



IPC-9797

2020 - May

**Press-Fit Standard for Automotive
Requirements and Other
High-Reliability Applications**

An international standard developed by IPC



BUILD ELECTRONICS BETTER

The Principles of Standardization

In May 1995 the IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee (TAEC) adopted Principles of Standardization as a guiding principle of IPC's standardization efforts.

Standards Should:

- Show relationship to Design for Manufacturability (DFM) and Design for the Environment (DFE)
- Minimize time to market
- Contain simple (simplified) language
- Just include spec information
- Focus on end product performance
- Include a feedback system on use and problems for future improvement

Standards Should Not:

- Inhibit innovation
- Increase time-to-market
- Keep people out
- Increase cycle time
- Tell you how to make something
- Contain anything that cannot be defended with data

Notice

IPC Standards and Publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or nonmember of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publication, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials, or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the Recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

IPC Position Statement on Specification Revision Change

It is the position of IPC's Technical Activities Executive Committee that the use and implementation of IPC publications is voluntary and is part of a relationship entered into by customer and supplier. When an IPC publication is updated and a new revision is published, it is the opinion of the TAEC that the use of the new revision as part of an existing relationship is not automatic unless required by the contract. The TAEC recommends the use of the latest revision. Adopted October 6, 1998

Why is there a charge for this document?

Your purchase of this document contributes to the ongoing development of new and updated industry standards and publications. Standards allow manufacturers, customers, and suppliers to understand one another better. Standards allow manufacturers greater efficiencies when they can set up their processes to meet industry standards, allowing them to offer their customers lower costs.

IPC spends hundreds of thousands of dollars annually to support IPC's volunteers in the standards and publications development process. There are many rounds of drafts sent out for review and the committees spend hundreds of hours in review and development. IPC's staff attends and participates in committee activities, typesets and circulates document drafts, and follows all necessary procedures to qualify for ANSI approval.

IPC's membership dues have been kept low to allow as many companies as possible to participate. Therefore, the standards and publications revenue is necessary to complement dues revenue. The price schedule offers a 50% discount to IPC members. If your company buys IPC standards and publications, why not take advantage of this and the many other benefits of IPC membership as well? For more information on membership in IPC, please visit www.ipc.org or call 847/597-2809.

Thank you for your continued support.



IPC-9797

Press-Fit Standard for Automotive Requirements and Other High-Reliability Applications

Developed by the Cold Joining Press-Fit Task Group (5-21m) of the
Assembly and Joining Committee (5-20) of IPC

Users of this publication are encouraged to participate in the
development of future revisions.

Contact:

IPC
3000 Lakeside Drive, Suite 105N
Bannockburn, Illinois
60015-1249
Tel 847 615.7100
Fax 847 615.7105

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Acknowledgment

Any document involving a complex technology draws material from a vast number of sources across many continents. While the principal members of the IPC Cold Joining Press-Fit Task Group (5-21m) of the Assembly and Joining Committee (5-20) are shown below, it is not possible to include all of those who assisted in the evolution of this standard. To each of them, the members of IPC extend their gratitude.

Assembly and Joining Committee	Cold Joining Press-Fit Task Group	Technical Liaison of the IPC Board of Directors
Chair Daniel Foster Missile Defense Agency Vice Chair Karen A. Tellefsen MacDermid Alpha Electronics Solutions	Co-Chairs Hans-Peter Tranzitz Continental Automotive GmbH Udo Welzel Robert Bosch GmbH	Bob Neves Microtek (Changzhou) Laboratories
<hr/>		
Cold Joining Press-Fit Task Group		
David C. Adams, Collins Aerospace Thomas Ahrens, Trainalytics GmbH Randy Alexander, CTS Corporation Frederick P. Beltran, L3Harris Communications Martin Bleicher, TE Connectivity Germany GmbH William Bowers, ZF TRW Automotive Bjoern Bretthauer, INOVAN GmbH & Co. KG Marc Brodbeck, Würth Elektronik ICS GmbH & Co. KG Jay Brusse, SSAI at NASA Goddard Space Flight Center Andreas Buehrle, Wieland-Werke AG Giovanni Casanova, Schweitzer Engineering Laboratories, Inc. Zhiman Chen, Zhuzhou CRRC Times Electric Co., Ltd. Lenora M. Clark, MacDermid Alpha Electronics Solutions Charles Copper, FCI USA, Inc. Erika Crandall, TE Connectivity Germany GmbH Philip Dancison, Delphi Automotive Pascal Dumontet, Renault Technocentre Gene Dunn, Plexus Corporation Michael Durkan, Mentor Graphics Corporation Juergen Egervari, IMOC Electronic Components (Changzhou) Co., Ltd. Hermann Eicher, EPT Guglhoer GmbH	Bernd Eifer, ERNI Elektroapparate GmbH Juergen Friedrich, ERSA GmbH Hugo GARCIA, Airbus Defence & Space Joachim Giuriato, Molex Elektronik GmbH Andrew Goddard, ZF Automotive UK Limited Constantino Jose Gonzalez, ACME Training & Consulting Thomas Gottwald, Schweizer Electronic AG Arnaud Grivon, Thales Global Services Michael Haas, ERSA GmbH Lutz Haase, HELLA GmbH & Co. KGaA Stefan Hanigk, Ariane Group GmbH Alafio Hewitt, Lockheed Martin Missiles & Fire Control Gaston Hidalgo, Toyota Motor North America Angela Mary Higgins, Molex Ireland Ltd. Charles Hirbour, Technic Inc. Emma Hudson, Emma Hudson Technical Consultancy Ltd Philippe Jaeckle, Robert Bosch GmbH Rajesh Jayaraj, Webasto Roof & Components SE Satoshi Kashiwabara, Toyota Motor Corporation Daniel Klenk, Nexteer Automotive Yusaku Kono, Japan Unix Co., Ltd.	Chandran Nantha Kumar, Interplex Precision Technology (Singapore) Pte.Ltd. Dietmar Kurzeja, Possehl Electronics Hugues Lenormand, Veoneer Francois Le-Rest, Continental Automotive France SAS Joseph Lynch, Interplex Industries, Inc Chris Mahanna, Robisan Laboratory Inc. Jean-Francois Mahe, LCO Michael Meckl, Interplex Industries, Inc Amit Mensi, Molex, LLC Julien Michau, Sonceboz SA Steve Minich, Amphenol InterCon Systems Shota Mishima, Toyota Motor Corporation Werner MORITZ, iwis smart connect GmbH Kristopher Moyer Wolfgang Neef, ELCO Europe GmbH Thi V. Nguyen, Lockheed Martin Missile & Fire Control Jason Nipper, UTC Aerospace Systems James O'Connor, IBM Corporation Andres Ojalill, Tallinn Polytechnic School Brad Palmer, John Deere Electronic Solutions, Inc. Timothy John Pearson, Collins Aerospace Jan Pedersen, Elmatica AS

Kenneth Rahn, FCI USA, Inc.	Katarzyna Szydłowska, APTIV	Lutz Weber, ZF Automotive UK Limited
Suraj Ramani, Molex, LLC	Augustin Stan, L&G Advice Serv SRL	Benjamin Wehl, Nexteer Automotive
Tarja Rapala, EIPC-The European Institute for the PCB Community	Hartwig Stein, TBS Sorig	Michael Kurt Weinhold, PLUS Leiterplatten G
Matthias Rink, Gebr. Kemper GmbH + Co. KG	Wayne Zhang, IBM Procurement China Ltd.	Udo Welzel, Robert Bosch GmbH
Jose A. Rios, Raytheon	Grzegorz Zmuda, APTIV	Jonathon Vermillion, Ball Aerospace & Technologies Corp.
Ralf-Michael Sander, ebm-papst St. Georgen GmbH & Co. KG	Paul Zutter, U.S. Army Aviation & Missile Command	Dennis Willie, Flextronics International
Stefan Scherer, Alicona Imaging GmbH	Toshiyasu Takei, Japan Unix Co., Ltd.	Volker Wohlfarth, Umicore Galvanotechnik GmbH
Michael Schleicher, Semikron Elektronik GmbH Co. KG	Friedrich Talgner, Umicore	Heike Woldt, Diehl Metal Applications GmbH
Torsten Schmidt, HELLA GmbH & Co. KGaA	TATSUROH TANAKA, Toyota Motor Corporation	Fonda B. Wu, Raytheon Company
Douglas R. Schueller, AbelConn, LLC	Pascal Thibaut, Sonceboz SA	Frank Xu, MacDermid Alpha Electronics Solutions
Eduard Schulz, Possehl Electronics	John Paul Thompson, FCI USA, Inc.	Yuki Yagyū, Denso Corporation
Takumi Shiomi, Denso Corporation	Hans-Peter Tranitz, Continental Automotive GmbH	
Pawel Slusarczyk, APTIV	Juergen Turnsek, HAERTER	
Bhanu Sood, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center	Frank Uibel, Uibel Consulting	
	Jose Maria Vallez Ramos, Webasto Roof & Components SE	

1. Figures 1-1, 1-2, 3-1, 4-1; 4-3, 4-4, 4-5, 4-7, 4-8, 4-9, 4-10, 4-11 are © EPT Guglhoer GmbH, used by permission.

Table of Contents

1 Scope	1	2.1 IPC Documents	6
1.1 Purpose	1	2.2 Joint Industry Documents	7
1.2 Classification	1	2.3 JEDEC	7
1.3 Measurement Units	1	2.4 International Electrotechnical Commission Documents	7
1.3.1 Verification of Dimensions	1	2.5 ASTM	7
1.4 Definition of Requirements	1	2.6 Automotive Industry Action Group Documents	7
1.4.1 Acceptance Criteria	2	2.7 International Organization for Standardization (ISO)	7
1.5 Process Control Requirements	3	3 REQUIREMENTS	7
1.6 Order of Precedence	3	3.1 Compliant Press-Fit Pin Requirements	7
1.6.1 Conflict	3	3.1.1 Cleanliness Requirements	7
1.6.2 Appendices	3	3.1.2 Design Requirements	7
1.7 Use of “Lead”	3	3.1.3 Compliant Press-Fit Pin Contact Finish Requirements	8
1.8 Abbreviations and Acronyms	3	3.2 Requirements for Printed Boards for Press-Fit Technology	8
1.9 Terms and Definitions	3	3.2.1 Printed Circuit Board Design Requirements	8
1.9.1 Compliant Press-Fit Pin Connection	3	3.2.2 Material Requirements	9
1.9.2 Compliant Press-Fit Pin	3	3.2.3 Surface Finish	9
1.9.3 Compliant Press-Fit Zone	3	3.2.4 Printed Board Requirements for Qualification and Test of Compliant Press-Fit Zones	9
1.9.4 Contact Area	4	4 TESTS	9
1.9.5 Deposition of Surface Finishes	4	4.1 General	9
1.9.6 Pad Lifting	4	4.2 Unassembled Tests – Test Group A	12
1.9.7 Pad Bulging	4	4.2.1 Examination of Pin and Test-Printed Board Dimensions – A1	12
1.9.8 Laminate Buckling	4	4.2.2 Optical Inspection of Surface Condition – A2	12
1.9.9 Whitening	4	4.2.3 Adhesion Test – A3	12
1.9.10 Intimate Metal to Metal Connection	4	4.2.4 Spring-Force Measurement – A4	13
1.9.11 Jet-Effect Deformation j	4	4.2.5 Cross Sections of Pins and Printed Board – A5 ..	13
1.9.12 Pin Shaft	4	4.3 Assembled Tests – Test Group B to F	14
1.9.13 Pin Neck	4	4.3.1 Push-In and Measurement of Push-In Force – B1, C1, D1, E1, F1	14
1.9.14 Pin Shoulder	4	4.3.2 Storage – B2, C2, D2, E2	14
1.9.15 Compliant Press-Fit Zone Tip	4	4.3.3 Optical Inspection on Protrusion and Insertion Side – B3, C3+C6, D3+D10, E3+E7	14
1.9.16 Strip and Strip Thickness	4	4.3.4 Contact Resistance – B4, C4+C7, D4+D11, E4+E8	14
1.9.17 Push-In Force	4	4.3.5 Push-Out and Measurement of Push-Out Force – B5, C8, D12, E9	15
1.9.18 Push-Out Force	5	4.3.6 Transverse and Longitudinal Cross-Sections – B6, C9, D13, E10	15
1.9.19 Pull-Out Force	5	4.4 Environmental Tests – Test Groups C, D, E	17
1.9.20 Push-Through Force	5	4.4.1 Temperature Cycle – C5, D5, E5	17
1.9.21 Electrical Clearance	5	4.4.2 Climatic Sequence – D6	17
1.9.22 User	5	4.4.3 High Temperature Storage – D7	17
1.9.23 Manufacturer (Assembler)	5	4.4.4 Flowing Mixed Gas Corrosion Test – D8	17
1.9.24 Supplier	6		
1.10 Additional Requirements	6		
1.10.1 Requirements Flowdown	6		
1.10.2 Personnel Proficiency	6		
1.11 Inspection Methodology	6		
1.11.1 Lighting	6		
1.11.2 Magnification Aids	6		
2 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	6		

4.4.5 Vibration Test – E6 18

4.5 Whisker Test 18

4.5.1 2000 H Storage – E2 18

5 Acceptance Criteria 19

5.1 Test Group A 19

5.1.1 Adhesion 19

5.2 Acceptance Criteria for Test Groups B-E 20

5.2.1 Optical Inspection 20

5.2.2 Cross-Sectional Criteria 28

5.3 Mechanical 36

5.3.1 Push-In Force 36

5.3.2 Push-Out Force 36

5.4 Electrical 37

5.4.1 Contact Resistance 37

Appendix A Abbreviations and Acronyms 38

Appendix B Requirements for Aerospace Applications 39

Figures

Figure 1-1 Schematic of Longitudinal (a) and Transverse (b) Cross-Section of a Compliant Press-Fit Connection. 4

Figure 1-2 Examples of Interface Contacts, Compliant Press-Fit Pin and Plated-Through Hole. 5

Figure 4-1 Elasticity Test Tool with Compliant Press-Fit Pin on the Left and Closed Tool on the Right (drawing is not to scale). 13

Figure 4-2 Typical Spring Force Diagrams and A Corrective Curve. 13

Figure 4-3 Regions of Interest for Visual Inspection of a Press-Fit Joint 14

Figure 4-4 Test Setup for the 4-Point Resistance Measurement 14

Figure 4-5 Test Setup for the Push-Out Test 15

Figure 4-6 Schematic Force Versus Distance/ Displacement Graph Obtained in A Push-Out Test 15

Figure 4-7 Indication of Plane for Transverse Cross-Section 15

Figure 4-8 Indication of Planes for Longitudinal Cross-Sections 16

Figure 4-9 Lateral PTH Deformation a and Remaining Metallization b Cross-Sections 16

Figure 4-10 Remaining Metallization b, Deformation of Inner Layers (Jet Effect) j and Whitening of Printed Board w 16

Figure 4-11 Cracks in Circles 17

Figure 5-1 19

Figure 5-2 19

Figure 5-3 19

Figure 5-4 20

Figure 5-5 20

Figure 5-6 21

Figure 5-7 21

Figure 5-8 21

Figure 5-9 22

Figure 5-10 22

Figure 5-11 Cracking of Solder Resist 22

Figure 5-12 23

Figure 5-13 23

Figure 5-14 Indications of Delamination (Whitening) 23

Figure 5-15 24

Figure 5-16 24

Figure 5-17 24

Figure 5-18 25

Figure 5-19 25

Figure 5-20 25

Figure 5-21 26

Figure 5-22 27

Figure 5-23 27

Figure 5-24 27

Figure 5-25 28

Figure 5-26 28

Figure 5-27 $j = 125 \mu\text{m}$ 28

Figure 5-28 29

Figure 5-29 29

Figure 5-30 29

Figure 5-31 30

Figure 5-32 31

Figure 5-33 31

Figure 5-34 31

Figure 5-35 32

Figure 5-36 32

Figure 5-37 33

Figure 5-38 33

Figure 5-39 34

Figure 5-40 34

Figure 5-41 35

Figure 5-42 35

Figure 5-43 35

Tables

Table 3-1 Examples of Common Press-Fit Applications 7

Table 4-1 Test Sequence 10

Table 4-2 Qualification Tests Required for Initial Qualification and Changes in Production 12

Table 4-3 Test Conditions for Temperature Cycle 17

Table 5-1 Whisker Documentation 26

Table 5-2 Typical Minimum Push-Out Forces for Compliant Press-Fit Zone of Different Material Thickness Pertaining to Nominal Hole Sizes 36

Press-Fit Standard for Automotive Requirements and Other High-Reliability Applications

1 Scope This standard prescribes practices for the characterization, qualification and acceptance requirements of compliant press-fit technology for printed boards that cover the manufacturability and reliability needs for high reliability applications intended for use in harsh environments such as automotive and aerospace.

Additional requirements for aerospace applications in Appendix B may apply.

1.1 Purpose This standard prescribes practices for the characterization, qualification and acceptance requirements of compliant press-fit technology for printed boards.

Standards may be updated at any time, including with the use of amendments. The use of an amendment or newer revision is not automatically required. The revision in effect **shall** be as specified by the user.

1.2 Classification

CLASS 1 General Electronic Products

Includes products suitable for applications where the major requirement is function of the completed assembly.

CLASS 2 Dedicated Service Electronic Products

Includes products where continued performance and extended life is required, and for which uninterrupted service is desired but not critical. Typically, the end-use environment would not cause failures.

CLASS 3 High Performance/Harsh Environment Electronic Products

Includes products where continued high performance or performance-on-demand is critical, equipment downtime cannot be tolerated, end-use environment may be uncommonly harsh, and the equipment must function when required, such as life support or other critical systems.

All requirements in this standard pertain to IPC Class 3. When this standard is contractually required, IPC Class 3 requirements **shall** be adopted for all other contractually required standards.

1.3 Measurement Units This standard uses International System of Units (SI units) per ASTM SI10, IEEE/ASTM SI 10, Section 3 [Imperial English equivalent units are in brackets for convenience]. The SI units used in this standard are millimeters (mm) [in] for dimensions and dimensional tolerances, Celsius (°C) [°F] for temperature and temperature tolerances, grams (g) [oz] for weight, and lumens (lm) [footcandles] for illuminance.

Note: This standard uses other SI prefixes (ASTM SI10, Section 3.2) to eliminate leading zeroes (for example, 0.0012 mm becomes 1.2 µm) or as an alternative to powers-of-ten (3.6 x 10³ mm becomes 3.6 m).

1.3.1 Verification of Dimensions When an inspection is done on an assembly, measuring dimensions and determining percentages listed in the standard are not required unless there is a doubt or a question is raised about the acceptance of the product. When there is a doubt or a question is raised, then a referee determination should be implemented, at which time measurements should be made or percentages calculated using the referee magnifications defined in the Standard. For determining conformance to the specifications in this standard, round all observed or calculated values “to the nearest unit” in the last right-hand digit used in expressing the specification limit, in accordance with the rounding method of ASTM Practice E29. For example, specifications of 2.5 mm max, 2.50 mm max, or 2.500 mm max, round the measured value to the nearest 0.1 mm, 0.01 mm, or 0.001 mm, respectively, and then compare to the specification number cited.

1.4 Definition of Requirements The words “**shall**” or “**shall not**” are used in the text of this document wherever there is a requirement for materials, preparation, process control or acceptance.

The word “should” reflects recommendations and is used to reflect general industry practices and procedures for guidance only.

Line drawings and illustrations are depicted herein to assist in the interpretation of the written requirements of this standard. The text takes precedence over the figures.