

AMERICAN ASSOCIATION
OF STATE HIGHWAY AND
TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS

AASHTO



Guidelines for **VALUE ENGINEERING**

2017 EDITION

COVER PHOTOS: Washington State Department of Transportation’s Route 520 Eastside Transit and HOV Lanes project (Honorable Mention in the 2013 Value Engineering Awards, in the category of “Pre-Construction more than \$100 million”) increased safety, mobility, and access to transit across Lake Washington east of Seattle by widening the freeway, adding HOV lanes and two in-median transit stations, and creating a regional bicycle–pedestrian path.

© 2017 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. All rights reserved. Duplication is a violation of applicable law.

Publication Code: VE-4 ISBN: 978-1-56051-679-8

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials



Executive Committee 2016–2017

OFFICERS:

PRESIDENT: David Bernhardt, Maine

VICE PRESIDENT: John Schroer, Tennessee

SECRETARY-TREASURER: Carlos Braceras, Utah

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Bud Wright, Washington, DC

REGIONAL REPRESENTATIVES:

REGION I: Leslie Richards, Pennsylvania

Pete Rahn, Maryland

REGION II: Charles Kilpatrick, Virginia

James Bass, Texas

REGION III: Randall S. Blankenhorn, Illinois

Patrick McKenna, Missouri

REGION IV: Carlos Braceras, Utah

Mike Tooley, Montana

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT:

Vacant



Subcommittee on Design 2016

Chair: Carlos Braceras, Utah **Vice Chair:** Joyce Taylor, Maine **Liaison:** Patricia Bush, AASHTO

ALABAMA

Rex Bush
Stanley Biddick
William Adams

ALASKA

Mark Neidhold

ARIZONA

Annette Riley
Steve Boschen

ARKANSAS

Mike Fugett
Trinity Smith

CALIFORNIA

Cathrina Barros
Timothy Craggs

COLORADO

Neil Lacey

CONNECTICUT

Rabih Barakat
Scott Hill

DELAWARE

Brian McIlvaine
Mark Tudor
Stephen Sisson

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Zahra Dorriz

FLORIDA

Michael Shepard
Paul Hiers

GEORGIA

Brent Story
C. Casey

HAWAII

Julius Fronda

IDAHO

Jesse Barrus

ILLINOIS

Michael Brand

INDIANA

Jeff Clanton
John Wright

IOWA

Chris Poole
Deanna Maifield
Michael Kennerly

KANSAS

Scott King

KENTUCKY

Bradley Eldridge
Robert Caudill

LOUISIANA

Chad Winchester
David Smith
Simone Ardoin

MAINE

Brad Foley
Charles Hebson
Joyce Taylor
Steve Bodge

MARYLAND

Angela Smith
Eric Marabello
Jason Ridgway

MASSACHUSETTS

Hasmukh (Hardy) Patel

MICHIGAN

Kristin Schuster

MINNESOTA

Andrea Hendrickson
Chris Roy

MISSISSIPPI

Amy Mood
David Seal
James Pittman

MISSOURI

Eric Schroeter

MONTANA

James Combs
Lesly Tribelhorn

NEBRASKA

Michael Owen

NEVADA

Kristena Shigenaga

Paul Frost

NEW HAMPSHIRE

James Marshall

NEW JERSEY

Robert Marshall

NEW MEXICO

Gabriela Contreras-Apodaca
Richard Pena

NEW YORK STATE

Richard Wilder
Richard Lee
Stephen Zargham

NORTH CAROLINA

Glenn Mumford

NORTH DAKOTA

Roger Weigel

OHIO

David Slatzer

OKLAHOMA

Tim Tegeler

OREGON

David Polly

PENNSYLVANIA

Melissa Batula

PUERTO RICO

José Santana-Pimentel
Luis Santos

RHODE ISLAND

Vincent Palumbo

SOUTH CAROLINA

James Kendall, Jr.
Ladd Gibson
Rob Bedenbaugh

SOUTH DAKOTA

Brian Raecke
Mark Leiferman

TENNESSEE

Ali Hangul
Jeff Jones
Jennifer Lloyd

TEXAS

Mark Marek
Paul Carlson

UTAH

Ben Huot
Carlos Braceras
Fred Doehring
George Lukes

VERMONT

Jesse Devlin
Kenneth Robie
Nicholas Wark

VIRGINIA

Barton Thrasher
Mohammad Mirshahi
Robert Cary
Ronald Gibbons

WASHINGTON STATE

Edward Carpenter
Michael Fleming

WEST VIRGINIA

Chad Toney
Dirar Ahmad
RJ Scites

WISCONSIN

Jerry Zogg

WYOMING

Andrea Allen
Jeffrey Brown
Sandra Pecenka

ALBERTA

TRANSPORTATION

Moh Lali

FEDERAL HIGHWAY

ADMINISTRATION

Robert Mooney

KOREA EXPRESSWAY

CORPORATION

Chansu Reem

SASKATCHEWAN

Sukhy Kent

Value Engineering Technical Committee 2014

Greta Smith, AASHTO • Troy Tusup, California • Ray Petrucci, Delaware • Ken Leuderalbert, FHWA • Kurt Lieblong, Florida
Matt Sanders, Georgia • Ted Mason, Idaho • Nancy Yoo, Minnesota • Llans Taylor, Missouri • Ray Sacks, Montana
Paul Schneider, New Jersey • Michael Mariotti, New York • Stephen Holmes, Ontario MOT • Peter Healey, Rhode Island
Mark Anthony, South Carolina • Mike Flowers, Tennessee • Terry Berends, Washington • Dan Tyler, Wisconsin

Table of Contents



- 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** 1
 - Benefits of a Value Engineering Program 1
 - Position Statement 2
 - Critical Success Factors 2
 - Executive Support* 2
 - Program Management* 2
 - Workshop Execution* 3
 - Emerging Trends 3
 - Cost Savings from the Construction Industry 3
- 2.0 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND** 5
 - What Is Value Engineering? 5
 - History of Value Engineering 5
 - FHWA Participation 5
 - Benefits of a Value Engineering Program 6
 - State Assistance 6
 - Website/Internet 7
- 3.0 ELEMENTS OF A STATE VALUE ENGINEERING PROGRAM** 9
 - Management Support 9
 - VE Policy 9
 - Value Engineering Program Manager 9
 - Consultant Services 10
 - Sustaining the Program 10
 - Program Marketing 10
 - Training 11
 - Continuous Improvement and Process Linkages 12
- 4.0 PRE-CONSTRUCTION VALUE ENGINEERING FOR PROJECTS** 13
 - Pre-Study Activities 13
 - Project Selection* 13
 - Scheduling the VE Study* 13
 - Team Structure* 14
 - Team Leader* 14
 - Team Members* 15





Study Activities	15
<i>Information</i>	16
<i>Function Analysis</i>	16
<i>Creative</i>	16
<i>Evaluation</i>	17
<i>Development</i>	17
<i>Presentation</i>	17
Post Study Activities	17
<i>Resolution/Implementation Process</i>	17
5.0 CONSTRUCTION VALUE ENGINEERING	19
Value Engineering Proposals by Contractors	19
Benefits	19
Value Engineering Change Proposals	20
Review Process	20
Securing and Maintaining Contractor Participation	20
6.0 INTEGRATION OF VE WITH OTHER PROJECT IMPROVEMENT TECHNIQUES	23
VE and Design/Build.	23
VE and Construction Manager/General Contractor (CM/GC)	24
VE and Least Cost Planning	24
VE and Practical Design	24
VE and Risk Analysis	25
VE and Constructability Reviews/Peer Reviews	25
VE and Alternative Technical Concepts (ATC).	26
7.0 PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	27
Performance Measures	27
Reporting Results	27
Recommendation Status	27
Tracking Pending Recommendations	27
Internal/External Program Reporting	28
APPENDICES	29
Appendix A1. Federal Value Engineering Requirements	29
Appendix A2. AASHTO VE Background.	29
Appendix A3. Value Engineering Publications.	30
Appendix A4. VE Organizations.	32

1.0 Executive Summary



Benefits of a Value Engineering Program

The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) recognizes the need for the prudent use of resources and revenues while providing a quality transportation program. Value Engineering (VE) is a function-oriented technique that has proven to be an effective management tool for achieving improved design, construction, and cost-effectiveness in various transportation program elements. Data collected by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) indicates that the return on investment can approach or exceed 100:1.

Summary of Past VE Savings of Federal-Aid Highway Program

	FY 2015	FY 2014	FY 2013	FY 2012	FY 2011	FY 2010
Number of VE studies	135	215	281	352	378	402
Cost of VE Studies Plus Administrative Costs	\$6.4M	\$8.67M	\$9.78M	\$11.98M	\$12.51M	\$13.55M
Estimated Costs of Projects Studied	\$14.1B	\$20.86B	\$23.03B	\$30.27B	\$32.26B	\$34.25B
Total Number of Recommendations	1,233	1,664	2,381	2,905	2,950	3,049
Total Value of Recommendations	\$2.5B	\$2.98B	\$2.91B	\$3.78B	\$2.94B	\$4.35B
Number of Approved Recommendations	504	697	1,011	1,191	1,224	1,315
Value of Approved Recommendations	\$831M	\$1.73B	\$1.15B	\$1.15B	\$1.01B	\$1.98B
Return on Investment	129:1	200:1	118:1	96:1	80:1	146:1

States that have active VE programs have realized additional benefits beyond design improvements and cost savings including:

- An opportunity for stakeholders to participate in the process
- Improvement of standards and policies
- Responsiveness to stakeholder priorities and improved communication amongst stakeholders participating in studies
- Accelerated incorporation of new materials and construction techniques
- Employee satisfaction from participation in agency decisions
- Increased skills obtained from team participation
- Improved constructability
- Reduced environmental impacts
- Reduced schedule
- Reduced risk
- Improved operations



Position Statement

To improve design excellence and achieve efficient cost and quality control, it is AASHTO’s position that:

Each member state should establish an ongoing VE program.

- The challenges of rising costs and diminished resources are addressed through the application of VE principles and practices in project development, construction, traffic operation, maintenance, and other appropriate areas.
- Guidelines are provided to member organizations to promote and assist in broad acceptance and use of VE with the provision of flexibility to adapt to individual needs.

This document provides guidelines for establishing and administering VE programs. It is the intent of these guidelines to assist state DOTs in developing a successful VE program, to promote the acceptance and use of VE while allowing maximum flexibility to each state.

Critical Success Factors

Executive Support

A firm commitment of resources and support by executive management is the most important element for assuring the success of a VE program. Value engineering is a process that must be believed in and supported to realize benefits.

All levels of management must understand and support value engineering through fostering a climate that encourages participation on studies and welcomes and accepts ideas from VE studies. An organization that is open to change and innovation will welcome VE and have a successful program.

Program Management

A state VE program requires development of a policy directive describing where, when, how, and to what specific areas of work the VE effort should be directed. There should be clear objectives, timelines, follow-up actions, review, and feedback.

For optimum results in the project development phase, VE should be performed:

- Early in the planning–design process to maximize potential product or service improvement and cost savings.
- On high-cost and/or complex projects.

VE programs within the state organization should be actively managed, closely monitored, evaluated, and modified to assure the program’s effectiveness.



Workshop Execution

Each workshop should follow either the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) or SAVE International VE job plan. The VE team leader must be trained in both the value methodology and team facilitation with strong leadership and communication skills. Multi-disciplinary teams unrelated to the project with positive attitudes and a willingness to investigate new ideas have the most success.

Emerging Trends

There are several emerging techniques in the transportation field that share the goal of improving projects. Integrating value engineering with emerging techniques and new technology will generate opportunities to use the creative power of VE to add value through project improvement.

Cost Savings from the Construction Industry

In addition to performing VE during the project development phase, VE principles can also be applied during the project construction phase through Value Engineering Change Proposals (VECPs or VEPs). A VECP/VEP program encourages contractors to develop construction VE proposals which allow the state to benefit from a contractor's design and construction ingenuity, experience, and ability to work with new techniques. These are also referred to as Cost Reduction Incentive Proposals (CRIPS) or Cost Savings Initiatives (CSI) in some states. Some important elements of a successful, ongoing VECP/VEP program are:

- Processing of proposals must be kept simple and done so as not to delay the contractor's construction schedule.
- Cost savings are shared (normally equally) between the contractor and the implementing agency.
- Change proposals become the property of the state and the concept may be used on future projects.
- Change proposals should not compromise any essential design criteria or preliminary engineering commitments.
- Change proposals cannot be the basis for a contract claim. The implementing agency has the option to reject, with good justification, contractors' proposals.
- It is essential that all VE team recommendations and contractor proposals be fairly reviewed and expeditiously evaluated for implementation.

Please note that the Code of Federal Regulations does not allow federal participation for VECPs to accelerate construction.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

2.0 Introduction and Background



What Is Value Engineering?

Generations of VE users have found it to be a multidisciplinary, systematic tool that is very useful for identifying, analyzing, and solving problems. By carefully examining each component of an undertaking, VE helps to find new and better ways of doing things. It can be used in building highways, constructing factories, designing office procedures, and even in purchasing the family automobile.

Value engineering combines creative and analytical skills to improve the value of products and services by examining their function. Value is the ratio of function to cost, so value can therefore be increased by either improving the function or reducing the cost. VE is unique from other problem solving techniques because of function analysis.

Value engineering is also known as value analysis, the value methodologies, value planning, etc.

History of Value Engineering

VE was developed during World War II by Lawrence Mes of General Electric. Seeking a way to make the most efficient use of war-limited funds and raw materials, Mes devised a team-oriented technique that determines the objective of a project, service, or process; analyzes functions; and examines each step for ways to increase efficiency and to cut costs and completion time.

After Mes’s development of the VE process, several agencies realized the benefits and began to implement the process on existing parts and further evolving into conceptual designs. In 1954, the U.S. Navy, Bureau of Ships became the first agency to apply this analysis process to major construction projects.

In 1959, the Society of American Value Engineers (later renamed SAVE International) was established in Washington, DC, to unite practitioners and promote the growth of value engineering. The Society changed its name to SAVE International in 1997. The Society officially defined value engineering as “the systematic application of recognized techniques which identify the function of a product or service, establish a value for that function, and provide the necessary function at the least overall cost. In all instances, the required function should be achieved at the lowest possible life-cycle cost consistent with requirements and/or performance, maintainability, safety, and aesthetics.”

During the 1970s, escalating construction and maintenance costs, combined with reduced revenues, led to an increased interest in value engineering by state and federal transportation agencies. VE is now a practice used in most transportation departments in the United States.

FHWA Participation

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has been involved in VE since the early 1970s and continues today in assisting states in developing their individual VE programs.





FHWA assistance is available in a variety of ways. Training workshops in VE are offered through the National Highway Institute (NHI) and along with publications such as the Federal-Aid Policy Guide help states realize the benefit of a strong VE program.

FHWA also has a website providing VE information and guidance located at www.fhwa.dot.gov/ve/.

Benefits of a Value Engineering Program

As promoted by FHWA and implemented by state and local governments, VE has repeatedly demonstrated its ability to reduce project costs, improve quality and productivity, foster innovation, and eliminate unnecessary and costly design elements. Value engineering applied to a suitable portfolio of projects saves money and improves design. Techniques can be used to improve productivity or the “benefit-to-cost ratio” in nearly every aspect of a state’s transportation program, including preliminary engineering, traffic operations, maintenance, right-of-way, environmental, standard plans and specifications, design criteria, and guidelines.

Additional benefits include:

- Constant review of design, construction, and maintenance standards.
- Structured, functional approach using a job plan provides trained employees with a new method of approaching problems.
- Improved communication.
- Appreciation for the concerns and issues of other functional areas or disciplines.
- Enhanced teamwork skills and team dynamics.
- Improved or developed skills in preparing and delivering logical, organized presentations.
- Gained ability to apply VE principles in regular design processes.
- Improved state/contractor relations through more cooperative processing of value engineering change proposals.
- Proven VE designs or techniques and VECP/VEP-accepted changes provide savings and often apply to future projects or contracts.

As stated previously, it is important to have executive management support and a policy directive. A value engineering manager reporting at a high level in the state DOT’s organization is also necessary. Failure in any one of these areas reduces the state’s effectiveness in obtaining maximum results. These elements apply whether a state trains its own staff to perform VE studies or employs a VE consultant.

State Assistance

Members of the AASHTO Subcommittee on Design (SCOD) Technical Committee on Project Management are available to provide VE assistance to state VE managers. VE is included in the scope of this technical committee, and some of its members are state VE managers, who welcome the opportunity to assist colleagues. Assistance has included: