


**IPC J-STD-003C-WAM1**  
**w/Amendment 1**  
**May 2014**  
Supersedes J-STD-003C  
September 2013

# ***JOINT INDUSTRY STANDARD***

Solderability  
Tests for  
Printed Boards



---

**The Principles of Standardization**

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

**Standards Should:**

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

**Standards Should Not:**

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

**Notice**

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

**IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change**

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

**Why is there a charge for this document?**

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit [www.ipc.org](http://www.ipc.org) or call 847/597-2872.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC J-STD-003C-WAM1 with Amendment 1

# Solderability Tests for Printed Boards

Developed by the Printed Circuit Board Solderability Specifications Task Group (5-23a) of the Assembly and Joining Committee (5-20) of IPC

***Supersedes:***

J-STD-003C - September 2013  
J-STD-003B - March 2007  
J-STD-003A - February 2003  
J-STD-003 - April 1992  
IPC-S-804A - January 1987  
IPC-S-803  
IPC-S-801

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the development of future revisions.

Contact:

**IPC**

3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 309S  
Bannockburn, IL 60015-1249  
Phone ( 847) 615-7100  
Fax (847) 615-7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

## Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources. While the principal members of the Printed Circuit Board Solderability Specifications Task Group (5-23a) of the Assembly and Joining Committee (5-20) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this Standard. To each of them, the members of IPC extend their gratitude.

<b>Assembly and Joining Committee</b>	<b>Printed Circuit Board Solderability Specifications Task Group</b>	<b>Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors</b>
Chair Leo P. Lambert EPTAC	Chair Gerard A. Obrien Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc.	Bob Neves Microtek Laboratories
Vice Chair Renee J. Michalkiewicz Trace Laboratories - Baltimore	Vice Chair Michah Pledger Pledger Consulting	
<b>Printed Circuit Board Solderability Specifications Task Group</b>		
Douglas Schueller, AbelConn, LLC Constantino Gonzalez, ACME Training & Consulting Mitchell Holtzer, Alpha Anna Lifton, Alpha Karen Tellefsen, Alpha Andrew Giamis, Andrew Corporation George Wenger, Andrew Corporation Arnie Melby, Appareo Systems, LLC Greg Alexander, Ascentech LLC Fritz, Byle Astronautics Corp. of America Christopher, Ryder, AT&S Austria Technologie & Systemtechnik AG William Dieffenbacher, BAE Systems Platform Solutions Beverly Christian, BlackBerry Mary Bellon, Boeing Research & Development Todd MacFadden, Bose Corporation Louis Hart, Compunetics Inc. Israel Martinez, Continental Automotive Nogales S.A. de C.V. Mark Fulcher, Continental Automotive Systems Brian Madsen, Continental Automotive Systems David Corbett, Defense Supply Center Columbus Lowell Sherman, Defense Supply Center Columbus Glenn Dody, Dody Consulting Anne Lomonte, Draeger Medical Systems, Inc.	Peter Bratin, ECI Technology, Inc. Michael Pavlov, ECI Technology, Inc. Julie Filips, Elbit Systems of America Jose Rios, Endicott Interconnect Technologies Inc Leo Lambert, EPTAC Corporation Terry Munson, Foresite, Inc. Martin Bayes, Four Square Consulting Graham Naisbitt, Gen3 Systems Limited Brian Wardhaugh, Gen3 Systems Limited Gregg Klawson, General Dynamics - C4 Systems Brian Toleno, Henkel Corporation Kristen Troxel, Hewlett-Packard Company Patrick O'Keefe, Holaday Circuits Inc. Richard Davidson, Honeywell Aerospace Raiyomand Aspandiar, Intel Corporation Jagadeesh Radhakrishnan, Intel Corporation Reza Ghaffarian, Jet Propulsion Laboratory Byron Case, L-3 Communications Bradley Toone, L-3 Communications William Fox, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control Vijay Kumar, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control	Linda Woody, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control Beatriz Bennett, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control C. Don Dupriest, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control Steven Nolan, Lockheed Martin Mission Systems & Training John Potenza, Lockheed Martin Mission Systems & Training Hue Green, Lockheed Martin Space Systems Company Dennis Fritz, MacDermid, Inc. Lenora Toscano, MacDermid, Inc. Tom Fujikawa, Malcomtech International Russell Shepherd, Microtek Laboratories Anaheim James, Clark Multek Flexible Circuits, Inc. Christopher Hunt, National Physical Laboratory Darrell Freiwald, Northrop Grumman Mahendra Gandhi, Northrop Grumman Aerospace Systems William Miller, Panasonic Automotive Systems Company of America Mumtaz Bora, Peregrine Semiconductor Michah Pledger, Pledger Consulting Richard Kraszewski, Plexus Corp. Ursula Marquez de Tino, Plexus Corporation

---

Bill Bear, Raytheon Company	Srinivas Chada, Schlumberger Well Services	Calette Chamness, U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command
Richard Iodice, Raytheon Company	Mary Petrusek, Schlumberger Well Services	Crystal Vanderpan, UL LLC
Roger Miedico, Raytheon Company	Henry Rekers, Schneider Electric	Ty Gragg, Unicircuit Inc.
Jeff Shubrooks, Raytheon Company	Gerard O'Brien, Solderability Testing & Solutions, Inc.	Donald Gudeczauskas, Uyemura International Corp.
Bill Vuono, Raytheon Company	Stephen Meeks, St. Jude Medical	George Milad, Uyemura International Corp.
Martin Scionti, Raytheon Missile Systems	David Sommervold, The Bergquist Company, Prescott	Wendi Boger, Viasystems Group, Inc.
Wesley Wolverton, Raytheon Systems Company	Elizabeth Allison, Trace Laboratories - Baltimore	Mike Hill, Viasystems Group, Inc.
Jason Koch, Robisan Laboratory Inc.	Renee Michalkiewicz, Trace Laboratories - Baltimore	Randy Reed, Viasystems Group, Inc.
Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc.	Debora Obitz, Trace Laboratories - Baltimore	Juan Vasquez, Viasystems Group, Inc.
David Adams, Rockwell Collins	James Monarchio, TTM Technologies, Inc.	Zhe (Jacky) Liu, ZTE Corporation
Robert Bagsby, Rockwell Collins		Jianfeng Liu, ZTE Corporation
Rachel Grinvalds, Rockwell Collins		Jiamin Zhang, ZTE Corporation
David Hillman, Rockwell Collins		Gerard Donovan
Gaston Hidalgo, Samsung Telecommunications America		John Rohlfing

---

**SPECIAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

The author thanks the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) per permission to reproduce Figures 4-6 and 4-7 which include information from its International Standards IEC 60068-2-69 ed.2.0 (2007) and IEC 60068-2-54 ed.2.0 (2006). All such extracts are copyright of IEC, Geneva, Switzerland. All rights reserved. Further information on the IEC is available from [www.iec.ch](http://www.iec.ch). IEC has no responsibility for the placement and context in which the extracts and contents are reproduced by the author, nor is IEC in any way responsible for the other content or accuracy therein.

# Table of Contents

<b>1 GENERAL</b> .....	1	3.3.3 Optical Inspection Equipment .....	7
1.1 Scope .....	1	3.3.4 Dipping Equipment .....	7
1.2 Purpose .....	1	3.3.5 Timing Equipment .....	7
1.3 Objective .....	1	3.4 Preparation for Testing .....	7
1.3.1 Definition of Requirements .....	1	3.4.1 Test Specimen Preparation and Conditioning for Test .....	7
1.3.2 Document Hierarchy .....	1	3.4.2 Pretest Conditioning .....	7
1.4 Classification .....	1	3.4.3 Steam Preconditioning Apparatus .....	7
1.5 Test Method Classification .....	2	3.4.4 Steam Conditioning .....	8
1.5.1 Visual Acceptance Criteria Tests .....	2	3.4.5 Baking .....	8
1.5.2 Force Measurement Criteria Tests .....	2	3.5 Solder Bath Requirements .....	8
1.6 Test Method Selection .....	2	3.5.1 Solder Temperatures .....	8
1.6.1 New Surface Finishes Not Covered in 6010 or by 4500 Series Documents .....	2	3.5.2 Solder Contamination Control .....	8
1.7 Test Specimen Requirements .....	2	<b>4 TEST PROCEDURES</b> .....	9
1.8 Coating Durability – SnPb Containing (HASL and Plated and Reflowed SnPb) Surface Finishes .....	3	4.1 Test Procedure Limitations .....	9
1.9 Coating Durability – Non-SnPb Surface Finishes .....	4	4.1.1 Application of Flux .....	9
<b>2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS</b> .....	5	4.2 Tests with Established Accept/Reject Criteria .....	9
2.1 Industry .....	5	4.2.1 Edge Dip Test .....	9
2.1.1 IPC .....	5	4.2.2 Wave Solder Test: .....	12
2.2 Joint Industry Standards .....	5	4.2.3 Surface Mount Simulation Test .....	13
<b>3 REQUIREMENTS</b> .....	5	4.3 Tests with Force Measurement Criteria .....	15
3.1 Terms and Definitions .....	5	4.3.1 Wetting Balance Test .....	15
3.1.1 Contact Angle, Soldering* .....	5	4.4 6010 Solder Float Test .....	18
3.1.2 Dewetting* .....	5	4.4.1 Solder Float Test Tin/Lead Solder .....	18
3.1.3 Dissolution of Component Metallization (Leaching)* .....	5	4.4.2 Apparatus .....	18
3.1.4 Equilibrium Wetting .....	5	4.4.3 Evaluation .....	19
3.1.5 Nonwetting, Solder* .....	5	<b>5 EVALUATION AIDS</b> .....	20
3.1.6 Pinhole* .....	5	5.1 Evaluation Aids – Surface .....	20
3.1.7 Solderability* .....	5	5.2 Evaluation Aids – For Class 3 PTHs .....	21
3.1.8 Solder Connection Pinhole* .....	5	<b>6 NOTES</b> .....	22
3.1.9 Wetting, Solder* .....	5	6.1 Correction for Buoyancy .....	22
3.2 Materials .....	6	6.2 Preheat .....	22
3.2.1 Solder .....	6	6.3 Prebaking .....	22
3.2.2 Flux .....	6	6.4 Safety Note .....	22
3.2.3 Flux Removal .....	6	6.5 Use of Nonactivated Flux .....	22
3.3 Equipment .....	6	6.6 Solder Contact .....	22
3.3.1 Pre-Conditioning Equipment .....	6	<b>APPENDIX A Calculation of Maximum Theoretical Force for a Rectangular Cross-Section</b> .....	23
3.3.2 Solder Pot/Bath .....	6	<b>APPENDIX B Calculation of Area under the Wetting Curve</b> .....	25
		<b>APPENDIX C Informative Annex</b> .....	26

**APPENDIX D Test Protocol for Wetting Balance Gauge Repeatability and Reproducibility (G R&R) Using Copper Foil Coupons ..... 28**

**APPENDIX E J-STD-002/J-STD-003 Activated Solderability Test Flux Rationale Committee Letter ..... 30**

**Figures**

Figure 3-1 Contact Angle..... 5  
 Figure 3-2 Example Reticle ..... 7  
 Figure 4-1 Edge Dip Solderability Test..... 10  
 Figure 4-2 Legacy S-Coupon Test Specimen for PTHs..... 10  
 Figure 4-3 New S-Coupon Layout (mm [in]) ..... 11  
 Figure 4-4 Suggested Test Specimen for Surface Mount Features..... 12  
 Figure 4-5 Effectiveness of Solder Wetting of Plated-Through Holes – Class 3 below 3.0 mm ..... 13  
 Figure 4-6 Examples of Solder Wetting of PTHs – Class 3 below 3.0 mm ..... 14  
 Figure 4-7 Arrangement for the Test Apparatus (Solder Bath Wetting Balance Method) ..... 15  
 Figure 4-8 Arrangement for the Test Apparatus (Solder Globule Wetting Balance Method) ..... 15  
 Figure 4-9 Suggested Wetting Balance and Soldering Immersion Test Specimens ..... 16  
 Figure 4-10 Wetting Balance Test Soldering Immersion at 90° for Double Sided Finishes..... 16  
 Figure 4-11 Wetting Balance Test Soldering Immersion at 20 to 40° for Single Sided Coupons/ Samples Removed from Printed Boards ..... 16  
 Figure 4-12 Set A Wetting Curve..... 18

Figure 4-13 Set B Wetting Curve ..... 18  
 Figure 5-1 I Sn Surface Finish Showing Uniform Wetting ..... 20  
 Figure 5-2 I Sn Surface Finish Showing Chronic Dewetting ..... 20  
 Figure 5-3 ENIG Exhibiting Nonwetting..... 20  
 Figure 5-4 ENIG Exhibiting Nonwetting..... 20  
 Figure 5-5 ENIG Exhibiting Dewetting..... 21  
 Figure 5-6 ENIG Exhibiting Dewetting..... 21  
 Figure 5-7 HASL Surface Finish after Wetting Balance Testing, showing Excellent Wetting and Positive Advancement of Solder..... 21

**Tables**

Table 1-1 Solderability Test Method Selection..... 3  
 Table 1-2 Final Finish Conditioning/ Stress Testing for Category 3 Coating Durability<sup>a</sup> ..... 4  
 Table 3-1 Flux Composition ..... 6  
 Table 3-2 Steam Temperature Requirements ..... 7  
 Table 3-3 Maximum Limits of Solder Bath Contaminant .... 9  
 Table 4-1 Stencil Thickness Requirements..... 14  
 Table 4-2 Reflow Parameter Requirements – SnPb..... 14  
 Table 4-3 Lead-Free Reflow Parameter Requirements .... 15  
 Table 4-4 Pass/Fail Criteria for Specific Surface Finishes Using Eutectic SnPb..... 17  
 Table 4-5 Pass/Fail Criteria for Specific Surface Finishes Using SAC305 Solder ..... 17  
 Table 4-6 Wetting Balance Parameter and Suggested Criteria..... 18  
 Table E-1 Flux Compositions..... 30

---

# Solderability Tests for Printed Boards

---

## 1 GENERAL

**1.1 Scope** This standard prescribes test methods, defect definitions, and illustrations for assessing the solderability of printed wiring board surface conductors, attachment lands, and plated-through holes (PTHs). This standard is intended for use by both user and supplier.

This standard is not intended to verify the potential of successful processing at assembly or to evaluate design impact on wettability. This standard describes procedures or methods to determine the acceptable wettability of a surface finish. Wettability can be affected by handling, finish application, and environmental conditions.

**1.2 Purpose** This standard describes solderability determinations that are made to verify that the printed board fabrication processes and subsequent storage have had no adverse effect on the solderability of those portions of the printed board intended to be soldered. Reference coupons or representative portions of a printed board may be used. Solderability is determined by evaluation of a test specimen which has been processed as part of a panel of boards and subsequently removed for testing per the method selected.

**1.3 Objective** To provide solderability test methods to determine the acceptance of printed board surface conductors, attachment lands, and PTHs to wet easily with solder, and to withstand the rigors of the printed board assembly processes.

**1.3.1 Definition of Requirements** The word “shall” is used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control, or acceptance of a soldered connection or a test method. The word “should” reflects “best processing techniques” and is used to reflect general industry practices and a suggestion for guidance only.

**1.3.2 Document Hierarchy** In the event of conflict, the following descending order of precedence applies:

- a. Procurement documentation AABUS, which should include expected shelf life requirements if stored and handled properly.
- b. Master drawing or master assembly drawing reflecting the user’s detailed requirements.
- c. When required by the customer or per contractual agreement, this document, J-STD-003.
- d. Other documents, to the extent specified by the customer.

**1.4 Classification** Three general classes have been established to reflect progressive increases in sophistication, functional performance requirements, and testing/ inspection frequency as defined in the IPC-6010 series of documents.

The user is responsible for defining the product class. The product class should be stated in the procurement documentation package.

### **CLASS 1 General Electronic Products**

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

### **CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products**

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically the end-use environment would not cause failures.

### **CLASS 3 High Performance Electronic Products**

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.

Printed board performance classes do not dictate the surface finish durability rating that may be specified. Category 2/ Category A durability is the default coating durability rating.

This standard relies on input from the 4-14 plating process subcommittee and the 4500 series of printed board surface finish documents generated in that subcommittee to determine the durability rating potential for each specified finish. This document and the appropriate 4500 series document should be considered complimentary to one another.