



BSI Standards Publication

Nanotechnologies — Nano- and micro- scale scratch testing

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of CEN/TS 17629:2021.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee NTI/1, Nanotechnologies.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This publication is not to be regarded as a British Standard.

© The British Standards Institution 2021
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2021

ISBN 978 0 539 15660 7

ICS 07.120

Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 June 2021.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

ICS 07.120

English Version

Nanotechnologies - Nano- and micro- scale scratch testing

Nanotechnologies - Essais de rayure aux
échelles nano- et micro métriques

Nanotechnologien - Nano- und Mikro-Ritzprüfung

This Technical Specification (CEN/TS) was approved by CEN on 9 May 2021 for provisional application.

The period of validity of this CEN/TS is limited initially to three years. After two years the members of CEN will be requested to submit their comments, particularly on the question whether the CEN/TS can be converted into a European Standard.

CEN members are required to announce the existence of this CEN/TS in the same way as for an EN and to make the CEN/TS available promptly at national level in an appropriate form. It is permissible to keep conflicting national standards in force (in parallel to the CEN/TS) until the final decision about the possible conversion of the CEN/TS into an EN is reached.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Contents

Page

European foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	6
2 Normative references	6
3 Terms and definitions	6
4 Symbols and abbreviations	8
5 Principle	9
5.1 General	9
5.2 Friction	9
5.3 Factors influencing the critical forces	10
5.3.1 General	10
5.3.2 Probe radius	10
5.3.3 Scan speed and loading rate	11
5.3.4 Roughness	11
5.4 Multiple pass testing	11
6 Apparatus and materials	13
6.1 Apparatus	13
6.1.1 General	13
6.1.2 Generation and control of motion	13
6.1.3 Holding test-pieces	14
6.1.4 Application of normal force	14
6.1.5 Tangential force measurement	14
6.1.6 Post-test evaluation of damage	14
6.2 Probes	15
6.2.1 Geometry	15
6.2.2 Probe evaluation	16
6.2.3 Periodic assessment of changes to probe	16
6.2.4 Probe material	16
6.2.5 Probe cleaning	16
6.3 Test environment	16
6.3.1 Temperature	16
6.3.2 Humidity	17
6.3.3 Atmosphere	17
7 Preparation of test-pieces	17
7.1 Roughness	17
7.2 Test-piece cleaning	17
8 Test procedures	18
8.1 General	18
8.2 Zero-point determination	18
8.3 Test force	19
8.4 Test profiles	19
8.4.1 General	19
8.4.2 Pre-scan	19
8.4.3 Post-scan	19
8.4.4 Hold periods	19
8.5 Test procedures	19
8.5.1 General	19
8.5.2 Procedure A: Single pass with constant force	20
8.5.3 Procedure B: Single pass ramping force	21
8.5.4 Procedure C: Multiple pass with constant force	22
8.5.5 Procedure D: Multiple pass ramping force	24

9	Analysis of results	25
9.1	General.....	25
9.2	Single pass ramping force.....	25
9.2.1	Assignment of the critical force.....	25
9.2.2	Interpretation of a 3-pass scratch test topographical profiles.....	25
9.2.3	Analysis of damage for a 3-pass scratch test.....	27
9.3	Single pass constant force.....	28
9.4	Multi-pass ramping force.....	28
9.5	Multi-pass constant force.....	28
10	Test reproducibility, repeatability and limits	29
11	Test report	30
Annex A (normative) Procedures for determination of probe area function or radius function		31
Bibliography		36

European foreword

This document (CEN/TS 17629:2021) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 352 “Nanotechnologies”, the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

According to the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organisations of the following countries are bound to announce this Technical Specification: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

Introduction

The test procedure is intended to complement other standards which are concerned with the scratch resistance of materials. This procedure extends the use of the nano- and micro- single pass scratch test to bulk and coated materials, additionally covering the use of multiple pass nano- and micro-scratch tests.

The method described is not intended to be used to define how particles are released from a surface under this type of damage.

Several measurement techniques are described, according to the following procedures:

— Constant force scratch test

Single movement of a normally loaded probe (constant force) onto a test piece; friction force and displacement of the probe (relative to the test piece) are measured along the scratch path.

— Ramped force scratch test

Single movement of a progressively normally loaded probe (ramped force) onto a test piece; friction force and displacement of the probe (relative to the test piece) are measured along the scratch path.

— Multi-pass unidirectional constant force scratch test

Repeated movement of a normally loaded probe (constant force) onto a test piece, following the same track; the variation in friction force and displacement of the probe (relative to the piece test) are measured along the scratch path. First introduced by Bull and Rickerby [1], this test is also called “nanowear” when used in the nano scratch range and provides information regarding the fatigue behaviour of the test piece as an effective low cycle fatigue test.

— Progressive force “3-scan” scratch test

Three repetitive unidirectional movement of a normally loaded probe onto a test piece, along the same track. The first movement of the probe is carried out at constant force (low force) and performed as a topography scan of a non-scratched test piece surface. The second movement of the probe is achieved with a progressively increased normal force onto the test piece (from low to high forces). The third movement of the probe is similar to the first movement, at low force, to acquire a topography of the scratch carried out in the test piece. This test is also called “scratch topography multi-pass test” and was first reported by Wu and co-workers [2], [3], which enables identification of failure mechanisms and provides more details regarding the impact of stress such as the critical force for onset of non-elastic deformation and the yield pressure (estimated from mean pressure at critical force).

1 Scope

This document specifies a method for measuring the scratch resistance and failure behaviour for advanced materials and coatings by means of nano- and micro- scale scratch experiments. The method provides data on both the physical damage to test-pieces and the friction generated between the probe and the test-piece under single pass and multiple pass conditions. The force range in these tests is from 1 μN up to 2 N.

The test method is not applicable to coatings as defined in EN ISO 4618 [18].

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1 nanoscale

size range between approximately 1 nm and 100 nm

Note 1 to entry: Properties that are not extrapolations from a larger size are predominately exhibited in this size range.

Note 2 to entry: The lower limit in this definition (approximately 1 nm) is introduced to avoid single and small groups of atoms from being designated as nano-objects or elements of nanostructures, which might be implied by the absence of a lower limit.

Note 3 to entry: EN ISO 14577-1 defines nano range for indentation depth as less than 200 nm and has a force criterion for tests in the micro range.

[SOURCE: CEN ISO/TS 80004-1:2015, 2.1 [17], modified]

3.2 microscale

size range between 100 nm and 100 μm

3.3 topographical profiling

scans carried out for topographical profiling sequence (e.g. 3-pass scratch test: pre-scanning and post-scanning under minimal force), the purpose of which is to measure the topographical profile of the surface before and after the scratch test

Note 1 to entry: The load of the scan should be kept to a minimum to avoid plastic deformation.

Note 2 to entry: Scans have to move in the same direction to avoid uncertainties in displacement recording and scanning movements have to be longer than scratching ones to cover the starting- and ending part of the scratch and providing undeformed areas for checking instrument drift. The force during the scanning movements shall be low enough to ensure that any deformation is elastic.

Note 3 to entry: The probe radius needs to be small enough to give sufficient resolution for the analysis of the profile of the surface.