

NBS05

Newborn Screening for Cystic Fibrosis

This guideline describes newborn screening laboratory tests for detecting analytes and genetic markers associated with cystic fibrosis (CF). It includes both the first-tier and second-tier screening tests performed on newborn dried blood spot specimens, as well as the screening strategies for identifying newborns at increased risk for developing CF.

.....
A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

Consensus Process

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

Commenting on Documents

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advances in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

Appeal Process

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeal, documented in the *CLSI Standards Development Policies and Processes*, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

Get Involved—Volunteer!

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500
Wayne, PA 19087 USA
P: +1.610.688.0100
F: +1.610.688.0700
www.clsi.org
standard@clsi.org

Newborn Screening for Cystic Fibrosis

Debra Freedenberg, MD, PhD, FFACMG
Marc K. Sontag, PhD, MS
Amy Gaviglio, MS, CGC
Aaron Benfield, PhD
Manjula Chelliserry, PhD
Suzanne K. Cordovado, PhD
V́ctor R. De Jeśs, PhD
Philip Farrell, MD, PhD

Uttam Garg, PhD, DABCC
Martin Kharrazi, PhD, MPH
Rachel Lee, PhD, HCLD
Peter C.J.I. Schielen, PhD
Bradford L. Therrell, Jr., MS, PhD
Ronald J. Whitley, PhD, DABCC, FACB
Raquel Yahyaoui, MD, PhD

Abstract

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guideline NBS05—*Newborn Screening for Cystic Fibrosis* describes newborn screening (NBS) laboratory tests and screening strategies used worldwide to identify newborns at increased risk of developing cystic fibrosis (CF). CF is a common genetic disorder caused by variants in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (*CFTR*) gene. Presymptomatic detection through NBS leads to early diagnosis and improves the outcomes of babies with CF. This guideline describes comprehensively the laboratory tests for detecting CF risk among newborns as well as recommendations for follow-up evaluation. It describes the use of immunoreactive trypsinogen assays and second-tier NBS testing, including DNA analysis for detecting specific *CFTR* variants and pancreatitis-associated protein assays. A core panel of *CFTR* variants for routine testing is discussed with guidance included on NBS program considerations for core panel expansion. This guideline is intended for use by NBS laboratory, follow-up, and program personnel; public health program administrators; medical laboratories; CF center personnel and organizations responsible for CF center networks; health care providers (eg, primary care providers, neonatologists, pediatricians, disease specialists); regulatory agencies; public health policy makers; and manufacturers of instruments, reagents, and related products for NBS testing.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Newborn Screening for Cystic Fibrosis*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline NBS05 (ISBN 978-1-68440-064-5 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-065-2 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 950 West Valley Road, Suite 2500, Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 USA, 2019.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at www.clsi.org. If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at: Telephone: +1.610.688.0100; Fax: +1.610.688.0700; E-Mail: customerservice@clsi.org; Website: www.clsi.org.

Copyright ©2019 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, derivative product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to permissions@clsi.org.

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail permissions@clsi.org.

Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Newborn Screening for Cystic Fibrosis*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline NBS05. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2019.

Previous Edition:

November 2011

ISBN 978-1-68440-064-5 (Print)
ISBN 978-1-68440-065-2 (Electronic)
ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)
ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 39, Number 14

Committee Membership

Consensus Council

**Dennis J. Ernst, MT(ASCP),
NCPT(NCCT)
Chairholder
Center for Phlebotomy Education
USA**

**Mary Lou Gantzer, PhD, FACB
Vice-Chairholder
USA**

J. Rex Astles, PhD, FACB, DABCC
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
USA

Thomas R. Fritsche, MD, PhD, FCAP,
FIDSA
Marshfield Clinic
USA

Loralie J. Langman, PhD, DABCC,
FACB, F-ABFT
Mayo Clinic
USA

Michelle McLean, MS, MT(ASCP)
Grenier Bio-One, Inc.
USA

Tania Motschman, MS, MT(ASCP)SBB
Laboratory Corporation of America
USA

James R. Petisce, PhD
BD Diagnostic Systems
USA

Robert Rej, PhD
New York State Department of Health –
Wadsworth Center
USA

Zivana Tezak, PhD
FDA Center for Devices and
Radiological Health
USA

Document Development Committee on Newborn Screening for Cystic Fibrosis

**Debra Freedenberg, MD, PhD,
FFACMG
Chairholder
Texas Department of State Health
Services
USA**

**Marci K. Sontag, PhD, MS
Vice-Chairholder
CI International
USA**

**Amy Gaviglio, MS, CGC
Committee Secretary
Minnesota Department of Health
USA**

Aaron Benfield, PhD
Luminex Corporation
USA

Manjula Chelliserry, PhD
Illumina, Inc.
USA

Víctor R. De Jesús, PhD
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention
USA

Philip Farrell, MD, PhD
University of Wisconsin School of
Medicine and Public Health
USA

Uttam Garg, PhD, DABCC
The Children's Mercy Hospital
USA

Bradford L. Therrell, Jr., MS, PhD
University of Texas Health Science
Center at San Antonio
USA

Ronald J. Whitley, PhD, DABCC, FACB
University of Kentucky Medical Center
USA

Raquel Yahyaoui, MD, PhD
Málaga Regional University Hospital
Spain

Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards
Institute
USA

Lori T. Moon, MS, MT(ASCP)
Project Manager

Megan L. Tertel, MA, ELS
Editorial Manager

Catherine E.M. Jenkins
Editor

Kristy L. Leirer, MS
Editor

Laura Martin
Editor

Acknowledgment for the Expert Panel on Newborn Screening

CLSI, the Consensus Council, and the Document Development Committee on Newborn Screening for Cystic Fibrosis gratefully acknowledge the Expert Panel on Newborn Screening for serving as technical advisors and subject matter experts during the revision of this guideline.

Expert Panel on Newborn Screening

Ronald J. Whitley, PhD, DABCC, FACB
Chairholder
 University of Kentucky Medical Center
 USA

Ralph Fingerhut, PhD, FAMH
 University Children's Hospital Zurich
 Switzerland

Vamsee Pamula, PhD
 Baebies, Inc.
 USA

Uttam Garg, PhD, DABCC
 The Children's Mercy Hospital
 USA

Scott Shone, PhD
 RTI International
 USA

Amy Gaviglio, MS, CGC
Vice-Chairholder
 Minnesota Department of Health
 USA

Kellie B. Kelm, PhD
 FDA Center for Devices and Radiological Health
 USA

Bradford L. Therrell, Jr., MS, PhD
 University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio
 USA

Debra Freedenberg, MD, PhD, FFACMG
 Texas Department of State Health Services
 USA

Joanne Mei, PhD
 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
 USA

Raquel Yahyaoui, MD, PhD
 Málaga Regional University Hospital
 Spain

Acknowledgment

CLSI, the Consensus Council, and the Document Development Committee on Newborn Screening for Cystic Fibrosis gratefully acknowledge the following volunteers for their important contributions to the revision of this guideline:

Suzanne K. Cordovado, PhD
 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
 USA

Rachel Lee, PhD, HCLD
 Texas Department of State Health Services
 USA

Emily S. Winn-Deen, PhD
 Rx Dx Advisors, Inc.
 USA

Martin Kharrazi, PhD, MPH
 California Department of Public Health
 USA

Peter C.J.I. Schielen, PhD
 Rijksinstituut voor Volksgezondheid en Milieu
 The Netherlands

Barbara Zehnbauer, PhD, FACB, FACMG
 Emory University Hospital
 USA

Contents

Abstract	i
Committee Membership	iii
Foreword	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Scope	1
1.2 Standard Precautions	2
1.3 Terminology	2
Chapter 2: Overview of Cystic Fibrosis	11
2.1 Genetics and Pathophysiology of Cystic Fibrosis	11
2.2 Early Identification Through Newborn Screening	12
2.3 Confirmatory Diagnostic Testing for Cystic Fibrosis	14
2.4 <i>CFTR</i> -Related Metabolic Syndrome and Cystic Fibrosis Screen-Positive, Inconclusive Diagnosis	15
Chapter 3: Path of Workflow	17
Chapter 4: Preanalytical Activities	19
4.1 Specimen Collection	19
4.2 Specimen Handling, Transportation, and Storage	19
4.3 Specimen Quality and Missing Information	20
Chapter 5: Analytical Activities	21
5.1 Immunoreactive Trypsinogen Methods	21
5.2 Pancreatitis-Associated Protein Method	21
5.3 <i>CFTR</i> Variant Analysis	22
Chapter 6: Analytical Activities – Strategy for Screening Methods and Models	29
6.1 Cystic Fibrosis Newborn Screening Models	29
6.2 Selecting a Newborn Screening Strategy	29
6.3 Determining the Immunoreactive Trypsinogen Cutoff Concentration	29
6.4 Immunoreactive Trypsinogen/DNA Model and Variations	30
6.5 Immunoreactive Trypsinogen/Immunoreactive Trypsinogen Model	31
6.6 Immunoreactive Trypsinogen/Pancreatitis-Associated Protein/DNA Model	32
6.7 Comparing Cystic Fibrosis Newborn Screening Models	32
Chapter 7: Postanalytical Activities	33
7.1 Results Reporting	33
7.2 Roles and Responsibilities in Short-Term Follow-up Through Diagnosis	42
7.3 Long-Term Follow-up Considerations	44
Chapter 8: Long-Term Follow-up Quality Monitoring	49
Chapter 9: Research and Methods in Development	51
9.1 Characteristics of Patients With Cystic Fibrosis With Low Immunoreactive Trypsinogen Concentration	51
9.2 Genome and Exome Next-Generation Sequencing for Newborn Disorders That Include <i>CFTR</i> Gene Analysis	51
9.3 Measurement of <i>CFTR</i> Protein Function for Diagnostic Confirmation	52

Contents (Continued)

Chapter 10: Conclusion.....	54
Chapter 11: Supplemental Information	54
References.....	55
Additional Resources	64
Appendix A. Example of Calculating a Floating Immunoreactive Trypsinogen Cutoff Value.....	65
Appendix B. Genetic Counseling	67
The Quality Management System Approach	72
Related CLSI Reference Materials	74

Foreword

Newborn screening (NBS) is a highly effective public health program that saves or improves the lives of thousands of babies every year.¹ NBS programs are organized, population-based public health services applying preventive medicine principles in defined regions to reduce morbidity and mortality from certain congenital disorders. NBS programs are part of NBS systems that include birthing facilities, public health programs, health care providers, and families. NBS's goal is presymptomatic detection of at-risk newborns through screening platforms for newborn dried blood spot (DBS) specimens that are analyzed in specialized NBS laboratories, newborn hearing screening, and cyanotic congenital heart disease screening. NBS programs are linked to medical follow-up programs for diagnosis and rapid initiation of specialized therapies. The organization of NBS programs features a system of care that includes preanalytical, analytical, and postanalytical activities. They include education, collection of DBS specimens, laboratory analysis, result reporting, linkage to clinical care (short-term follow-up), diagnosis, management, programmatic evaluation, evaluation of clinical outcomes (including long-term follow-up), QA, and quality improvement.

Cystic fibrosis (CF) is a common genetic disorder caused by genetic variants in the cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (*CFTR*) gene. These variants result in defective chloride transport. Affected children develop a life-threatening chronic disease with digestive defects leading to severe malnutrition and respiratory tract abnormalities that are associated with recurrent bronchopulmonary infections and persistent cough. Detecting newborns at risk for CF by identifying increased immunoreactive trypsinogen (IRT) concentrations in DBS specimens (often followed by DNA analysis of variants in the *CF* gene) provides an opportunity for presymptomatic detection before irreversible pathology develops.

This guideline describes newborn DBS screening algorithms for CF using IRT assays alone or, most commonly, in combination with second-tier DNA testing for detecting specific *CFTR* variants. CF NBS algorithms are among the first NBS models incorporating DNA technologies. Variations in the IRT/DNA method, including the use of pancreatitis-associated protein (PAP) testing after IRT testing, are also summarized with explanations of their advantages and disadvantages.

Despite its widespread use since rapid implementation began in 2005, CF NBS is complicated by several challenges and controversies regarding laboratory science and public health applications that have resulted in NBS programs adopting diverse approaches.² Implementing NBS for CF provides new opportunities for enhanced care, education, and research. However, the multitude of methodologies has highlighted the need for quality improvement and QA. For example, a variety of methods are used for reaching decisions regarding IRT concentrations cutoff values for in-range results and out-of-range results. In addition, given that over 2000 *CFTR* variants have been reported, some debate exists about which *CFTR* panels should be used for IRT/DNA NBS strategies. This consensus guideline on CF NBS provides a global resource for NBS programs to evaluate and refine their current procedures and practices for all aspects of the CF NBS system, including the challenging follow-up components of sweat chloride testing and genetic counseling.

Overview of Changes

This guideline replaces the previous edition of the approved guideline, NBS05-A, published in 2011. Several changes were made in this edition, including:

- Reassessed IRT cutoff value guidelines and discussed the use of a floating rather than fixed cutoff value
- Revised recommendations regarding *CFTR* variant panels based on the most current information, including new biotechnologies such as next-generation sequencing

- Assessed using PAP for detecting newborns at risk for CF
- Discussed communication strategies related to detecting CF heterozygote newborns and providing genetic counseling
- Reviewed emerging issues related to using genetic and genomic sequencing in NBS
- Described the existing CF NBS algorithms

NOTE: The content of this guideline is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.

Key Words

Cystic fibrosis, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator gene, DNA analysis, genetic counseling, immunoreactive trypsinogen, newborn dried blood spot screening, pancreatitis-associated protein, quality assurance, sensitivity, variants

Newborn Screening for Cystic Fibrosis

Chapter 1: Introduction

This chapter includes:

- Guideline’s scope and applicable exclusions
- Standard precautions information
- “Note on Terminology” that highlights particular use and/or variation in use of terms and/or definitions
- Terms and definitions used in the guideline
- Abbreviations and acronyms used in the guideline

1.1 Scope

This guideline specifies recommendations for newborn screening (NBS) for cystic fibrosis (CF) and routine use of dried blood spot (DBS) specimens for identifying potentially affected newborns. This guideline also discusses the preanalytical, analytical, and postanalytical activities of CF NBS, including short-term follow-up (STFU) and long-term follow-up (LTFU) considerations.

This guideline describes:

- Screening methodologies for immunoreactive trypsinogen (IRT), pancreatitis-associated protein (PAP), and cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (*CFTR*) gene variant analysis
- Screening algorithms currently used, including the use of IRT assays alone or in combination with DNA analysis for detecting specific *CFTR* variants through second-tier NBS with the IRT/DNA strategy
- Variations in the IRT/DNA strategy, including the use of PAP testing after IRT testing, with explanations of their advantages and disadvantages
- Selecting *CFTR* variant panels that enable equal detection of CF in all populations within the screening jurisdiction
- Reporting results
- Roles and responsibilities during STFU through diagnosis

This guideline recommends the use of *CFTR* variant panels in CF NBS algorithms when resources allow. The intended users of this guideline are NBS laboratory, follow-up, and program personnel; public health program administrators; medical laboratories; CF center personnel and organizations responsible for CF center networks; health care providers (HCPs) (eg, primary care providers, neonatologists, pediatricians); regulatory agencies; public health policy makers; and manufacturers of instruments, reagents, and related products used for NBS testing.