

ASME B89.4.21.1-2020

Environmental Effects on Coordinate Measuring Machine Measurements

AN ASME TECHNICAL REPORT



The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers

ASME B89.4.21.1-2020

Environmental Effects on Coordinate Measuring Machine Measurements

AN ASME TECHNICAL REPORT



**The American Society of
Mechanical Engineers**

Two Park Avenue • New York, NY • 10016 USA

Date of Issuance: April 10, 2020

This Technical Report will be revised when the Society approves the issuance of a new edition.

The B89 Committee Page can be found at <http://go.asme.org/B89committee>. There is an option available to automatically receive an e-mail notification when errata are posted to a particular code, standard, or technical report. This option can be found on the appropriate Committee Page after selecting "Errata" in the "Publication Information" section.

ASME is the registered trademark of The American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

ASME does not "approve," "rate," or "endorse" any item, construction, proprietary device, or activity.

ASME does not take any position with respect to the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any items mentioned in this document, and does not undertake to insure anyone utilizing a standard against liability for infringement of any applicable letters patent, nor assume any such liability. Users of a code or standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility.

Participation by federal agency representative(s) or person(s) affiliated with industry is not to be interpreted as government or industry endorsement of this technical report.

ASME accepts responsibility for only those interpretations of this document issued in accordance with the established ASME procedures and policies, which precludes the issuance of interpretations by individuals.

No part of this document may be reproduced in any form,
in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise,
without the prior written permission of the publisher.

The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Two Park Avenue, New York, NY 10016-5990

Copyright © 2020 by
THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERS
All rights reserved
Printed in U.S.A.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Foreword | v |
| Committee Roster | vi |
| Correspondence With the B89 Committee | vii |
| 1 Scope | 1 |
| 2 Introduction and Background | 1 |
| 3 Thermal Effects | 2 |
| 4 Nonthermal Effects | 10 |
| 5 Assessing Thermal Effects | 12 |
| 6 Assessing Vibration Effects | 14 |
| 7 Managing Thermal and Vibration Effects | 16 |
| 8 Economic Considerations | 19 |
| 9 References | 20 |
| Nonmandatory Appendices | |
| A Overview on the Use of Temperature Control for Metrology of Workpieces | 22 |
| B Examples of Thermal Time Constants | 23 |
| C Illumination Effects | 29 |
| D Thermal Theory | 34 |
| E Useful Thermal Properties | 41 |
| F Machine Design Considerations | 43 |
| G Seismic Vibration Verification Tests | 46 |
| Figures | |
| 3.1-1 The Effect of Increase in Temperature on Length | 2 |
| 3.2-1 Effect of Differential Expansion on Length | 3 |
| 3.5.2-1 Thermal Step Response | 5 |
| 3.5.2-2 Thermal Response of a Simple Body to Temperature Variation | 6 |
| 3.5.2-3 Differential Equation of Measuring System: Two-Element Responses | 7 |
| 3.6.2-1 Typical Thermal Cycle | 8 |
| 6.1-1 Passive and Active Isolation Systems | 15 |
| B-3-1 Change in Ball Bar Length as a Function of Time | 25 |
| B-5-1 Measured Temperature Versus Time With Calculated Values Using the Thermal Time Constant, τ , Obtained From a Least-Squares-Error Fit to the Experimental Data | 27 |
| B-5-2 Estimated Soak-Out Time for a Workpiece Coming From a Shop Area at Some Temperature Into a CMM Room With a Nominal Temperature of 20°C | 28 |
| C-1-1 Diopters as a Function of Age | 30 |
| C-1-2 Percent Acuity as a Function of Age | 31 |
| C-2.1-1 Energy Distribution of Fluorescent Lamp | 32 |
| D-4-1 Heat Transfer by Convection Showing Air Velocity Profile | 35 |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|----|
| D-4-2 | Convective Heat Transfer Coefficient, h , as a Function of Velocity | 36 |
| D-5-1 | Example of Temperature Profile Within a Workpiece | 37 |
| D-6-1 | Part of the Electromagnetic Spectrum | 38 |
| D-6-2 | View Factor Between Two Rectangular Faces | 39 |
| D-6-3 | Values of F_{1-2} for Assumed Values of the Ratio and Angle | 40 |
| F-2.3-1 | Effect of Differential Expansion Relative to Distance Between Beam Faces | 44 |
| G-8-1 | Diagram of Sensor Arrangement and Instrumentation Configuration | 50 |
| Tables | | |
| 3.5.2-1 | Thermal Step Response Versus Number of Time Constants | 5 |
| 4.5-1 | Ferrous Corrosion Development | 12 |
| B-1-1 | Thermal Capacitance of Common Engineering Materials | 23 |
| B-3-1 | Summary of Surface Area and Volume | 25 |
| B-3-2 | Surface Area and Volume as a Function of Length | 25 |
| B-3-3 | Ratios of Volume/Area as Function of Length | 26 |
| D-4-1 | Typical Values of Convective Heat Transfer Coefficient | 36 |
| E-1-1 | Thermal Properties of Common Engineering Materials | 41 |
| E-2-1 | Useful Conversion Factors for Thermal Properties | 42 |

FOREWORD

This Technical Report addresses the environmental effects on measurements taken when using coordinate measuring machines (CMMs). In this Report, the considered effects are those due solely to environmental effects, such as temperature and vibration. Operational effects, including items such as fixturing, materials, probe considerations, and the workpiece itself, are not addressed in this document.

The intent of this document is not to provide detailed solutions to specific applications, but rather to address and highlight some items to consider when making measurements on CMMs, with the ultimate objective of reducing the uncertainty in the measurement.

The subject matter itself is extremely broad and complex, making standardized solutions very difficult. As such, how to deal with environmental issues is highly user-dependent and difficult to standardize. The initial concept was to try to develop a standard test, similar to those currently documented in ASME B89.4.10360.2. This was, however, contrary to the concept of making the performance evaluation tests quicker and therefore less expensive to run.

When the CMM is used within rated operating conditions, including environmental conditions, as stated by the CMM manufacturer, the performance of the CMM is characterized by its ASME B89.4.10360.2 accuracy specifications. For any combination of environmental conditions that are within the rated operating conditions, the accuracy of the CMM as characterized by ASME B89.4.10360.2 is expressed as a maximum permissible error (MPE) that is assigned by the CMM manufacturer; different MPE values may be assigned to different environmental conditions within the rated conditions. These accuracy specifications apply only to the measurand embodied in the calibrated reference artifact used in the specification, e.g., point-to-point length as measured on gage blocks, step gages, and similar artifacts permitted by the performance testing protocol.

However, if the CMM is used in conditions that are outside its rated environmental conditions, the performance of the CMM is no longer assured. Some guidance for the derating of the CMM performance is given in ASME B89.4.10360.2; however, unless the CMM manufacturer has agreed to a derating method, there is no applicable accuracy specification.

From these discussions, it was decided that the best approach would be to develop a reference document that would elucidate the problems and allow the user of the machine to decide what, if anything, to do about it.

ASME B89 COMMITTEE

Dimensional Metrology

(The following is the roster of the Committee at the time of approval of this Technical Report.)

STANDARDS COMMITTEE OFFICERS

E. Morse, *Chair*
E. R. Yaris, *Vice Chair*
J. Cassamassino, *Secretary*

STANDARDS COMMITTEE PERSONNEL

| | |
|--|--|
| J. Cassamassino , The American Society of Mechanical Engineers | J. R. Schmidl , Optical Gaging Products, Inc. |
| T. Charlton, Jr. , Charlton Associates | C. Shakarji , National Institute of Standards and Technology |
| J. D. Drescher , UTC — Pratt and Whitney | R. L. Thompson , U.S. Air Force |
| M. L. Fink , The Boeing Co. | E. R. Yaris , Lowell, Inc. |
| E. G. Gesner , Quality Vision International, Inc. | K. L. Skinner , <i>Alternate</i> , Air Force Metrology and Calibration |
| G. A. Hetland , International Institute of Geometric Dimensioning and Tolerancing | B. Crowe , <i>Contributing Member</i> , CDI |
| M. Liebers , Professional Instruments Co. | D. E. Beutel , <i>Honorary Member</i> , Caterpillar, Inc. |
| R. L. Long , ANSI National Accreditation Board | T. E. Carpenter , <i>Honorary Member</i> , U.S. Air Force |
| E. Morse , University of North Carolina, Charlotte | D. J. Christy , <i>Honorary Member</i> , Mahr Federal, Inc. |
| B. Parry , Consultant | R. J. Hocken , <i>Honorary Member</i> , University of North Carolina, Charlotte |
| P. Pereira , Caterpillar, Inc. | M. P. Krystek , <i>Honorary Member</i> , Physikalisch-Technische Bundesanstalt |
| B. S. Pippenger , Rolls-Royce | B. R. Taylor , <i>Honorary Member</i> , Consultant |
| J. G. Salisbury , Mitutoyo America Corp. | |
| D. Sawyer , National Institute of Standards and Technology | |

DIVISION 4 — COORDINATE MEASURING TECHNOLOGY

| | |
|---|---|
| E. Morse , <i>Chair</i> , University of North Carolina, Charlotte | B. S. Pippenger , Rolls-Royce |
| T. E. Carpenter , U.S. Air Force | J. B. Ross , GE Aircraft Engines |
| T. Charlton, Jr. , Charlton Associates | J. G. Salisbury , Mitutoyo America Corp. |
| J. C. Davies , Honeywell Federal Manufacturing and Technologies, LLC | J. Schlecht , North Star Imaging |
| J. D. Drescher , UTC — Pratt and Whitney | J. R. Schmidl , Optical Gaging Products, Inc. |
| E. G. Gesner , Quality Vision International, Inc. | C. Shakarji , National Institute of Standards and Technology |
| R. L. Long , ANSI National Accreditation Board | R. L. Thompson , U.S. Air Force |
| B. Parry , Consultant | E. R. Yaris , Lowell, Inc. |
| P. Pereira , Caterpillar, Inc. | |

PROJECT TEAM B89.4.21 — CMM PERFORMANCE IN REAL ENVIRONMENTS

| | |
|---|---|
| E. G. Gesner , <i>Chair</i> , Quality Vision International, Inc. | B. Parry , Consultant |
| B. S. Pippenger , <i>Chair</i> , Rolls-Royce | J. R. Schmidl , Optical Gaging Products, Inc. |
| T. Charlton, Jr. , Charlton Associates | C. Shakarji , National Institute of Standards and Technology |
| J. C. Davies , Honeywell Federal Manufacturing and Technologies, LLC | R. L. Thompson , U.S. Air Force |
| J. D. Drescher , UTC — Pratt and Whitney | E. R. Yaris , Lowell, Inc. |
| E. Morse , University of North Carolina, Charlotte | |

CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE B89 COMMITTEE

General. ASME Codes, Standards, and Technical Reports are developed and maintained with the intent to represent the consensus of concerned interests. As such, users of this Technical Report may interact with the Committee by proposing revisions and attending Committee meetings. Correspondence should be addressed to:

Secretary, B89 Standards Committee
The American Society of Mechanical Engineers
Two Park Avenue
New York, NY 10016-5990
<http://go.asme.org/Inquiry>

Proposing Revisions. Revisions are made periodically to the Technical Report to incorporate changes that appear necessary or desirable, as demonstrated by the experience gained from the application of the Technical Report. Approved revisions will be published periodically.

The Committee welcomes proposals for revisions to this Technical Report. Such proposals should be as specific as possible, citing the paragraph number(s), the proposed wording, and a detailed description of the reasons for the proposal, including any pertinent documentation.

Attending Committee Meetings. The B89 Standards Committee regularly holds meetings and/or telephone conferences that are open to the public. Persons wishing to attend any meeting and/or telephone conference should contact the Secretary of the B89 Standards Committee. Future Committee meeting dates and locations can be found on the Committee Page at <http://go.asme.org/B89committee>.

ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS ON COORDINATE MEASURING MACHINE MEASUREMENTS

1 SCOPE

This Technical Report discusses important influences of the environment on dimensional and geometric measurements performed using coordinate measuring machines (CMMs), including influences on both the machine and the workpieces to be measured. This Report discusses the thermal effects related to the use of tactile CMMs, but many of these effects are also applicable to optical and other noncontact coordinate measurement systems.

2 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

2.1 Operating Conditions

JCGM 200 (ref. [1]) defines rated operating conditions (4.9) and limiting operating conditions (4.10). Machine specifications, typically stated as maximum permissible errors (MPEs), are intended to be applicable to a CMM that is used within its rated operating conditions. These rated operating conditions are the conditions that must be fulfilled for the machine to perform as designed (i.e., meet the MPEs). These conditions include, but are not limited to, environmental conditions. Limiting operating conditions are the extreme at which a machine can be operated without sustaining damage and without degradation of specifications when subsequently operated within its rated operating conditions.

2.2 Definition of Environment

For the purpose of this Technical Report, the CMM environment includes those elements in the machine surroundings that affect CMM system performance; effects of operators are not addressed in this Report. The environmental effects included are temperature and humidity, illumination, vibration, electrical effects, and contamination. These effects are caused or transmitted by surrounding air, building structure, other equipment, supply air, and the electrical system.

For the purpose of this Technical Report, environments are classified as “laboratory” or “shop.” A laboratory environment is controlled in order to perform measurements at an acceptable accuracy level. A shop environment is controlled only to the level required to produce acceptable workpieces. A shop environment may not be acceptable for performing measurement tasks.

2.3 Environmental Effects

The influence of environmental variables on the measurement results obtained using the CMM are classified as environmental effects. The variables are identified in [para. 2.2](#), and their influence can vary greatly among different facilities, or even within one facility. Whereas temperature and humidity may vary depending on the time of day or season of the year, influences such as illumination, electrical noise, and vibration may be fairly constant for a given CMM installation. Contamination, either airborne or on the CMM and workpieces, may be either a steady-state or varying condition. The ability to manage contamination will depend on the nature of the installation and the perceived impact of the contamination on measurement results.

Three main methods are employed to mitigate the influence of the environmental effects, as follows:

(a) *Attempt to Remove the Source of the Influence.* This may be done by shutting down or moving equipment causing vibration or removing heat sources from the immediate vicinity of the measuring equipment.

(b) *Attenuate the Effects of the Influence.* Vibration isolators may be used between the factory or laboratory floor and the CMM, or baffles may be installed to block radiation from a heat source that cannot be moved.

(c) *Compensate for the Influence.* By using knowledge about how a particular influence effects the measurement and sensors to quantify the environmental state, the measurement results can be adjusted to compensate for the environment.

After mitigation, simply evaluate the influence; the uncertainty of measurement can be increased to accommodate the effect of environmental influences where these methods are not employed.