

Guide Specifications for Bridges Carrying Light Rail Transit Loads



FIRST
EDITION
2018

Guide Specifications for Bridges Carrying Light Rail Transit Loads





American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
444 North Capitol Street, NW, Suite 249
Washington, DC 20001
202-624-5800 phone/202-624-5806 fax
www.transportation.org

Cover photo: Broadway Bridge in Denver, Colorado, carrying light rail trains. Courtesy Yail Jimmy Kim, University of Colorado Denver.

© 2018 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials. All rights reserved.
Duplication is a violation of applicable law.

ISBN: 978-1-56051-721-4

Pub Code: GSLR-1

AASHTO EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

2018–2019

Voting Members

Officers:

PRESIDENT: Carlos Braceras, Utah*

VICE PRESIDENT: Patrick McKenna, Missouri*

SECRETARY–TREASURER: Scott Bennett, Arkansas*

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR: Bud Wright, Washington, D.C.

Regional Representatives:

Jennifer Cohan, Delaware
Victoria Sheehan, New Hampshire
Russell McMurry, Georgia
Joe McGuinness, Indiana
Mark Lowe, Iowa
Roger Millar, Washington
Marc Luiken, Alaska

Nonvoting Members

Immediate Past President: John Schroer, Tennessee

* Elected at AASHTO's 2018 Annual Meeting in Atlanta, Georgia

AASHTO COMMITTEE ON BRIDGES AND STRUCTURES, 2018

GREGG FREDRICK, *Chair*
BRUCE V. JOHNSON, *Vice Chair*
JOSEPH L. HARTMANN, Federal Highway Administration, *Secretary*
PATRICIA J. BUSH, *AASHTO Liaison*

ALABAMA, Eric J. Christie, William “Tim” Colquett,
Randall B. Mullins

ALASKA, Leslie Daughtery, Elmer E. Marx, Richard
A. Pratt

ARIZONA, David B. Benton,
David L. Eberhart, Pe-Shen Yang

ARKANSAS, Charles “Rick” Ellis, Mike Hill, Joe
Santini

CALIFORNIA, Thomas A. Ostrom, Gedmund
Setberg, Dolores Valls

COLORADO, Behrooz Far, Stephen Harelson, Jessica
Martinez

CONNECTICUT, Mary E. Baker, Timothy D. Fields

DELAWARE, Jason Arndt, Jason Hastings, Craig A.
Stevens

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, Donald L. Cooney,
Konjit C. “Connie” Eskender, Richard Kenney

FLORIDA, Sam Fallaha, Dennis William Potter, Jeff
Pouliotte

GEORGIA, Clayton Bennett, Bill DuVall, Steve
Gaston

HAWAII, James Fu, Kevin Murata, John Williams

IDAHO, Matthew Farrar

ILLINOIS, Tim A. Armbrrecht, Carl Puzey, Jayme
Schiff

INDIANA, Andrew Fitzgerald, Jeremy Hunter, Anne
M. Rearick

IOWA, Ahmad Abu-Hawash, James S. Nelson

KANSAS, Mark E. Hoppe, John P. Jones, Curt F.
Niehaus

KENTUCKY, Bart Asher, Andy Barber, Marvin
Wolfe

LOUISIANA, Arthur D’Andrea, Paul Fossier,
Zhengzheng “Jenny” Fu

MAINE, Jeffrey S. Folsom, Wayne Frankhauser,
Michael Wight

MARYLAND, Maurice Agostino, Jesse Creel, Jeffrey
L. Robert

MASSACHUSETTS, Alexander K. Bardow, Thomas
Donald, Joseph Rigney

MICHIGAN, Matthew Chynoweth, Rebecca Curtis,
Richard E. Liptak

MINNESOTA, Arielle Ehrlich, Ed Lutgen,
Kevin Western

MISSISSIPPI, Aaron Cagle, Justin Walker, Scott
Westerfield

MISSOURI, Dennis Heckman, Greg E. Sanders,
Scott Stotlemeyer

MONTANA, Amanda Jackson, Dustin E. Rouse

NEBRASKA, Mark Ahlman, Fouad Jaber, Mark J.
Traynowicz

NEVADA, Troy Martin, Jessen Mortensen

NEW HAMPSHIRE, Robert Landry, David L. Scott

NEW JERSEY, Xiaohua “Hannah” Cheng, Eddy
Germain, Dave D. Lambert

NEW MEXICO, Kathy Crowell, Jeff C. Vigil

NEW YORK, Brenda Crudele, Ernest Holmberg,
Richard Marchione

NORTH CAROLINA, Brian Hanks,
Scott Hidden, Girchuru Muchane

NORTH DAKOTA, Jon D. Ketterling, Jason R.
Thorenson

OHIO, Alexander B.C. Dettloff,
Timothy J. Keller, Jeffrey Syar

OKLAHOMA, Steven Jacobi, Walter Peters, Tim
Tegeler

OREGON, Bruce V. Johnson, Albert Nako,
Tanarat Potisuk

PENNSYLVANIA, James M. Long, Thomas P.
Macioce, Lou Ruzzi

PUERTO RICO, (Vacant)

RHODE ISLAND, Georgette Chahine, Keith Gaulin

SOUTH CAROLINA, Terry B. Koon, Jeff Sizemore

SOUTH DAKOTA, Steve Johnson, Dave Madden,
Todd S. Thompson

TENNESSEE, John S. Hastings, Ted A. Kniazewycz

TEXAS, Bernie Carrasco, Jamie F. Farris, Gregg A.
Freeby

U.S. DOT, Joseph L. Hartmann

UTAH, Rebecca Nix, Carmen Swanwick, Cheryl
Hersh Simmons

VERMONT, Kristin M. Higgins, Jim Lacroix

VIRGINIA, Prasad L. Nallapaneni,
Kendal R. Walus, Andrew M. Zickler

WASHINGTON, Tony M. Allen,
Mark A. Gaines, Bijan Khaleghi

WEST VIRGINIA, Ahmed Mongi, William Varney

WISCONSIN, Scot Becker,
William C. Dreher

WYOMING, Jeff R. Booher, Paul G. Cortez,
Gregg C. Frederick, Michael E. Menghini

DELAWARE RIVER AND BAY AUTHORITY,
Shoukry Elnahal

MDTA, Dan Williams

**MULTNOMAH COUNTY TRANSPORTATION
DIVISION**, Jon Henrichsen

N.Y. STATE BRIDGE AUTHORITY, William
Moreau

**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS—
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**, Phillip W.
Sauser

U.S. COAST GUARD, Kamal Elnahal
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE—
FOREST SERVICE, John R. Kattell

TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH BOARD,
Waseem Dekelbab

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1—GENERAL	1
1.1—SCOPE	1
1.2—NOTATION	1
1.3—DEFINITIONS	2
2—DESIGN PHILOSOPHY	3
2.1—GENERAL	3
2.2—LIMIT STATES	3
2.2.1—SERVICE LIMIT STATE	4
2.2.2—STRENGTH LIMIT STATE	4
2.2.3—EXTREME EVENT LIMIT STATE	4
2.2.4—FATIGUE LIMIT STATE	5
2.3—LOAD FACTORS AND COMBINATIONS	5
2.4—USER COMFORT CRITERIA	6
2.4.1—BRIDGE DEFLECTION	6
2.4.2—PEDESTRIAN AND PASSENGER COMFORT	6
3—LOADS	9
3.1—PERMANENT LOADS	9
3.1.1—DEAD LOADS: <i>DC</i> , <i>DW</i> , AND <i>EV</i>	9
3.1.2—EARTH LOADS: <i>EH</i> , <i>ES</i> , AND <i>DD</i>	10
3.2—LIVE LOADS	10
3.2.1—GRAVITY LOADS: <i>LL</i> AND <i>PL</i>	10
3.2.1.1—General	10
3.2.1.1.1—Number of Design Tracks	10
3.2.1.1.2—Multiple Presence of Live Load	10
3.2.1.2—Design Light Rail Transit Load	13
3.2.1.3—Pedestrian Loads	13
3.2.2—APPLICATION OF DESIGN LIVE LOAD	13
3.2.3—DYNAMIC LOAD ALLOWANCE: <i>IM</i>	14
3.2.4—DERAILMENT LOAD: <i>DE</i>	15
3.2.5—CENTRIFUGAL FORCE: <i>CE</i>	15
3.2.6—BRAKING FORCE: <i>BR</i>	16
3.2.7—WIND LOADS: <i>WS</i> AND <i>WL</i>	16
3.2.7.1—Wind Load on Structures: <i>WS</i>	16
3.2.7.2—Wind Load on Trains: <i>WL</i>	16
3.2.8—EARTHQUAKE EFFECTS: <i>EQ</i>	17
3.3—LOAD EFFECTS	17
3.3.1—LOADS DUE TO SUPERIMPOSED DEFORMATIONS	17

3.3.2—THERMAL LOADING.....	17
3.3.3—RAIL-STRUCTURE INTERACTION	18
3.3.4—RAIL BREAK	18
4—STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS	19
4.1—ACCEPTABLE METHOD OF STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS	19
4.2—STRUCTURAL MATERIAL BEHAVIOR	19
4.3—MODELING GEOMETRY AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS	19
4.4—APPROXIMATE METHOD OF ANALYSIS.....	19
4.4.1—INFLUENCE OF PLAN GEOMETRY	19
4.4.2—DECKS	19
4.4.3—DISTRIBUTION FACTOR METHOD FOR MOMENT AND SHEAR	20
4.4.4—SKEWED BRIDGES	26
4.4.5—CURVED BRIDGES	27
4.5—REFINED METHOD OF ANALYSIS.....	27
4.6—DYNAMIC ANALYSIS	27
4.7—ANALYSIS BY PHYSICAL MODELS.....	28
5—REFERENCES.....	29

SECTION 1

GENERAL

1.1—SCOPE

These guide specifications (LRT Guide Specifications) are a supplement to the *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications* (LRFD Bridge Design) that address the design of bridges subjected to light rail transit (LRT) loadings, or both LRT and conventional highway traffic loadings. The LRT Guide Specifications state only the minimum requirements necessary to provide for public safety and are not intended to supplant proper training or the exercise of judgment by the Designer. The Owner or the Designer may require levels of sophistication for design and quality of materials and construction to be higher than the minimum requirements.

Except as superseded herein, LRFD Bridge Design and the *AASHTO LRFD Bridge Construction Specifications* shall govern the design and construction of bridges subjected to LRT loadings, or LRT and conventional highway loadings. Seismic design shall be in accordance with either the provisions in the appropriate sections of LRFD Bridge Design or the provisions in the *AASHTO Guide Specifications for LRFD Seismic Bridge Design*.

This document is largely dedicated to LRT load cases and load effects, and analysis of bridges subjected to LRT loadings. The following subjects are not covered: mechanical and electrical components of light rail trains, track materials and configurations, public utilities, transit signal work, and the maintenance of transit systems.

The commentary is not intended to provide a detailed summary of the studies and research data reviewed in formulating the provisions of the LRT Guide Specifications. However, technical discussions are provided as required.

1.2—NOTATION

A	=	cross-sectional area of rail (in. ²) (C3.3.4)
a_h	=	centrifugal acceleration (ft/sec ²) (C3.2.5)
C	=	centrifugal force multiplier for a curved bridge superstructure (3.2.5)
c_j	=	parameter for skewed supports (Table 4.4.4-1)
d	=	depth of member (ft) (4.4.3)
d_e	=	horizontal distance from the centerline of the exterior web of exterior beam at the deck level to the interior edge of curb or traffic barrier (ft) (4.4.3)
E	=	elastic modulus of rail (psi) (C3.3.4)
e	=	correction factor for distribution (Table 4.4.3-1)
f'_c	=	specified concrete strength in compression (ksi) (3.1.1)
G_{max}	=	rail break gap (in.) (C3.3.4)
g	=	gravitational acceleration (ft/sec ²) (3.2.5); live load distribution factor representing the number of lanes (Table 4.4.3-1)
H	=	height of the retarding surface above the ground surface (ft) (3.2.7.2)
K_g	=	longitudinal stiffness parameter (in. ⁴) (4.4.3)
L	=	bridge span length (ft) (4.4.3)
N_b	=	number of beams, stringers, or girders (4.4.3)
N_c	=	number of cells in a concrete box girder (4.4.3)
N_{clip}	=	number of rail clips on fastener (C3.3.4)
n	=	number of loaded tracks (3.2.5)

C1.1

Light rail transit is defined as an electric railway system characterized by its ability to operate single or multiple cars along exclusive rights-of-way at ground level, on aerial structures, in subways, or on streets; able to board and discharge passengers at station platforms or at street, track, or car-floor level; and normally powered by overhead electrical wires.

Article 1.1 provides the scope of the LRT Guide Specifications, their applicability, and their limitations. The commentary directs attention to other documents when necessary, which provide guidance for carrying out the requirements and intent of these LRT Guide Specifications. However, the commentary and references herein are not part of the LRT Guide Specifications.

The design provisions of these LRT Guide Specifications employ the load and resistance factor design (LRFD) methodology. The load factors relevant to light rail transit loading have been developed from the theory of reliability based on current statistical knowledge of loads and structural performance.