

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF  
STATE HIGHWAY AND  
TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS

**AASHTO**  
THE VOICE OF TRANSPORTATION

GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS FOR

# SEISMIC ISOLATION DESIGN

4<sup>TH</sup> EDITION

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF  
STATE HIGHWAY AND  
TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS

**AASHTO**  
THE VOICE OF TRANSPORTATION

GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS FOR

# SEISMIC ISOLATION DESIGN

4<sup>TH</sup> EDITION



American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials  
444 North Capitol Street, NW Suite 249  
Washington, DC 20001  
202-624-5800 phone/202-624-5806 fax  
[www.transportation.org](http://www.transportation.org)

© 2014 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. All rights reserved.  
Duplication is a violation of applicable law.

# EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE 2013–2014

## Voting Members

### *Officers:*

President: Mike Hancock, Kentucky

Vice President: John Cox, Wyoming

Secretary-Treasurer: Carlos Braceras, Utah

### *Regional Representatives:*

REGION I: Chris Clement, New Hampshire, One-Year Term  
Shailen Bhatt, Delaware, Two-Year Term

REGION II: Sheri LeBas, Louisiana, One-Year Term  
John Schroer, Tennessee, Two-Year Term

REGION III: Paul Trombino, Iowa, One-Year Term  
Ann L. Schneider, Illinois, Two-Year Term

REGION IV: John Halikowski, Arizona, One-Year Term  
Malcolm Dougherty, California, Two-Year Term

## Nonvoting Members

Immediate Past President: Michael P. Lewis, Rhode Island

AASHTO Executive Director: Bud Wright, Washington, DC

## HIGHWAYS SUBCOMMITTEE ON BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES, 2012

GREGG FREDRICK, *Chair*

BRUCE V. JOHNSON, *Vice Chair*

M. MYINT LWIN, Federal Highway Administration, *Secretary*

RAJ AILANEY, Federal Highway Administration, *Assistant Secretary*

KELLEY REHM, *AASHTO Liaison*

**ALABAMA**, John F. “Buddy” Black, Eric J. Christie,  
William “Tim” Colquett

**ALASKA**, Richard A. Pratt

**ARIZONA**, Jean A. Nehme

**ARKANSAS**, Carl Fuselier

**CALIFORNIA**, Barton J. Newton, Susan Hida,  
Michael Keever

**COLORADO**, Mark A. Leonard, Michael G.  
Salamon

**CONNECTICUT**, Timothy D. Fields

**DELAWARE**, Barry A. Benton, Jason Hastings,  
Douglass Robb

**DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**, Ronaldo T. “Nick”  
Nicholson, L. Donald Cooney, Konjit “Connie”  
Eskender

**FLORIDA**, Sam Fallaha, Dennis Golabek, Jeff  
Pouliotte

**GEORGIA**, Paul V. Liles, Jr.

**HAWAII**, Paul T. Santo

**IDAHO**, Matthew M. Farrar

**ILLINOIS**, D. Carl Puzey, Tim Armbrrecht

**INDIANA**, Anne M. Rearick

**IOWA**, Norman L. McDonald

**KANSAS**, Loren R. Risch, James J. Brennan

**KENTUCKY**, Mark Hite, Marvin Wolfe

**LOUISIANA**, Hossein Ghara, Arthur D’Andrea, Paul  
Fossier

**MAINE**, David B. Sherlock, Jeffrey S. Folsom,  
Wayne Frankhauser

**MARYLAND**, Earle S. Freedman, Jeffrey L. Robert

**MASSACHUSETTS**, Alexander K. Bardow,  
Shoukry Elnahal, Walter P. Heller

**MICHIGAN**, David Juntunen, Matthew Chynoweth

**MINNESOTA**, Nancy Daubenberger, Kevin Western

**MISSISSIPPI**, Nick J. Altobelli, Justin Walker

**MISSOURI**, Dennis Heckman, Scott B. Stotlemeyer

**MONTANA**, Kent M. Barnes

**NEBRASKA**, Mark J. Traynowicz, Mark Ahlman,  
Fouad Jaber

**NEVADA**, Mark P. EliceGUI, Todd Stefonowicz

**NEW HAMPSHIRE**, Mark W. Richardson, David L.  
Scott

**NEW JERSEY**, Eli “Dave” Lambert III

**NEW MEXICO**, Raymond M. Trujillo, Jeff C. Vigil

**NEW YORK**, Richard Marchione, Wahid Albert

**NORTH CAROLINA**, Greg R. Perfetti

**NORTH DAKOTA**, Terrence R. Udland

**OHIO**, Timothy J. Keller, Jawdat Siddiqi

**OKLAHOMA**, Robert J. Rusch, Walter Peters, John  
A. Schmiedel

**OREGON**, Bruce V. Johnson, Hormoz Seradj

**PENNSYLVANIA**, Thomas P. Macioce, Lou Ruzzi

**PUERTO RICO**, (Vacant)

**RHODE ISLAND**, David Fish

**SOUTH CAROLINA**, Barry W. Bowers, Jeff  
Sizemore

**SOUTH DAKOTA**, Kevin Goeden

**TENNESSEE**, Wayne J. Seger, Henry Pate

**TEXAS**, Gregg A. Freeby, Keith L. Ramsey

**U.S. DOT**, M. Myint Lwin, Raj Ailaney

**UTAH**, Carmen Swanwick, Joshua Sletten

**VERMONT**, Wayne B. Symonds

**VIRGINIA**, Kendal “Ken” Walus, Prasad L.  
Nallapaneni, Julius F. J. Volgyi, Jr.

**WASHINGTON**, Jugesh Kapur, Tony M. Allen,  
Bijan Khaleghi

**WEST VIRGINIA**, Gregory Bailey

**WISCONSIN**, Scot Becker, Beth A. Cannestra,  
William C. Dreher

**WYOMING**, Keith R. Fulton, Paul G. Cortez,  
Michael E. Menghini

**GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE, HIGHWAY AND  
TRANSPORTATION DISTRICT**, Kary H.  
Witt

**MDTA, Dan Williams**

**N.J. TURNPIKE AUTHORITY**, Richard J.  
Raczynski

**N.Y. STATE BRIDGE AUTHORITY**, William J.  
Moreau

**PENN. TURNPIKE COMMISSION**, James L.  
Stump

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS—  
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**, Phillip W.  
Sauser, Christopher H. Westbrook

**U.S. COAST GUARD**, Kamal Elnahal

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE—  
FOREST SERVICE**, Tom Gillens

**ALBERTA**, Lloyd Atkin

**KOREA**, Eui-Joon Lee, Sang-Soon Lee

**NEWFOUNDLAND**, Peter Lest

**ONTARIO**, Bala Tharmabala

**SASKATCHEWAN**, Howard Yea

**TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD**,  
Waseem Dekelbab

## PANEL MEMBERS FOR NCHRP PROJECT 20-7/262

- Ralph E. Anderson, P.E., S.E., Engineer of Bridges and Structures, Illinois DOT
- Barry W. Bowers, P.E., Structural Design Support Engineer, South Carolina DOT
- Derrell A. Manceaux, P.E., Structural Design Engineer, Federal Highway Administration
- Gregory R. Perfetti, P.E., North Carolina DOT
- Richard A. Pratt, P.E., Chief Bridge Engineer, Alaska DOT
- Hormoz Seradj, P.E., Steel Bridge Standards Engineer, Oregon DOT
- Kevin J. Thompson, P.E., Deputy Division Chief, California DOT
- Edward P. Wasserman, P.E., Civil Engineering Director, Structures Division, Tennessee DOT

## WORKING GROUP MEMBERS

### **Academia**

- Ian Buckle, University of Nevada Reno (Chair)
- Michael Constantinou, State University of New York at Buffalo
- John Stanton, University of Washington
- Andrew Whittaker, State University of New York at Buffalo

### **Consultants**

- Ian Aiken, Seismic Isolation Engineering, Oakland
- Mary Jacak, Isolation Consultant, Oakland

### **Designers**

- Allaoua Kartoum, California Department of Transportation
- Elmer Marx, Alaska Department of Transportation
- Dan Tobias, Illinois Department of Transportation

### **Industry**

- Paul Bradford, EradiQuake Systems
- Anoop Mokha, Earthquake Protection Systems
- Armanath Kasalanati, Dynamic Isolation Systems

## PREFACE TO THE THIRD EDITION, 2010

This 2010 Edition of the *Guide Specifications for Seismic Isolation Design* updates the 1999 Edition by addressing major changes in the way seismic hazard is now defined in the United States, as well as changes in the state of the art of seismic isolation design for highway bridges. This Edition is based on the work of NCHRP Project 20-7, Task 262.

In summary, this revised edition reflects (a) changes in the definition of the seismic hazard as now defined in the AASHTO *LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* (hereafter referred to as the Design Specifications) and the *Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design* (hereafter referred to as LRFD Seismic); (b) designer experience in the last 10 yr with the implementation of the current specifications; (c) industry trends in the design and construction of isolators; (d) the sun-setting of the AASHTO *Standard Specifications for Highway Bridges*; and (e) provisions in the Design Specifications that impact the design and testing of isolation bearings, such as in Section 14, Bearings and Expansion Joints. Major changes therefore include:

1. The seismic hazard section has been updated to be compatible with the Design Specifications and LRFD Seismic. Previous Section 3, Acceleration Coefficient, and Section 5, Site Effects and Site Coefficients, have been collapsed into a new Section 3, Seismic Hazard, to make way for a new Section 4, Design Response Spectrum, after moving seismic performance categories to Section 5. This new section presents the design spectrum in a new figure (taken from the Design Specifications and LRFD Seismic), and is used to define spectral accelerations  $S_{DS}$  and  $S_{D1}$ . There is one exception to the general rule of compatibility with the Design Specifications. Design Specifications, Article 3.10.2 requires a site-specific procedure be used if “long-duration effects are expected in the region.” This provision is not in LRFD Seismic and has not been included in these Guide Specifications (Article 3.1).
2. The requirement that the acceleration coefficient ( $A$ ) for the design of isolated bridges shall not be less than 0.1 has been deleted (Article 3.1).
3. Eq. 3 for displacement,  $d$ , (now Eq. 7.1-4) has been changed to be a function of  $S_1$  rather than peak ground acceleration ( $A$ ) since maps of  $S_1$  are now available. At the same time, the site coefficient in the expression for  $d$  was updated from  $S_i$  to  $F_v$ , and the dual units expression was replaced with one that is independent of the unit of measurement.
4. The previous Table 7.1-1 for the Damping Coefficient,  $B$  (now labeled  $B_L$ ), has been replaced by an expression directly relating  $B_L$  to the viscous damping ratio  $\xi$ . The values for  $B_L$  given by this expression are almost identical to those in Table 7.1-1 over the full range of  $\xi$ . The advantage of the expression, however, is that it avoids linear interpolation to find  $B_L$  for values of  $\xi$  that are not listed in the Table.
5. Eqs. 20 and 21 for the shear strain in a bonded layer of elastomer due to a compressive load have been replaced by a single equation (Eq. 14.2.1-1) that is applicable over the full range of shape factors. This equation is consistent with the recently revised provisions in the Design Specifications for steel-reinforced elastomeric bearings (Design Specifications, Article 14.7.5). Likewise, the expression for shear strain due to rotation in Eq. 24 (now Eq. 14.2.4-1) has been updated to be consistent with the Design Specifications provisions.
6. The non-seismic requirements for elastomeric bearings (i.e., service limit states) in Design Specifications, Section 14 have recently been updated and the corresponding provisions in these Guide Specifications (Article 14.3) now reference the Design Specifications.
7. Some testing requirements for isolation hardware have been deleted or relaxed if they were judged to be redundant, no longer necessary based on experience with current isolator manufacturers, or unrealistically burdensome and no longer serving a useful purpose.
8. Additional commentary is given to clarify such terms as *design displacement*, which is used for calculating the effective stiffness of an isolator, and *total design displacement* (TDD), which is used for design and specifying the testing requirements for an isolator.
9. Editorial updates/corrections have been made to ensure compatibility with the style and format of the Design Specifications as far as possible. All references to the Standard Specifications have been replaced by corresponding references to the Design Specifications and, where appropriate, to LRFD Seismic.
10. The uniform load method of analysis (Article 7.1) has been renamed the simplified method to better reflect the nature of the method and avoid confusion with the uniform load method given in the Design Specifications and LRFD Seismic.
11. Portions of Article C7 have been determined to be more appropriate to Article 8.1.2 and have been moved accordingly. Portions of Article C7.1 contain mandatory language and have been moved to Article 7.1 in this edition of the Guide Specifications.

## PREFACE TO THE FOURTH EDITION, 2014

This Fourth Edition (2014) of the *Guide Specifications for Seismic Isolation Design* updates the Third Edition (2010) principally by the addition of a set of design examples in Appendix B.

Today, about 200 bridges have been designed and constructed in the U.S. using the AASHTO *Guide Specifications for Seismic Isolation Design* but this figure is a fraction of the potential number of applications and falls far short of the number of isolated bridges in other countries.

One of the major barriers to implementation is that isolation is a significant departure from conventional seismic design and one that is not routinely taught in university degree courses. Furthermore, very few text books on this topic have been published and those that are available focus on applications to buildings rather than bridges. The absence of formal instruction and lack of reference material mean that many designers are not familiar with the approach and uncomfortable using the technique, despite the potential for significant benefits.

In an effort to correct this situation, fourteen design examples were developed to illustrate the design process and the design of related isolation hardware in accordance with the Guide Specifications. This work was funded under NCHRP 20-7, Task 262.

These design examples are included in Appendix B and illustrate the application of seismic isolation to a range of bridges for varying seismic hazard, site classification, isolator type, and bridge type. In general, each example illustrates the suitability of the bridge for isolation (or otherwise), and presents calculations for preliminary design using the Simplified Method of analysis, preliminary and final isolator design, and detailed analysis using the Multimode Spectral Analysis procedure. However, design of the superstructure, substructure (piers), and foundations is not covered.

In addition to the inclusion of a new Appendix B, a number of editorial corrections have been made to the Guide Specifications, to improve readability and correct typographical errors.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## FRONT MATTER

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE.....	iii
HIGHWAYS SUBCOMMITTEE ON BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES 2012.....	iv
PANEL MEMBERS FOR NCHRP PROJECT 20-7/262.....	v
WORKING GROUP MEMBERS.....	v
PREFACE TO THIRD EDITION, 2010.....	vi
PREFACE TO FOURTH EDITION, 2014.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xv
LIST OF TABLES.....	xviii

## GUIDE SPECIFICATIONS

1—APPLICABILITY.....	1
2—DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION.....	5
2.1—Definitions.....	5
2.2—Notation.....	7
3—SEISMIC HAZARD.....	10
3.1—Acceleration Coefficient.....	10
3.2—Site Effects and Site Factors.....	10
4—DESIGN RESPONSE SPECTRUM.....	10
5—SEISMIC ZONES.....	12
6—RESPONSE MODIFICATION FACTOR ( $R$ ).....	12
7—ANALYSIS PROCEDURES.....	13
7.1—Simplified Method.....	15
7.2—Single Mode Spectral Method.....	19
7.3—Multimode Spectral Method.....	19
7.4—Time-History Method.....	19
8—DESIGN PROPERTIES OF ISOLATION SYSTEM.....	20
8.1—Nominal Design Properties.....	20
8.1.1—Minimum and Maximum Effective Stiffness.....	20
8.1.2—Minimum and Maximum $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	20
8.2—System Property Modification Factors ( $\lambda$ ).....	21
8.2.1—Minimum and Maximum System Property Modification Factors.....	21
8.2.2—System Property Adjustment Factors.....	22
9—CLEARANCES.....	22
10—DESIGN FORCES FOR SEISMIC ZONE 1.....	22
11—DESIGN FORCES FOR SEISMIC ZONES 2, 3, AND 4.....	23

12—OTHER REQUIREMENTS .....	23
12.1—Non-seismic Lateral Forces.....	23
12.1.1—Strength Limit State Resistance.....	23
12.1.2—Cold Weather Requirements.....	23
12.2—Lateral Restoring Force.....	24
12.3—Vertical Load Stability .....	24
12.4—Rotational Capacity .....	25
13—REQUIRED TESTS OF ISOLATION SYSTEMS .....	25
13.1—System Characterization Tests .....	25
13.1.1—Low-Temperature Test .....	25
13.1.2—Wear and Fatigue Tests .....	26
13.2—Prototype Tests.....	26
13.2.1—Test Specimens .....	26
13.2.2—Required Tests .....	26
13.2.2.1—Thermal.....	27
13.2.2.2—Wind and Braking: Preseismic Test.....	27
13.2.2.3—Seismic.....	27
13.2.2.4—Wind and Braking: Post-Seismic Test .....	27
13.2.2.5—Seismic Performance Verification.....	27
13.2.2.6—Stability.....	27
13.2.3—Components to be Tested.....	28
13.2.4—Rate Dependency .....	28
13.3—Determination of System Characteristics .....	28
13.3.1—System Adequacy .....	29
13.3.1.1—Incremental Force Capacity .....	29
13.3.1.2—Maximum Measured Force.....	29
13.3.1.3—Maximum Measured Displacement .....	30
13.3.1.4—Average Effective Stiffness .....	30
13.3.1.5—Minimum Effective Stiffness.....	30
13.3.1.6—Minimum Energy Dissipated per Cycle.....	30
13.3.1.7—Stability under Vertical Load.....	30
13.3.1.8—Specimen Deterioration .....	30
14—ELASTOMERIC BEARINGS.....	31
14.1—General .....	31
14.2—Shear Strain Components for Isolation Bearing Design.....	31
14.2.1—Shear Strain Due to Compression.....	31
14.2.2—Shear Strain Due to Non-seismic Lateral Displacement.....	32
14.2.3—Shear Strain Due to Seismic Lateral Displacement .....	32
14.2.4—Shear Strain Due to Rotation .....	32
14.3—Limit State Requirements.....	33
15—ELASTOMERIC BEARINGS—CONSTRUCTION.....	33
15.1—General Requirements .....	33
15.2—Quality Control Tests .....	34
15.2.1—Compression Capacity .....	34
15.2.2—Combined Compression and Shear.....	34

15.2.3—Post-Test Acceptance Criteria .....	34
16—SLIDING BEARINGS—DESIGN .....	35
16.1—General .....	35
16.2—Materials .....	35
16.2.1—Material Selection .....	35
16.2.2—PTFE Bearing Liners .....	35
16.2.3—Other Bearing Liner Materials .....	36
16.2.4—Mating Surface .....	36
16.3—Geometry .....	36
16.3.1—Minimum Thickness .....	36
16.3.1.1—PTFE Bearing Liner .....	36
16.3.1.2—Other Bearing Liner Materials .....	36
16.3.2—Mating Surface .....	36
16.3.3—Displacement Capacity .....	36
16.4—Loads and Stresses .....	37
16.4.1—Contact Pressure .....	37
16.4.2 Coefficient of Friction .....	37
16.4.2.1—Service Coefficient of Friction .....	37
16.4.2.2—Seismic Coefficient of Friction .....	38
16.5—Other Details .....	38
16.5.1—Bearing Liner Attachment .....	38
16.5.2—Mating Surface Attachment .....	38
16.6—Materials for Guides .....	38
17—SLIDING BEARINGS—CONSTRUCTION .....	39
17.1—General Requirements .....	39
17.2—Quality Control Tests .....	39
17.2.1—Compression Capacity .....	39
17.2.2—Combined Compression and Shear .....	39
17.2.3—Post-Test Acceptance Criteria .....	39
18—OTHER ISOLATION SYSTEMS .....	39
18.1—Scope .....	39
18.2—System Characterization Tests .....	40
18.3—Fabrication, Installation, Inspection, and Maintenance Requirements .....	40
18.4—Prototype Tests .....	41
18.5—Quality Control Tests .....	41
18.5.1—Compression Capacity .....	41
18.5.2—Combined Compression and Shear .....	41
18.5.3—Acceptance Criteria .....	41
19—REFERENCES .....	41
APPENDIX A—PROPERTY MODIFICATION FACTORS, $\lambda$ .....	44
A1—SLIDING ISOLATION SYSTEMS .....	44
A1.1—Factors for Establishing $\lambda_{min}$ .....	44
A1.2—Factors for Establishing $\lambda_{max}$ .....	44
A1.2.1—Maximum Factor for Aging, $\lambda_{max,a}$ .....	44

A1.2.2—Maximum Factor for Velocity, $\lambda_{max,v}$ .....	44
A1.2.3—Maximum Factor for Contamination, $\lambda_{max,c}$ .....	44
A1.2.4—Maximum Factor for Travel (Wear), $\lambda_{max,tr}$ .....	45
A1.2.5—Maximum Factor for Temperature, $\lambda_{max,t}$ .....	45
A2—ELASTOMERIC BEARINGS .....	45
A2.1—Factors for Establishing $\lambda_{min}$ .....	46
A2.2—Factors for Establishing $\lambda_{max}$ .....	46
A2.2.1—Maximum Factor for Aging, $\lambda_{max,a}$ .....	46
A2.2.2—Maximum Factor for Velocity, $\lambda_{max,v}$ .....	46
A2.2.3—Maximum Factor for Contamination, $\lambda_{max,c}$ .....	47
A2.2.4—Maximum Factor for Travel (Wear), $\lambda_{max,tr}$ .....	47
A2.2.5—Maximum Factor for Temperature, $\lambda_{max,t}$ .....	47
A2.2.6—Maximum Factor for Scragging, $\lambda_{max,scrag}$ .....	47
APPENDIX B—DESIGN EXAMPLES .....	49
B1—INTRODUCTION .....	49
B1.1—General .....	49
B1.2—Acknowledgments .....	49
B1.3—Background .....	50
B1.4—Design Examples .....	50
B1.4.1—Benchmark Bridge No. 1 .....	51
B1.4.2—Benchmark Bridge No. 2 .....	52
B1.5—Design Methodology .....	54
B1.6—Presentation of Design Examples .....	56
B1.7—Summary of Results .....	56
B2—BRIDGE NO. 1: PC GIRDER BRIDGE, SHORT SPAN, MULTI-COLUMN PIERS .....	59
B2.1—Example 1.0 (Benchmark #1) .....	60
B2.1.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 1.0 .....	60
B2.1.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 1.0 .....	61
B2.1.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 1.0 .....	62
B2.1.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 1.0 .....	68
B2.1.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 1.0 .....	74
B2.1.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 1.0 .....	76
B2.1.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 1.0 .....	77
B2.2—Example 1.1 (Site Class D) .....	90
B2.2.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 1.1 .....	90
B2.2.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 1.1 .....	91
B2.2.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 1.1 .....	92
B2.2.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 1.1 .....	98
B2.2.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 1.1 .....	104
B2.2.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 1.1 .....	106
B2.2.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 1.1 .....	107
B2.3—Example 1.2 ( $S_1 = 0.6 g$ ) .....	120
B2.3.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 1.2 .....	120
B2.3.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 1.2 .....	121
B2.3.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 1.2 .....	122

B2.3.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 1.2 .....	129
B2.3.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 1.2 .....	134
B2.3.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 1.2 .....	137
B2.3.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 1.2 .....	138
B2.4—Example 1.3 (Spherical Friction Isolators) .....	151
B2.4.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 1.3 .....	151
B2.4.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 1.3 .....	152
B2.4.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 1.3 .....	153
B2.4.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 1.3 .....	159
B2.4.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 1.3 .....	165
B2.4.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 1.3 .....	167
B2.4.5—Step E: Design of Spherical Friction Isolators, Example 1.3 .....	168
B2.5—Example 1.4 (EradiQuake Isolators) .....	176
B2.5.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 1.4 .....	176
B2.5.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 1.4 .....	177
B2.5.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 1.4 .....	178
B2.5.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 1.4 .....	184
B2.5.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 1.4 .....	190
B2.5.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 1.4 .....	191
B2.5.5—Step E: Design of EradiQuake Isolators, Example 1.4 .....	193
B2.6—Example 1.5 (Unequal Pier Heights) .....	206
B2.6.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 1.5 .....	206
B2.6.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 1.5 .....	208
B2.6.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 1.5 .....	209
B2.6.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 1.5 .....	214
B2.6.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 1.5 .....	220
B2.6.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 1.5 .....	222
B2.6.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 1.5 .....	223
B2.7—Example 1.6 (Skew = 45°) .....	237
B2.7.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 1.6 .....	237
B2.7.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 1.6 .....	238
B2.7.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 1.6 .....	239
B2.7.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 1.6 .....	246
B2.7.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 1.6 .....	253
B2.7.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 1.6 .....	255
B2.7.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 1.6 .....	257
B3—BRIDGE NO. 2: STEEL PLATE GIRDER BRIDGE, LONG SPANS, SINGLE-COLUMN PIER .....	269
B3.1—Example 2.0 (Benchmark #2) .....	270
B3.1.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 2.0 .....	270
B3.1.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 2.0 .....	271
B3.1.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 2.0 .....	272
B3.1.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 2.0 .....	278
B3.1.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 2.0 .....	284
B3.1.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 2.0 .....	285
B3.1.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 2.0 .....	286
B3.2—Example 2.1 (Site Class D) .....	300
B3.2.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 2.1 .....	300

B3.2.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 2.1 .....	301
B3.2.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 2.1 .....	302
B3.2.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 2.1 .....	310
B3.2.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 2.1 .....	317
B3.2.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 2.1 .....	318
B3.2.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 2.1 .....	319
B3.3—Example 2.2 ( $S_1 = 0.6 g$ ) .....	332
B3.3.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 2.2 .....	332
B3.3.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 2.2 .....	333
B3.3.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 2.2 .....	334
B3.3.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 2.2 .....	342
B3.3.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 2.2 .....	348
B3.3.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 2.2 .....	349
B3.3.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 2.2 .....	350
B3.4—Example 2.3 (Spherical Friction Isolators) .....	363
B3.4.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 2.3 .....	363
B3.4.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 2.3 .....	364
B3.4.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 2.3 .....	365
B3.4.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 2.3 .....	371
B3.4.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 2.3 .....	377
B3.4.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 2.3 .....	378
B3.4.5—Step E: Design of Spherical Friction Isolators, Example 2.3 .....	379
B3.5—Example 2.4 (Eradquake Isolators) .....	387
B3.5.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 2.4 .....	387
B3.5.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 2.4 .....	388
B3.5.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 2.4 .....	389
B3.5.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 2.4 .....	395
B3.5.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 2.4 .....	401
B3.5.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 2.4 .....	402
B3.5.5—Step E: Design of Eradquake Isolators, Example 2.5 .....	403
B3.6—Example 2.5 (Unequal Pier Heights) .....	416
B3.6.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 2.5 .....	416
B3.6.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 2.5 .....	417
B3.6.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 2.5 .....	418
B3.6.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 2.5 .....	424
B3.6.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 2.5 .....	431
B3.6.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 2.5 .....	432
B3.6.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 2.5 .....	433
B3.7—Example 2.6 (Skew = $45^\circ$ ) .....	444
B3.7.1—Step A: Identify Bridge Data, Example 2.6 .....	444
B3.7.2—Step B: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading in Longitudinal Direction, Example 2.6 .....	445
B3.7.2.1—Step B1: Simplified Method, Example 2.6 .....	446
B3.7.2.2—Step B2: Multimode Spectral Analysis Method, Example 2.6 .....	452
B3.7.3—Step C: Analyze Bridge for Earthquake Loading Transverse Direction, Example 2.6 .....	459
B3.7.4—Step D: Calculate Design Values, Example 2.6 .....	461
B3.7.5—Step E: Design of Lead-Rubber (Elastomeric) Isolators, Example 2.6 .....	462

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure C1-1—Typical Acceleration Response Curve.....	2
Figure C1-2—Typical Displacement Response Curve.....	2
Figure C1-3—Response Curves for Increasing Damping.....	3
Figure C1-4—Characteristics of Bilinear Isolation Bearings.....	4
Figure C1-5—Example Design Response Spectrum for Isolated Bridge.....	5
Figure 2.1-1—Plan View of Bridge Showing Displacements of Single Isolator and Derivation of Total Design Displacement (TDD).....	6
Figure 2.2-1—Overlap Areas for Elastomeric Bearings.....	7
Figure 4-1—Design Response Spectrum.....	11
Figure C7-1—Impact of Variations in $K_d$ and $Q_d$ on $F_{max}$ and $d_{max}$ .....	14
Figure 7.1-1—Isolator and Substructure Deformations Due to Lateral Load.....	17
Figure 12.2-1—Tangent Stiffness of Isolation System.....	25
Figure C12.2-1—Force-Displacement Relation of Systems with Constant Restoring Force.....	25
Figure C13.1.2-1—Calculation of Movement Due to Live Load Rotation.....	27
Figure C13.3-1—Definition of Effective Stiffness.....	29
Figure B1.4.1-1—Plan, Side View, and Pier Elevation for 3-Span Benchmark Bridge No. 1.....	52
Figure B1.4.2-1—Plan of 3-Span Benchmark Bridge No. 2.....	53
Figure B1.4.2-2—Typical Section of Superstructure and Elevation at Pier of Benchmark Bridge No. 2.....	53
Figure B1.5-1—Methodology and Steps in Design of Seismically Isolated Bridge.....	55
Figure B2-1— Bridge No. 1: PC Girder Bridge, Short Spans, Multicolumn Piers.....	59
Figure B2.1.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 1.0.....	61
Figure B2.1.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators.....	62
Figure B2.1.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure.....	64
Figure B2.1.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 1.0.....	70
Figure B2.1.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.0.....	71
Figure B2.1.2.2.8-1—Updated Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.0.....	72
Figure B2.1.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator.....	77
Figure B2.2.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 1.1.....	91
Figure B2.2.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators.....	92
Figure B2.2.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure.....	94
Figure B2.2.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 1.1.....	100
Figure B2.2.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.1.....	100
Figure B2.2.2.2.8-1—Updated Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.1.....	102
Figure B2.2.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator.....	107
Figure B2.3.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 1.2.....	121
Figure B2.3.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators.....	122
Figure B2.3.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure.....	125
Figure B2.3.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 1.2.....	130
Figure B2.3.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.2.....	131
Figure B2.3.2.2.8-1—Updated Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.2.....	132
Figure B2.3.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator.....	138
Figure B2.4.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 1.3.....	152
Figure B2.4.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators.....	153
Figure B2.4.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure.....	156
Figure B2.4.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 1.3.....	161

Figure B2.4.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.3 .....	162
Figure B2.4.2.2.8-1—Updated Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.3 .....	163
Figure B2.4.5-1—Typical Section of a Concave Friction Isolator.....	169
Figure B2.4.5-2—Forces Acting on Slider in Concave Friction Bearing When Sliding to Right (Not to Scale) .....	169
Figure B2.5.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 1.4.....	177
Figure B2.3.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	178
Figure B2.5.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure .....	180
Figure B2.5.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 1.4 .....	186
Figure B2.5.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.4.....	186
Figure B2.5.2.2.8-1—Updated Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.4 .....	188
Figure B2.5.5-1—Typical Plan and Section of an EradiQuake Isolator .....	194
Figure B2.5.5.3-1—Side View (Above) and Section (Below) of EradiQuake Isolator .....	201
Figure B2.6.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 1.5.....	208
Figure B2.6.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	209
Figure B2.6.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure .....	211
Figure B2.6.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 1.5 .....	216
Figure B2.6.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.5.....	217
Figure B2.6.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator .....	224
Figure B2.7.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 1.6.....	239
Figure B2.7.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	240
Figure B2.7.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure .....	242
Figure B2.7.2.2.2-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 1.6 .....	248
Figure B2.7.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Response Spectrum for Example 1.6.....	248
Figure B2.7.2.2.6-1—First Three Mode Shapes for Isolated Bridge with 45° Skew (Example 1.6) .....	250
Figure B2.7.2.2.8-1—Updated Composite Design Spectrum for Example 1.6 .....	251
Figure B2.7.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator .....	257
Figure B3-1—Bridge No. 2: Steel Plate Girder Bridge, Long Spans, Single-Column Pier.....	269
Figure B3.1.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 2.0.....	271
Figure B3.1.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	272
Figure B3.1.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure .....	274
Figure B3.1.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 2.0 .....	280
Figure B3.1.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 2.0.....	280
Figure B3.1.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator .....	287
Figure B3.2.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 2.1 .....	301
Figure B3.2.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	302
Figure B3.3.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure .....	304
Figure B3.2.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 2.1 .....	312
Figure B3.2.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 2.1 .....	313
Figure B3.2.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator .....	319
Figure B3.3.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 2.2.....	333
Figure B3.3.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	334
Figure B3.3.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure .....	336
Figure B3.3.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 2.2 .....	344
Figure B3.3.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 2.2.....	344
Figure B3.3.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator .....	351
Figure B3.4.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 2.3.....	364
Figure B3.4.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	365

Figure B3.4.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure .....	367
Figure B3.4.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 2.3 .....	373
Figure B3.4.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 2.3 .....	373
Figure B3.4.5-1—Typical Section of a Concave Friction Isolator .....	379
Figure B3.4.5-2—Forces Acting on Slider in Concave Friction Bearing When Sliding to Right (Not to Scale) .....	380
Figure B3.5.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 2.4 .....	388
Figure B3.5.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	389
Figure B3.5.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure .....	391
Figure B3.5.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 2.4 .....	397
Figure B3.5.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 2.4 .....	397
Figure B3.5.5-1—Typical Plan and Section of an EradiQuake Isolator .....	404
Figure B3.5.5.3-1—Side View (Above) and Section (Below) of EradiQuake Isolator .....	411
Figure B3.6.1.2-1—Design Response Spectrum for Example 2.5 .....	417
Figure B3.6.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	418
Figure B3.6.2.2.4-1—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 2.5 .....	426
Figure B3.6.2.2.5-1—Composite Design Spectrum for Example 2.5 .....	426
Figure B3.6.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator .....	434
Figure B3.7.1.2-1— Design Response Spectrum for Example 2.6 .....	445
Figure B3.7.2-1—Properties of Bilinear Isolators .....	446
Figure B3.7.2.1.3-1—Combined Effective Stiffness of Bilinear Isolator and Linear Supporting Substructure .....	448
Figure B3.7.2.2.4—Finite Element Model of Bridge in Example 2.6 .....	454
Figure B3.7.2.2.5-1— Composite Design Spectrum for Example 2.6 .....	454
Figure B3.7.2.2.6-1—First Three Mode Shapes for Isolated Bridge with 45° Skew (Example 2.6) .....	456
Figure B3.7.5-1—Typical Section of a Lead-Rubber Isolator .....	463

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 5-1—Seismic Zones .....	12
Table 15.2.2-1—Tolerances for Test Results for Individual Bearings and Bearing Groups .....	34
Table 16.4.1-1—Allowable Average Contact Stress for PTFE .....	37
Table 16.4.2.1-1—Service Coefficients of Friction .....	38
Table A1.2.1-1—Maximum Value of Property Modification Factor for Aging, $\lambda_{max,a}$ .....	45
Table A1.2.3-1—Maximum Value of Property Modification Factor for Contamination, $\lambda_{max,c}$ .....	46
Table A1.2.4-1—Maximum Value of Property Modification Factor for Travel (Wear), $\lambda_{max,tr}$ .....	46
Table A1.2.5-1—Maximum Value of Property Modification Factor for Temperature, $\lambda_{max,t}$ .....	46
Table A2.2.1-1—Maximum Value of Property Modification Factor for Aging, $\lambda_{max,a}$ .....	48
Table A2.2.5-1—Maximum Value of Property Modification Factor for Temperature, $\lambda_{max,t}$ .....	48
Table A2.2.6-1—Maximum Value of Property Modification Factor for Scragging, $\lambda_{max,scrag}$ .....	49
Table B1.4-1—Seismic Isolation Design Examples .....	51
Table B1.7-1—Summary of Seismic Isolator Designs .....	57
Table B2.1.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 1.0, Final Iteration .....	68
Table B2.1.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge, Example 1.0, First Iteration .....	71
Table B2.1.2.2.12-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake .....	74
Table B2.1.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake .....	75
Table B2.1.5.6-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	86
Table B2.1.5.6-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors .....	87
Table B2.1.5.7.1-1—Isolator Dimensions .....	88
Table B2.1.5.7.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance .....	88
Table B2.2.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 1.1, Final Iteration .....	98
Table B2.2.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 1.1, First Iteration .....	101
Table B2.2.2.2.13-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake .....	104
Table B2.2.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake .....	105
Table B2.2.5.6-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	115
Table B2.2.5.6-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors .....	116
Table B2.2.5.7-1—Isolator Dimensions .....	117
Table B2.2.5.8.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance .....	118
Table B2.3.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 1.2, Final Iteration .....	128
Table B2.3.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 1.2, First Iteration .....	131
Table B2.3.2.12.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake .....	134
Table B2.3.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake .....	136
Table B2.3.5.6-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	146
Table B2.3.5.6-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors .....	147
Table B2.3.5.7.1-1—Isolator Dimensions .....	148
Table B2.3.5.7.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance .....	149
Table B2.4.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 1.3, Final Iteration .....	159
Table B2.4.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 1.3, First Iteration .....	162
Table B2.4.2.2.12-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake .....	165
Table B2.4.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake .....	167
Table B2.4.5.2.3-1—Material Properties .....	170
Table B2.4.5.4-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	172
Table B2.4.5.4-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors .....	173
Table B2.4.5.5.1-1—Isolator Dimensions .....	174

Table B2.4.5.5.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	174
Table B2.5.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 1.4, Final Iteration .....	184
Table B2.5.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 1.4, First Iteration .....	187
Table B2.5.2.2.13-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake .....	190
Table B2.5.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake .....	191
Table B2.5.5.4-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	201
Table B2.5.5.4-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	202
Table B2.5.5.5.1-1—Isolator Dimensions .....	203
Table B2.5.5.5.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	204
Table B2.6.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 1.5, Final Iteration .....	214
Table B2.6.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 1.5, First Iteration .....	217
Table B2.6.2.2.11-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake .....	220
Table B2.6.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake .....	222
Table B2.6.5.6-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	232
Table B2.6.5.6-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	233
Table B2.6.5.7.1-1—Isolator Dimensions .....	234
Table B2.6.5.7.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	235
Table B2.7.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 1.6, Final Iteration .....	246
Table B2.7.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 1.6, First Iteration .....	249
Table B2.7.2.2.11-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake .....	253
Table B2.7.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake .....	255
Table B2.7.5.5.1-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	265
Table B2.7.5.5.1-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	266
Table B2.7.5.6.1-1—Isolator Dimensions .....	267
Table B2.7.5.6.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	268
Table B3.1.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 2.0, Final Iteration .....	278
Table B3.1.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 2.0, First Iteration .....	281
Table B3.1.2.2.13-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake .....	284
Table B3.1.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake .....	285
Table B3.1.5.6-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	295
Table B3.1.5.6-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	296
Table B3.1.5.8.1-1—Isolator Dimensions .....	297
Table B3.1.5.8.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	298
Table B3.2.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 2.1—First Solution, Final Iteration.....	309
Table B3.2.2.1.12-2—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 2.1—Second Solution, Final Iteration .....	310
Table B3.2.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 2.1, First Iteration .....	313
Table B3.2.2.2.13-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake .....	316
Table B3.2.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake .....	317
Table B3.2.5.6-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	327
Table B3.2.5.6-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	328
Table B3.2.5.7.1-1—Isolator Dimensions .....	329
Table B3.2.5.7.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	330
Table B3.3.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 2.1—First Solution, Final Iteration, $Q_d = 0.05W$ .....	341
Table B3.3.2.1.12-2—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 2.1—Second Solution, Final Iteration, $Q_d = 0.09W$ .....	342
Table B3.3.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 2.2, First Iteration .....	345

Table B3.3.2.2.13-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake.....	348
Table B3.3.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake.....	349
Table B3.3.5.6-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	358
Table B3.3.5.6-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	359
Table B3.3.5.7.1-1—Isolator Dimensions.....	360
Table B3.3.5.7.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	361
Table B3.4.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 2.3, Final Iteration.....	371
Table B3.4.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 2.3, First Iteration.....	374
Table B3.4.2.2.13-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake.....	377
Table B3.4.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake.....	378
Table B3.4.5.2.3-1—Material Properties.....	381
Table B3.4.5.4-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	383
Table B3.4.5.4-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	384
Table B3.4.5.5.1-1—Isolator Dimensions.....	385
Table B3.4.5.5.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	385
Table B3.5.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 2.4, Final Iteration.....	395
Table B3.5.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 2.4, First Iteration.....	398
Table B3.5.2.2.13-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake.....	401
Table B3.5.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake.....	402
Table B3.5.5.4-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	412
Table B3.5.5.4-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	412
Table B3.5.5.5.1-1—Isolator Dimensions.....	413
Table B3.5.5.5.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	414
Table B3.6.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 2.5, Final Iteration.....	424
Table B3.6.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 2.5, First Iteration.....	427
Table B3.6.2.2.13-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake.....	430
Table B3.6.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake.....	432
Table B3.6.5.6-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	439
Table B3.6.5.6-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	440
Table B3.6.5.7.1-1—Isolator Dimensions.....	441
Table B3.6.5.7.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	442
Table B3.7.2.1.12-1—Simplified Method Solution for Design Example 2.6, Final Iteration.....	452
Table B3.7.2.2.6-1—Modal Properties of Bridge Example 2.6, First Iteration.....	455
Table B3.7.2.2.13-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Longitudinal Earthquake.....	459
Table B3.7.3.1-1—Maximum Isolator Shear and Axial Forces Due to Transverse Earthquake.....	461
Table B3.7.5.5.1-1—Minimum and Maximum Values for $K_d$ and $Q_d$ .....	469
Table B3.7.5.5.1-2—Minimum and Maximum Values for System Property Modification Factors.....	469
Table B3.7.5.6.1-1—Isolator Dimensions.....	470
Table B3.7.5.6.2-1—Summary of Bridge Performance.....	471