculated if desired. Given these strains and stresses, they then can be compared to the predicted strains and stresses calculated by classical formulations and/or finite element analysis, thereby validating the analyses performed. The key to obtaining the correct principal strains at a particular location is knowing the principal strain directions on the structure at the location in question. Whether or not these principal strain directions are known is a deciding factor in choosing the proper type of strain gage or strain gage rosette to use. (A strain gage rosette consists of two or