

JEDEC PUBLICATION

Potential Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)

JEP131C

(Revision of JEP131B, April 2012)

AUGUST 2018

JEDEC SOLID STATE TECHNOLOGY ASSOCIATION



NOTICE

JEDEC standards and publications contain material that has been prepared, reviewed, and approved through the JEDEC Board of Directors level and subsequently reviewed and approved by the JEDEC legal counsel.

JEDEC standards and publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for use by those other than JEDEC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

JEDEC standards and publications are adopted without regard to whether or not their adoption may involve patents or articles, materials, or processes. By such action JEDEC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor does it assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the JEDEC standards or publications.

The information included in JEDEC standards and publications represents a sound approach to product specification and application, principally from the solid state device manufacturer viewpoint. Within the JEDEC organization there are procedures whereby a JEDEC standard or publication may be further processed and ultimately become an ANSI standard.

No claims to be in conformance with this standard may be made unless all requirements stated in the standard are met.

Inquiries, comments, and suggestions relative to the content of this JEDEC standard or publication should be addressed to JEDEC at the address below, or refer to www.jedec.org under Standards and Documents for alternative contact information.

Published by
©JEDEC Solid State Technology Association 2018
3103 North 10th Street
Suite 240 South
Arlington, VA 22201-2108

JEDEC retains the copyright on this material. By downloading this file the individual agrees not to charge for or resell the resulting material.

PRICE: Contact JEDEC

Printed in the U.S.A.
All rights reserved

PLEASE!

DON'T VIOLATE
THE
LAW!

This document is copyrighted by JEDEC and may not be reproduced without permission.

For information, contact:

JEDEC Solid State Technology Association
3103 North 10th Street
Suite 240 South
Arlington, VA 22201-2107

or refer to www.jedec.org under Standards-Documents/Copyright Information.

POTENTIAL FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS (FMEA)

Contents

	Page
Introduction	ii
1 Scope	1
2 Terms and definitions	1
3 FMEA Requirements	3
3.1 Creation process	3
3.2 FMEA Identification	4
3.3 Process/product function/requirements	4
3.3.1 PFMEA	4
3.3.2 DFMEA	4
3.4 List of potential failure modes	5
3.5 Description of the potential effects of each failure	5
3.6 Comparison and review	6
3.7 Quantification of the potential effect(s) of failure: Severity (S)	6
3.8 Potential cause(s)/mechanism(s) of failure	7
3.9 Quantification of the Occurrence (O) of the failure	7
3.10 Current process controls	7
3.11 Quantification of the Detection (D) probability of the failure	8
3.12 Detection (D) probability of the failure in DFMEAs	8
3.13 Quantification of the overall risk by the Risk Priority Number (RPN)	8
3.14 Recommended action(s)	9
3.15 Responsibility (for recommended action) and target completion date	9
3.16 Actions taken	9
3.17 Resulting RPN	9
3.18 Classification	10
4 Follow-up	10
Annexes	
A: Template for Potential Failure Mode and Effects Analysis	11
B: Example PFMEA	12
C: Example DFMEA	13
D: Severity Metric	14
E: Occurrence Rankings	15
F: Detectability Rankings	16
G: Differences between revision	17

Introduction

An FMEA is an anticipatory thought process designed to utilize as much knowledge and experience of an organization as possible toward the end of addressing potential issues defined in a new project. The objective is to reduce the probability that a customer is exposed to a potential product and or process problem by performing a thorough risk analysis.

A collection of subject matter experts from a number of various disciplines should be brought together to think about potential problems that could occur in a product and or process sometime in the future. Individuals do not necessarily have to be directly involved with the product and or the process; experience in a particular discipline may be of greater value than direct knowledge of the product and or the process. Ideally, representatives of the entire supply chain including customers and suppliers should also be contributors in the process.

Because of the need to continually improve whenever possible, there is a need for using FMEA as a disciplined technique to identify and help eliminate potential concerns.

It is meant to be a "before-the-event" action, not an "after-the-fact" exercise. To achieve the greatest value, the FMEA should be performed before a failure mode has been unknowingly planned into a product (DFMEA) and or process (PFMEA). Up front time spent in performing a comprehensive FMEA, when product and or process changes can be most easily and inexpensively implemented, will alleviate late change crises.

The outcome of the FMEA procedure should be a list of defined actions that will either prevent the occurrence of a problem through a design or process change, or improve the chances of detection of a problem through monitoring, if it does occur in the future. Actions are prioritized and decisions made as to which actions will have resources assigned for implementation.

It is not appropriate to compare one groups FMEA numerical rating with another groups FMEA, as each group's knowledge and experience are unique. Since an FMEA procedure anticipates the future the numerical rating is a subjective value not an objective value.

A regular FMEA review can be conducted any time before a change is being made to a product design and or to a process or new knowledge about risks is generated by learning from field failures. An FMEA can also reduce or eliminate the chance of implementing a corrective change that could create an even larger concern. Properly applied, it is an interactive process that is never ending.

POTENTIAL FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS (FMEA)

(From JEDEC Board Ballot JCB-18-21, formulated under the cognizance of JC-14.4 Subcommittee on Quality Processes and Methods.)

1 Scope

This publication applies to electronic components and subassemblies product and or process development, manufacturing processes and the associated performance requirements in customer applications. These areas should include, but are not limited to: package design, chip design, process development, assembly, fabrication, manufacturing, materials, quality, service, and suppliers, as well as the process requirements needed for the next assembly. The publication covers the types of FMEAs described in Table 1.

The purpose of this document is to establish a minimum guideline for the application of Failure Mode and Effects Analysis techniques to improve quality, reliability, and/or consistency of electronic components subassemblies by continually evaluating the product and or process against potential failure modes. OEMs must provide suppliers with their manufacturing processes, their use conditions on the failed parts, and their failure experience(s). Suppliers must seek continuous improvement and have the responsibility of developing and improving the elements of FMEA.

Table 1 — Types of FMEAs

	DFMEA	PFMEA
Element to be assessed	Elements of a product (function / module) or process of record (POR)	Process steps of a production process or design flow
Potential failure modes	Deviations caused by the design of a process or product	Deviations in the process
Potential effects of the failures	Deviations from product specifications	Deviations from the process requirements

2 Terms and definitions

The following are the terms included in the body of the text. Definitions marked by an asterisk (*) are taken from JESD557, General Standard for Statistical Process Control (SPC). They are replicated here for completeness.

characteristic*: A distinguishing feature of a process or its output on which variables or attributes data can be collected.

design FMEA (DFMEA): A systematic method to assess the risks of the elements of a product or POR and their interactions in terms of functionality as defined by product or POR specification.

design of experiments (DOE): An efficient method of experimentation that identifies factors that affect the mean and variation with minimum testing.