



**Ampacities For Single-Conductor Solid Dielectric
Power Cable 15 kV Through 35 kV**

ANSI/ICEA P-117-734-2016

©2016 by

INSULATED CABLE ENGINEERS ASSOCIATION, Inc.



Approved as an American National Standard
ANSI Approval Date: February 23, 2016

Insulated Cable Engineers Assoc., Inc. Publication No. ICEA P-117-734-2016

*Ampacities For
Single-Conductor Solid Dielectric Power Cable 15 kV Through 35 kV*

© 2016

Approved July 2015 by
Insulated Cable Engineers Association, Inc.

Approved February 23, 2016 by
American National Standards Institute, Inc.

Published by:
Insulated Cable Engineers Association, Inc.

icea.net

Copyright 2015 by the Insulated Cable Engineers Association, Incorporated (ICEA). All rights including translation into other languages, reserved under the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, and the International and Pan American Copyright Conventions.

NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

The information in this publication was considered technically sound by the consensus of persons engaged in the development and approval of the document at the time it was developed. Consensus does not necessarily mean that there is unanimous agreement among every person participating in the development of this document.

The Insulated Cable Engineers Association, Inc. (ICEA) standards and guideline publications, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a voluntary consensus standards development process. This process brings together persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While ICEA administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it does not independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgements contained in its standards and guideline publications.

ICEA disclaims liability for personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application, or reliance on this document. ICEA disclaims and makes no guaranty or warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and disclaims and makes no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of your particular purposes or needs. ICEA does not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this standard or guide.

In publishing and making this document available, ICEA are not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor is ICEA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgement or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. Information and other standards on the topic covered by this publication may be available from other sources, which the user may wish to consult for additional views or information not covered by this publication.

ICEA has no power, nor does it undertake to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. ICEA does not certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of compliance with any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to ICEA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD iii
PREFACE iv
SECTION 1 1
GENERAL 1
 1.1 Introduction 1
 1.2 Scope 1
SECTION 2 2
EFFECT OF SHIELD CURRENTS ON CABLE AMPACITY 2
SECTION 3 3
TECHNICAL FEATURES OF TABLES 3
 3.1 Parameters 3
 3.1.1 Voltages 3
 3.1.2 Load and loss factors 3
 3.1.3 Dielectric loss 3
 3.1.4 Thermal resistivity 4
 3.1.5 Temperatures 4
 3.1.6 Conductors 4
 3.1.7 Extruded conductor shield (stress control layer) 5
 3.1.8 Insulation 5
 3.1.9 Extruded Insulation shield 5
 3.1.10 Metallic shield 5
 3.1.11 Jackets 6
 3.1.12 Types of installations 6
 3.2 Use of ampacity tables 6
 3.2.1 General 6
 3.2.2 Metallic shield 6
 3.2.3 Calculation of DC shield resistance 6
 3.2.4 Temperature limitations 7
 3.3 Earth interface temperature 8
 3.4 Adjustments for changes in parameters 8
 3.4.1 Adjustment for change in ambient earth temperature 8
 3.4.2 Adjustment for change in conductor temperature 10
 3.4.3 Adjustment for change in maximum earth interface temperature 10
SECTION 4 12
INFLUENCING FACTORS ON CABLE AMPACITY 14
 4.1 Shield loss 12
 4.1.1 Shield circulating current loss 12
 4.1.2 Effects of phasing 13
 4.1.3 Shield eddy current loss 13
 4.2 Earth thermal resistivity 14
 4.3 Earth interface temperature 19
 4.4 Installation types 16
 4.5 Other important factors 17
 4.5.1 Nearby heat sources 17

4.5.2	Magnetic conduit.....	17
4.5.3	SIC and dissipation factor.....	17
4.5.4	Burial Depth.....	17
4.5.5	Duct size.....	18
4.5.6	Impact of risers.....	19
4.6	General equation for ampacity.....	19
SECTION 5.....		20
REFERENCES		20
SECTION 6.....		21
Installation configurations		21
SECTION 7.....		23
TABLE OF AMPACITIES.....		23
INDEX OF PAGE NUMBERS FOR AMPACITY TABLES.....		23

TABLES AND CHARTS

TABLE 1	Voltage range	5
TABLE 2	Metallic shield types	5
TABLE 3	Helically applied lay factor	7
TABLE 4	Electrical resistivity.....	7
TABLE 5	Ambient earth correction factors.....	9
TABLE 6	Ambient air correction factors	9
TABLE 7	Effect of cable phasing on ampacity of double circuits	13
CHART 1	Earth thermal resistivity vs ampacity	14
CHART'S 2-8	Relationship of soil resistivity to ampacity and effect on earth interface temp.	15
CHART 9	Direct buried vs duct	16
CHART 10	Number of circuits in duct bank vs ampacity.....	17
CHART 11	Effect of burial depth on ampacity	18
CHART 12	Ampacity vs duct size.....	18
CHART 13	Riser vs duct vs direct buried	19

Foreword

ICEA Standards are adopted in the public interest and are designed to eliminate misunderstandings between the manufacturer and the user and to assist the user in selecting and obtaining the proper product for his particular need. Existence of an ICEA standard does not in any respect preclude the manufacture or use of products not conforming to the standard.

Requests for interpretation of this Standard must be submitted in writing to:

Insulated Cable Engineers Association, Inc.
icea.net

An official written interpretation will be provided. The Association will welcome any suggestions on ways to improve this Standard.

Preface

The first industry ampacity tables were published in December 1943 by the Insulated Power Cable Engineers Association (IPCEA) under the title *Current Carrying Capacity of Impregnated Paper, Rubber and Varnished Cambric Insulated Cables*. These tables were based on the best available information at the time they were published and served the industry well for many years.

As a result of interest in this subject by the AIEE Insulated Conductors Committee and recognition of the fact that new techniques had been developed for making ampacity calculations through greater understanding of the thermal circuit, a joint AIEE-IPCEA Working Group was formed to prepare a new ampacity publication. In 1962 a completely new edition was published in two volumes for copper and aluminum conductor entitled *Power Cable Ampacities*, AIEE Pub. No. S-135, IPCEA Pub. No. P-46-426 [1]. (IPCEA was changed to ICEA in 1979, dropping the "P" for power when communications manufacturers joined the association) This publication covered several cable types including, for the first time, oil-filled and gas-filled impregnated paper insulated cables in a variety of installations. The ampacities in these tables are still considered appropriate for the designated installation conditions and parameters.

The 1962 tables do not adequately cover ampacities for single-conductor solid-dielectric cables with shields designed for stringent fault current conditions and directly buried concentric-neutral three-phase cables. The increasing use of these constructions prompted the Cable Characteristics Subcommittee of the IEEE Power Engineering Society to consider a supplement to these tables. A Task Group was formed to study the problems and to prepare this publication. In particular the Group considered the effect on ampacities of (1) shield losses in single-conductor cables and (2) temperatures in the earth surrounding buried cables and ducts. Subsequently, Tables 1 through 80 were approved on March 22, 1972 by IPCEA and NEMA as Authorized Engineering Information.

On May 17, 1976, IPCEA and NEMA approved the expansion of this publication to include 69 kV cables. This resulted in the revision of paragraphs A through E and Tables A and B and in the addition of Tables 81 through 128. On September 26, 1988, NEMA reaffirmed the ICEA P-53-426/NEMA WC50 standard. In 2008 the Utility Power Cable Standards Technical Advisory Committee (UPCSTAC) Task Group was formed to address the need for tables to cover ampacities for single-conductor cables with an extruded LLDPE jacket which had become the standard cable design for Utility distribution cables 15 kV through 35 kV. These tables are intended to compliment the IEEE 835 Standard Power Cable Ampacity Tables-1994. The following companies or persons have contributed to the computation of the ampacity tables for the new ICEA P-117-734 publication:

General Cable

Southwire

Nexans

Ritchie Harp - Oncor

Mike Smalley – We Energies

Greg Stano - WPS

Jeff Helzer – OG&E

Jon Erickson – San Diego G&E

Jason Fosse – SCE

Carl Wall – Southern Co.

Harry Hayes – Ameren

Section 1

General

1.1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been an increase in the use of extruded Linear Low Density Polyethylene (LLDPE) jacketed single-conductor solid-dielectric 15 through 35 kV power cables for substation exit feeders and other three-phase distribution circuits. When shields are bonded and grounded at multiple points, circulating currents, dependent on shield impedance, will flow in the concentric shields with consequent I^2R losses and heating. These currents and associated losses may be appreciable when the cables are spaced.

This publication focuses attention in the form of published tables on the effect of circulating currents on ampacities of cables with bonded and grounded shields. These tables also provide the earth interface temperatures in order to recognize the risk of over-heating and possible thermal instability due to earth moisture migration.

Circulating current losses may be eliminated or minimized by bonding and grounding the shields at a single point or by special shield bonding techniques. A detailed treatment of shield bonding techniques is included in ANSI/IEEE Std 575 [10], Chapter 3 of the Underground Systems Reference Book [3] and in Section II of the Miller thesis [4] on sheath losses. Open-circuited or special shield bonding techniques result in voltages between shield and ground both under steady-state and transient operating conditions. In the case of single-point bonding, alternative means of through fault current return may need to be provided.

1.2 SCOPE

This publication presents calculated ampacities for single-conductor solid-dielectric 15 through 35 kV power cables with multiple bonded and grounded shields, copper or aluminum conductors, single or three phase operation, spaced or trefoil configurations, single or double circuits, directly buried or in buried ducts. Ampacities are given for three or four different shield resistances for each conductor size.

The ampacity calculations provided in this guide have been performed with the software program CYMCAP Ver. 5.3 Rev 2 from CYME International. The methodology used in the calculations is based on Neher-McGrath [6] and the international standard IEC 60287 [9]. Recognizing that both this IEC standard and the CYMCAP modeling program will undergo changes over time, the user may not get repeatable results with CYMCAP in the future from those shown in this guide. To minimize these potential differences, the values shown have been rounded to the nearest 5 amps and should not be considered as exact since it is generally accepted that there are many variables that influence the actual ampacity of an installation even beyond those of the calculation method.