

# Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware

Second Edition



2016

# Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware

Second Edition



2016

© 2016, by American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. All rights reserved.  
This book, or parts thereof, may not be reproduced in any form without written permission of the publisher.  
Printed in the United States of America.

Publication Code: MASH-2

ISBN: 978-1-56051-665-1

**AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY  
AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS  
EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
2015–2016**

President: Paul Trombino, Iowa  
Vice President: David Bernhardt, Maine  
Secretary/Treasurer: Carlos Braceras, Utah

**Regional Representatives**

**REGION I**

Leslie Richards, Pennsylvania, One-Year Term  
Pete Rahn, Maryland, Two-Year Term

**REGION II**

Paul Mattox, West Virginia, One-Year Term  
Charles Kilpatrick, Virginia, Two-Year Term

**REGION III**

Charles A. Zelle, Minnesota, One-Year Term  
Randall S. Blankenhorn, Illinois, Two-Year Term

**REGION IV**

Brian Ness, Idaho, One-Year Term  
Carlos Braceras, Utah, Two-Year Term

**Non-Voting Members**

Immediate Past President: (Vacant)  
Executive Director: Bud Wright, Washington, DC

## HIGHWAYS SUBCOMMITTEE ON DESIGN 2016

Carlos Braceras, Utah, Chair  
Joyce Taylor, Maine, Vice Chair  
Robert Mooney, FHWA, Secretary  
Patricia Bush, AASHTO Liaison

---

ALABAMA, William Adams, Rex Bush, William Kelly	OHIO, David Slatzer
ALASKA, Mark Neidhold, Kenneth Morton	OKLAHOMA, Tim Tegeler
ARIZONA, Steve Boschen, Annette Riley	OREGON, David Joe Polly
ARKANSAS, Mike Fugett, Trinity Smith	PENNSYLVANIA, Melissa Batula
CALIFORNIA, Timothy Craggs, Cathrina Barros	PUERTO RICO, Luis Santos, José E. Santana-Pimentel
COLORADO, Neil Lacey	RHODE ISLAND, Vincent Palumbo
CONNECTICUT, Scott Hill, Rabih Barakat, Timothy Wilson	SOUTH CAROLINA, Ladd Gibson, Rob Bedenbaugh, James Kendall, Jr.
DELAWARE, Brian Mcllvaine, Mark Tudor	SOUTH DAKOTA, Mark A. Leiferman
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Zahra Dorriz	TENNESSEE, Jennifer Lloyd, Ali Hangul, Jeff Jones
FLORIDA, Michael Shepard, Paul Hiers	TEXAS, Mark A. Marek
GEORGIA, Brent Story, Andy Casey	UTAH, Ben Huot, Fred Doehring, George Lukes
HAWAII, Julius Fronda	VERMONT, Kevin Marshia, Jesse Devlin, Kenneth Robie
IDAHO, Jesse Barrus	VIRGINIA, Barton A. Thrasher, Robert H. Cary, Mohammad Mirshahi,
ILLINOIS, Michael Brand	WASHINGTON, Edward Carpenter, Nancy Boyd, Michael Flemming
INDIANA, John Wright, Jeff Clanton	WEST VIRGINIA, RJ Scites, Dirar Ahmad, Chad Toney
IOWA, Michael J. Kennerly, Deanna Maifield, Chris Poole	WISCONSIN, Jerry H. Zogg
KANSAS, Scott King	WYOMING, Andrea Allen, Sandra Pecenka
KENTUCKY, Bradley Eldridge, Robert Caudill	ALBERTA, Moh Lali
LOUISIANA, Chad Winchester, Simone Ardoin, David Smith	SASKATCHEWAN, Sukhy Kent
MAINE, Brad Foley, Steve Bodge, Charles Hebson	KOREA, Chan-Su "Chris" Reem
MARYLAND, Jason Ridgway, Eric Marabello, Angela Smith	TEXAS TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE, Paul Carlson
MASSACHUSETTS, Hasmukh Patel	VIRGINIA TECH TRANSPORTATION INSTITUTE, Ronald Gibbons
MICHIGAN, Kristin Schuster MINNESOTA, Chris Roy	AASHTO, Marty Vitale, Liaison
MISSISSIPPI, James Pittman, Amy Mood, David Seal	
MISSOURI, Eric Schroeter	
MONTANA, Lesly Tribelhorn, James Combs	
NEBRASKA, Michael Owen	
NEVADA, Paul Frost, Kristena Shigenaga	
NEW HAMPSHIRE, James Marshall	
NEW JERSEY, Richard Jaffe	
NEW MEXICO, Gabriela Contreras-Apodaca, Richard Pena	
NEW YORK, Richard Lee, Richard Wilder, Stephen Zargham	
NORTH CAROLINA, Glenn Mumford, Judith Corley-Lay	
NORTH DAKOTA, Roger Weigel	

# HIGHWAYS SUBCOMMITTEE ON BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES 2016

Gregg Fredrick, Wyoming, Chair  
Bruce Johnson, Oregon, Vice Chair  
Joseph Hartman, FHWA, Secretary  
Patricia Bush, AASHTO Liaison

ALABAMA, William Colquett, Eric Christie, Blake Mullins	OKLAHOMA, Steven Jacobi, Walter Peters
ALASKA, Richard A. Pratt	OREGON, Tanarat Potisuk, Hormoz Seradj
ARIZONA, David Eberhart, David Benton, Pe-Shen Yang	PENNSYLVANIA, Thomas P. Macioce, James Long, Lou Ruzzi
ARKANSAS, Charles Richard Ellis	PUERTO RICO, Vacant
CALIFORNIA, Thomas Ostrom, Susan Hida, Dolores Valls	RHODE ISLAND, Georgette Chahine
COLORADO, Behrooz Far, Stephen Harelson, Jessica Marti- nez	SOUTH CAROLINA, Terry Koon, Barry W. Bowers, Jeff Sizemore
CONNECTICUT, Timothy Fields	SOUTH DAKOTA, Steve Johnson
DELAWARE, Barry A. Benton, Jason Hastings	TENNESSEE, Wayne Seger, John Hastings
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Konjit "Connie" Eskender, Donald Cooney, Richard Kenney	TEXAS, Gregg Freeby, Bernie Carrasco, Jamie Farris
FLORIDA, Sam Fallaha, William Potter, Jeffrey Pouliotte	UTAH, Carmen Swanwick, Cheryl Hersh Simmons
GEORGIA, Bill DuVall	VERMONT, Wayne Symonds, James LaCroix
HAWAII, Vacant	VIRGINIA, Kendal Walus., Prasad L. Nallapaneni
IDAHO, Matthew M. Farrar	WASHINGTON, Thomas Baker, Tony M. Allen, Bijan Khaleghi
ILLINOIS, Carl Puzey, Tim Armbrecht	WEST VIRGINIA, Billy Varney, Ahmed Mongi
INDIANA, Anne M. Rearick	WISCONSIN, Scot Becker, William Dreher, William Olivia
IOWA, Norman L. McDonald, Ahmad Abu-Hawash	WYOMING, Mike Menghini, Paul Cortez
KANSAS, Mark Hoppe, John Jones	DELAWARE RIVER AND BAY AUTHORITY, Shoukry Elnahal
KENTUCKY, Mark Hite, Marvin Wolfe	GOLDEN GATE BRIDGE, Kary H. Witt
LOUISIANA, Paul Fossier, Arthur D'Andrea, Zhengzheng "Jenny" Fu	MARYLAND TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY, Dan Williams
MAINE, Wayne Frankhauser, Jeffrey S. Folsom, Michael Wight	NJ TURNPIKE AUTHORITY, Richard J. Raczynski
MARYLAND, Earle S. Freedman, Jeffery Robert, Gregory Roby	NY STATE BRIDGE AUTHORITY, William J. Moreau
MASSACHUSETTS, Alexander K. Bardow, Thomas Donald, Joseph Rigney	PENNSYLVANIA TURNPIKE COMMISSION, James Stump
MICHIGAN, David Juntunen, Matthew Chynoweth	WARREN COUNTY ENGINEERING DEPT., Neil Tunison
MINNESOTA, Arielle Ehrlich, Kevin Western	KOREA EXPRESSWAY CORPORATION, Eui-Joon Lee, Sang-Soon Lee
MISSISSIPPI, Justin Walker, Austin Banks, Scott Westerfield	SASKATCHEWAN, Howard Yea
MISSOURI, Dennis Heckman, Scott Stotlemeyer	TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD, Waseem Dekelbab
MONTANA, Kent M. Barnes, David Johnson	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, Phillip Sauser, Christopher Westbrook
NEBRASKA, Mark Traynowicz, Mark Ahlman, Fouad Jaber	U.S. COAST GUARD, Kamal Elnahal
NEVADA, Jessen Mortensen, Troy Martin	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE—FOREST SERVICE, John R. Kattel
NEW HAMPSHIRE, David L. Scott, Peter Stannas	AASHTO, Marty Vitale, Liaison
NEW JERSEY, Nagnath "Nat" Kasbekar, Xiaohua Hannah Cheng, Eli Lambert III	
NEW MEXICO, Ray Trujillo, Ted Barber, Jeff Vigil	
NEW YORK, Richard Marchione, Wahid Albert	
NORTH CAROLINA, Thomas Koch, Brian Hanks, Scott Hidden	
NORTH DAKOTA, Terrence R. Udland	
OHIO, Timothy J. Keller, Alexander Dettloff	

## TECHNICAL COMMITTEE ON ROADSIDE SAFETY 2016

Keith A. Cota, New Hampshire, Chair  
Chris Poole, Iowa, Vice Chair  
Nicholas Artimovich, II, FHWA, Secretary  
Kelly Hardy, AASHTO Liaison

---

### Representatives from the Subcommittee on Design

Kevin Herritt, California  
C. Andy Casey, Georgia  
Scott King, Kansas  
Jason Siwula, Kentucky  
Paul Fossier, Jr., Louisiana  
Teri Soos, Maryland  
Alexander Bardow, Massachusetts  
Michael Elle, Minnesota  
Arielle Ehrlich, Minnesota  
David Bizuga, New Jersey  
Bradley Bortnick, New York  
Bucky Galloway, North Carolina  
Bernie Clocksin, South Dakota  
Christopher Lindsey, Texas  
Alex Price, Virginia  
Erik Emerson, Wisconsin  
William Wilson, Wyoming

### Members from the U.S. Department of Transportation

Richard Albin, FHWA  
Eduardo Arispe, FHWA  
Frank Julian, FHWA  
Will Longstreet, FHWA

### Associate Member—International

Mark Ayton, Ontario

### Associate Member—Other

Mark Bush, TRB

### AASHTO

Kevin Sablan, Liaison

## PREFACE

The use of effective roadside safety features provides a reasonable level of safety for the traveling public. New systems are continually emerging to address safety problems. Devices and practices improve in response to an increased understanding of safety performance, a changing vehicle fleet, the emergence of new materials, and other factors.

This second edition of the *Manual for Assessing Safety Hardware* (MASH) is the latest in a long series of crash testing guidance documents dating back to 1962. This update incorporates many changes from the previous edition. Some of the more significant changes include:

- A new matrix for cable barrier testing on slopes
- Modifications to several test vehicle dimensions
- Updated test documentation requirements

This document's purpose is to encourage consistency in crash testing and evaluation. Full-scale crash testing has been and will continue to be the most common method of evaluating the impact performance of safety hardware. Consistency in crash testing and evaluation benefits states, testing facilities, manufacturers, and the public.

Note that MASH addresses only the crash testing of roadside safety features. It does not contain installation or maintenance guidance, nor does it supersede any of the guidelines found in the AASHTO *Roadside Design Guide*.

Implementation of this Manual by roadway agencies is outlined in an AASHTO/FHWA Joint Implementation Agreement. A copy of the agreement is available through the AASHTO Bookstore at: [https://bookstore.transportation.org/item\\_details.aspx?ID=2707](https://bookstore.transportation.org/item_details.aspx?ID=2707).

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Chapter 1—Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE.....	1
1.2 UNDERLYING PHILOSOPHY.....	2
1.3 PERFORMANCE LIMITATIONS.....	3
1.4 SAFETY FEATURES.....	4
1.5 TEST LEVELS.....	5
1.6 INTERNATIONAL HARMONIZATION.....	6
1.7 ANALYTICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL TOOLS.....	6
1.8 ORGANIZATION OF MANUAL.....	7
<b>Chapter 2—Test Matrices and Conditions</b> .....	<b>9</b>
2.1 GENERAL.....	9
2.1.1 Impact Conditions.....	9
2.1.2 Tolerances on Impact Conditions.....	11
2.1.3 Safety Feature Orientation.....	13
2.2 TEST MATRICES.....	13
2.2.1 Longitudinal Barriers.....	13
2.2.1.1 General.....	13
2.2.1.2 Description of Tests.....	15
2.2.2 Terminals and Crash Cushions.....	25
2.2.2.1 General.....	25
2.2.2.2 Description of Tests.....	33
2.2.2.3 Other Terminals and Crash Cushion Systems.....	35
2.2.3 Truck- and Trailer-Mounted Attenuators and Variable Message Sign and Arrow Board Trailers.....	36
2.2.3.1 General.....	36
2.2.3.2 Description of Tests.....	39
2.2.4 Support Structures, Work-Zone Traffic Control Devices, Breakaway Utility Poles, and Longitudinal Channelizers.....	39
2.2.4.1 General.....	39
2.2.4.2 Description of Tests.....	43
2.2.5 Roadside Geometric Features and Pavement Discontinuities.....	44
2.3 IMPACT POINT FOR REDIRECTIVE DEVICES.....	46
2.3.1 General.....	46
2.3.2 Longitudinal Barriers.....	46
2.3.2.1 Tests with 1100C and 2270P Vehicles.....	48
2.3.2.2 Tests with 10000S, 36000V, and 36000T Vehicles.....	63
2.3.3 Terminals and Redirective Crash Cushions.....	63
2.3.3.1 Test 34.....	63
2.3.3.2 Test 36.....	64
2.3.3.3 Test 37.....	64
2.3.3.4 Test 44.....	65
2.4 SIDE IMPACT.....	65
<b>Chapter 3—Test Installation</b> .....	<b>67</b>
3.1 GENERAL.....	67
3.2 TESTING SITE.....	67
3.3 SOIL.....	68
3.3.1 Standard Soil.....	68
3.3.2 Soil Strength.....	68
3.3.3 Special Soils.....	72
3.3.4 Embedment of Test Article.....	72
3.3.5 Special Structures.....	73
3.4 TEST ARTICLE.....	73
3.4.1 General.....	73
3.4.2 Installation Details.....	74

3.4.2.1 Longitudinal Barriers .....	74
3.4.2.2 Terminals and Crash Cushions .....	76
3.4.2.3 Support Structures, Work-Zone Traffic Control Devices, and Breakaway Utility Poles .....	76
3.4.2.4 Truck-Mounted and Trailer-Mounted Attenuators (TMAs) .....	77
3.4.3 Test Installation Documentation .....	78
3.4.3.1 Longitudinal Barriers and Longitudinal Channelizers .....	78
3.4.3.2 Terminals and Crash Cushions .....	78
3.4.3.3 Support Structures, Work-Zone Traffic Control Devices, and Breakaway Utility Poles .....	79
3.4.3.4 Truck-Mounted and Trailer-Mounted Attenuators (TMAs) .....	79
3.4.4 Test Installation Disposal .....	80
<b>Chapter 4—Test Vehicle Specifications.....</b>	<b>81</b>
4.1 GENERAL .....	81
4.2 TEST VEHICLE DESCRIPTIONS .....	81
4.2.1 Production Vehicles .....	83
4.2.1.1 Test Vehicle Mass .....	86
4.2.1.2 Ballast .....	87
4.2.1.3 Propulsion, Guidance, and Braking .....	88
4.2.1.4 Vehicle Damage .....	88
4.2.1.5 Surrogate Occupants .....	89
4.2.1.6 Documentation .....	89
4.2.2 Surrogate Test Vehicles .....	96
4.2.3 Truck-Mounted and Trailer-Mounted Attenuator (TMA) Support Vehicle .....	97
4.3 VEHICLE INSTRUMENTATION .....	97
4.3.1 Instrumentation Specifications .....	97
4.3.2 Accelerometer and Rate Gyro Placement and Data Reduction .....	99
<b>Chapter 5—Test Evaluation Criteria.....</b>	<b>101</b>
5.1 GENERAL .....	101
5.2 EVALUATION FACTORS AND CRITERIA .....	101
5.2.1 Structural Adequacy .....	104
5.2.2 Occupant Risk .....	105
5.2.3 Post-Impact Vehicular Response .....	108
5.3 GEOMETRIC FEATURES .....	110
<b>Chapter 6—Test Documentation.....</b>	<b>111</b>
6.1 GENERAL REPORTING RECOMMENDATIONS.....	111
6.1.1 General Information .....	111
6.1.2 Report Contents .....	111
6.1.3 Findings Presentation Formats .....	115
6.1.4 Assessment .....	117
<b>Chapter 7—In-Service Performance Evaluation .....</b>	<b>123</b>
7.1 PURPOSE .....	123
7.2 OBJECTIVES .....	124
7.3 IN-SERVICE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION PROGRAM .....	124
7.3.1 New Feature Evaluation .....	125
7.3.2 Continuous Monitoring .....	127
7.4 DISCUSSION .....	129
<b>Appendix A—Commentary.....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>CHAPTER ONE .....</b>	<b>131</b>
A1.2 UNDERLYING PHILOSOPHY .....	131
<b>CHAPTER TWO .....</b>	<b>132</b>
A2.1 GENERAL .....	132
A2.1.1 Impact Conditions.....	133
A2.1.3 Safety Feature Orientation.....	134
A2.2.1 Longitudinal Barriers.....	135
A2.2.2 Terminals and Crash Cushions .....	139

A2.2.3 Truck-Mounted Attenuators (TMA) .....	140
A2.2.4 Support Structures, Work-Zone Traffic Control Devices, Breakaway Utility Poles, and Longitudinal Channelizers .....	141
A2.3 IMPACT POINT FOR REDIRECTIVE DEVICES .....	143
A2.3.2.1 Tests with 1100C and 2270P Vehicles .....	144
A2.3.2.2 Tests with 10000S, 36000V, and 36000T Vehicles .....	148
<b>CHAPTER THREE .....</b>	<b>149</b>
A3.2 TESTING SITE .....	149
A3.3 SOIL .....	149
A3.3.1 Standard Soil .....	150
A3.3.2 Soil Strength .....	150
A3.3.3 Special Soils .....	150
A3.3.4 Embedment of Test Article .....	151
A3.4 TEST ARTICLE .....	151
A3.4.2.1 Longitudinal Barriers .....	151
A3.4.2.4 Truck-Mounted Attenuators (TMA) .....	152
A3.4.3 Test Installation Documentation .....	152
<b>CHAPTER FOUR .....</b>	<b>152</b>
A4.2 TEST VEHICLE DESCRIPTIONS .....	152
A4.2.1 Production Vehicles .....	153
A4.2.1.1 Test Vehicle Mass .....	154
A4.2.1.2 Ballast .....	155
A4.2.1.4 Vehicle Damage .....	155
A4.2.1.5 Surrogate Occupants .....	155
A4.2.2 Surrogate Test Vehicles .....	156
A4.2.3 TMA Support Truck .....	158
A4.3.1 Instrumentation Specifications .....	158
A4.3.2 Acceleration and Rate Gyro Placement and Data Reduction .....	159
<b>CHAPTER FIVE .....</b>	<b>162</b>
A5.1 GENERAL .....	162
A5.2 EVALUATION FACTORS AND CRITERIA .....	163
A5.2.1 Structural Adequacy .....	163
A5.2.2 Occupant Risk .....	163
A5.2.3 Post-Impact Vehicular Response .....	168
A5.3 GEOMETRIC FEATURES .....	170
<b>CHAPTER SIX .....</b>	<b>170</b>
A6.1 GENERAL REPORTING RECOMMENDATIONS .....	170
<b>CHAPTER SEVEN .....</b>	<b>170</b>
A7.1 PURPOSE .....	170
<b>Appendix B—Soil Strength Performance Test .....</b>	<b>173</b>
B1 Purpose .....	173
B2 Application .....	173
B3 Instrumented Post .....	173
B4 Post Placement .....	176
B5 Dynamic Test .....	176
B6 Assurance of Soil Performance .....	178
<b>Appendix C—Electronic &amp; Photographic Instrumentation Specifications .....</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>Appendix D—Analytical and Experimental Tools .....</b>	<b>219</b>
D1 Useful Techniques .....	219
D1.1 Structural Design .....	219
D1.2 Static Tests .....	219
D1.3 Computer Simulations .....	221
D1.4 Laboratory Dynamic Tests .....	223
D1.5 Gravitational Pendulum .....	223
D1.6 Drop Mass/Dynamic Test Device .....	224
D1.7 Scale Model .....	224

D1.8 Bogie Test .....224

D2 Comparison of Techniques .....225

**Appendix E—Measurement of Vehicle Deformation .....227**

E1 Pre-Impact Measurements .....227

E2 Post-Impact Measurements .....229

E3 Photographic Documentation .....229

E4 Procedure for Measuring Exterior Crush .....231

**Appendix F—Determination of THIV, PHD, and ASI .....235**

F1 Introduction .....235

F2 A Guide to the Measurement of the Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV) and  
the Post-Impact Head Deceleration (PHD) .....235

    F2.1 General .....235

    F2.2 Theoretical Head Impact Velocity (THIV) .....235

    F2.3 Post-Impact Head Deceleration (PHD) .....239

    F2.4 Summary of Procedure to Compute THIV and PHD .....239

F3 A Guide to the Measurement of the Acceleration Severity Index (ASI) .....241

    F3.1 Procedure .....241

    F3.2 Summary .....242

**Appendix G—Occupant Risk Estimation for 1500A Vehicle.....243**

G1 Introduction.....243

G2 Occupant Risk Values for 1500A Vehicle .....244

    G2.1 Procedure Details .....244

**Appendix H—Test Vehicle Selection Procedures .....249**

**Glossary .....257**

**References and Bibliography.....263**

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. Impact Conditions for Longitudinal Barrier Tests .....	20
Figure 2-2A. Critical Cable Barrier Placement for 4H:1V V-Ditch .....	21
Figure 2-2B. Critical Cable Barrier Placement for 6H:1V V-Ditch.....	22
Figure 2-3A. Impact Conditions for Terminal and Redirective Crash Cushion Tests .....	30
Figure 2-3B. Impact Conditions for Non-Redirective Crash Cushion Tests .....	32
Figure 2-4. Impact Conditions for TMA.....	38
Figure 2-5. Impact Conditions for Support Structures, Work-Zone Traffic Control Devices, and Breakaway Utility Poles.....	43
Figure 2-6. Critical Impact Point for Test 10, Test Level 1 .....	51
Figure 2-7. Critical Impact Point for Test 10, Test Level 2 .....	52
Figure 2-8. Critical Impact Point for Test 10, Test Levels 3, 4, 5, and 6 .....	53
Figure 2-9. Critical Impact Point for Test 11, Test Level 1.....	54
Figure 2-10. Critical Impact Point for Test 11, Test Level 2.....	55
Figure 2-11. Critical impact point for Test 11, Test Levels 3, 4, 5, and 6.....	56
Figure 2-12. Critical Impact Point for Test 20, Test Level 1 .....	57
Figure 2-13. Critical Impact Point for Test 20, Test Level 2 .....	58
Figure 2-14. Critical Impact Point for Test 20, Test Levels 3, 4, 5, and 6 .....	59
Figure 2-15. Critical Impact Point for Test 21, Test Level 1 .....	60
Figure 2-16. Critical Impact Point for Test 21, Test Level 2 .....	61
Figure 2-17. Critical Impact Point for Test 21, Test Levels 3, 4, 5, and 6 .....	62
Figure 2-18. Critical Impact Point for Test 34 on Non-Gating Crash Cushions.....	64
Figure 3-1. Recommended Summary Sheet for Strong Soil Test Results .....	70
Figure 3-2. Example of Test Day Static Soil Strength Documentation .....	71
Figure 4-1. 1100C and 1500A Vehicle Parameters .....	91
Figure 4-2. 2270P Vehicle Parameters .....	92
Figure 4-3. 10000S Vehicle Parameters.....	93
Figure 4-4. 36000V Vehicle Parameters .....	94
Figure 4-5. 36000T Vehicle Parameters.....	95
Figure 4-6. Recommended Vehicle Coordinate System .....	99
Figure 5-1. Exit Box for Longitudinal Barriers .....	109
Figure 6-1. Recommended Format of Summary Sheet for Crash Test Results .....	120
Figure 6-2. Example of Recommended Summary Sheet for Crash Test Results .....	121
Figure 7-1. Flowchart of the In-Service Performance Evaluation Process.....	126
Figure A-1. Accelerometer Placement .....	160
Figure B-1. Instrumented Post .....	174
Figure B-2. Dynamic Test Configuration.....	176
Figure B-3. Dynamic and Static Test Results for Standard Post Test.....	177
Figure B-4. Static Soil Test.....	179
Figure B-5. Test Day Static Load Test Compared to Standard Test.....	180
Figure E-1. Pre-Impact Measurement.....	227
Figure E-2. Placement of Swivel Laser Bracket.....	228
Figure E-3. Measurement of Vertical Positions .....	229
Figure E-4. Vehicle Deformation Spreadsheet.....	230
Figure E-5. Reference Line Configuration.....	231
Figure E-6. Field Length Measurement.....	232
Figure E-7. Crush Depth Measurements.....	233
Figure E-8. Crush Depth Measurements.....	234
Figure F-1. Vehicle and Ground Reference Frames.....	236
Figure F-2. Impact of the Theoretical Head on the Left Side.....	238

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Test Levels .....	6
Table 2-1. Vehicle Gross Static Mass Upper and Lower Limits .....	13
Table 2-2A. Recommended Test Matrices for Longitudinal Barriers.....	15
Table 2-2B. Recommended TL-3 Test Matrix for Single <b>Cable Median Barrier</b> Designed for Placement Anywhere in 4H:1V V-Ditch.....	16
Table 2-2C. Recommended TL-3 Test Matrix for Single or Double <b>Cable Median Barrier</b> Designed for Placement Between 0- to 4-ft Offset from Slope Break.....	17
Table 2-2D. Recommended TL-3 Test Matrix for Single <b>Cable Median Barrier</b> Designed for Placement Anywhere in 6H:1V V-Ditch.....	18
Table 2-2E. MASH TL-3 Test Matrix for Single or Double <b>Cable Median Barrier</b> Placed at 0- to 4-ft Offset from SBP of 6H:1V V-Ditch .....	19
Table 2-3. Recommended Test Matrices for Terminals and Crash Cushions .....	27
Table 2-4. Recommended Test Matrices for Truck- and Trailer-Mounted Attenuators.....	37
Table 2-5. Recommended Test Matrices for Support Structures, Work-Zone Traffic Control Devices, and Breakaway Utility Poles .....	42
Table 2-6. Recommended Post Spacing for Evaluating Cable Barriers Placed within Median Ditches .....	47
Table 2-7. Critical Impact Point for Rigid Barrier Tests with 1100C and 2270P Vehicles.....	50
Table 2-8. Critical Impact Point for Heavy Vehicle Tests.....	63
Table 4-1. Recommended Properties of 1100C, 1500A, and 2270P Test Vehicles.....	85
Table 4-2. Recommended Properties of 10000S, 36000V, and 36000T Test Vehicles .....	86
Table 5-1A. Safety Evaluation Guidelines for Structural Adequacy .....	102
Table 5-1B. Safety Evaluation Guidelines for Occupant Risk.....	103
Table 5-1C. Safety Evaluation Guidelines for Post-Impact Vehicular Response .....	104
Table 6-1. Recommended Table of Contents for Crash Test Reports .....	114
Table 6-2. Recommended Format for Reporting of Findings.....	118
Table 6-3. Example of Recommended Assessment Summary Page for Individual Crash Tests .....	119
Table 6-4. Example of Recommended Assessment Summary Page for Multiple Crash Tests.....	119
Table A-1. Properties of Common Barrier Rail Elements .....	146
Table A-2. Wood Post Properties .....	147
Table A-3. Dynamic Yield Forces of Posts Embedded in Strong Soil.....	148
Table D-1. Sources for Safety Feature Information.....	220
Table D-2. Summary of Highway Safety Computer Programs .....	222
Table D-3. Safety Feature Development Techniques.....	225
Table H-1. Small Car Weights and Sales Volumes .....	251
Table H-2. Light Truck Weights and Sales Volumes .....	252
Table H-3. Center-of-Gravity Heights of Sport Utility Vehicles and Pickups.....	254
Table H-4. Candidate Test Vehicle Dimensions.....	255

# Introduction



## 1.1 PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of this manual is to present uniform guidelines for the crash testing of both permanent and temporary highway safety features and recommended evaluation criteria to assess test results. Guidelines are also presented for the in-service evaluation of safety features. These guidelines and criteria, which have evolved over the past 40 years, incorporate current technology and the collective judgment and expertise of professionals in the field of roadside safety design. They provide: (1) a basis on which researchers and user agencies can compare the impact performance merits of candidate safety features, (2) guidance for developers of new safety features, and (3) a basis on which user agencies can formulate performance specifications for safety features.

A goal of a highway safety feature is to provide a forgiving roadway and roadside that reduces the risk of a serious crash when a motorist leaves the roadway. The safety goal is met when the feature either contains and redirects the vehicle away from a roadside obstacle, decelerates the vehicle to a safe stop, readily breaks away or fractures or yields, allows a controlled penetration, or is traversable, without causing serious injuries to the vehicle's occupants or to other motorists, pedestrians, or work zone personnel.

Ideally, the roadside would be clear of all obstructions, including unnecessary roadside hardware, and be traversable so that an errant motorist could recover control of the vehicle and stop or return to the travelway. However, there are numerous roadside areas that cannot practically be cleared of all fixed objects or made traversable. At these sites, the use of an appropriate safety feature or safety treatment is intended to reduce the consequences of a departure from the roadway.

The crash testing guidelines presented herein cover vehicular tests to evaluate the impact performance of permanent and temporary highway safety features. Performance is evaluated in terms of the risk of injury to occupants of the impacting vehicle, the structural adequacy of the safety feature, the exposure to workers and pedestrians that may be behind a barrier or in the path of debris resulting from impact with a safety feature, and the post-impact behavior of the test vehicle. Other factors that should be evaluated in the design of a safety feature, such as aesthetics, costs (initial and maintenance), and durability (ability to withstand environmental conditions such as freezing and thawing, wind-induced fatigue loading, effects of moisture, ultraviolet radiation, etc.) are not addressed in this document.