



BSI Standards Publication

Space product assurance — Software process assessment and improvement

Part 1: Framework

National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of CEN/CLC/TR 17602-80-11:2021.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee ACE/68, Space systems and operations.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This publication is not to be regarded as a British Standard.

© The British Standards Institution 2021
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2021

ISBN 978 0 539 17799 2

ICS 49.140; 35.240.99

Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 30 November 2021.

Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

TECHNICAL REPORT
RAPPORT TECHNIQUE
TECHNISCHER BERICHT

**CEN/CLC/TR 17602-80-
11**

October 2021

ICS 49.140; 35.240.99

English version

**Space product assurance - Software process assessment
and improvement - Part 1: Framework**

Assurance produit des projets spatiaux - Evaluation et
amélioration des processus logiciel - Partie 1:
Principes

Raumfahrtproduktsicherung - Software -
Prozessüberprüfung und -verbesserung - Teil 1:
Grundstruktur

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 13 September 2021. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

Table of contents

European Foreword	8
Introduction	9
1 Scope	11
2 References	12
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms	14
3.1 Terms from other documents.....	14
3.2 Terms specific to the present document	14
3.3 Abbreviated terms.....	20
4 Organisation and purpose	22
4.1 Organization of this handbook	22
4.2 Relation to Standards	22
4.2.1 Relation versus the ECSS family.....	22
4.2.1.1 Relation to software engineering.....	22
4.2.1.2 Relation to software product assurance.....	22
4.2.1.3 Relation to project management	23
4.2.2 Relation versus ISO/IEC	24
4.3 S4S process assessment and improvement overview	25
4.3.1 S4S architecture	25
4.3.2 S4S assessment purposes.....	26
4.4 Use of other schemes and standards.....	26
5 S4S process assessment model	27
5.1 Introduction.....	27
5.2 S4S process dimension	28
5.3 The capability dimension	32
5.3.1 General.....	32
5.3.1.1 Capability level	32
5.3.1.2 Process attributes and rating scale	34
5.3.2 Level 0: Incomplete process.....	36
5.3.3 Level 1: Performed process	36
5.3.3.1 PA 1.1 Process performance attribute	36

5.3.4	Level 2: Managed process	36
5.3.4.1	PA 2.1 Performance management attribute	36
5.3.4.2	PA 2.2 Work product management attribute	37
5.3.5	Level 3: Established process	37
5.3.5.1	PA 3.1 Process definition attribute	37
5.3.5.2	PA 3.2 Process deployment attribute	37
5.3.6	Level 4: Predictable process	38
5.3.6.1	PA 4.1 Process measurement attribute	38
5.3.6.2	PA 4.2 Process control attribute	38
5.3.7	Level 5: Optimizing process	39
5.3.7.1	PA 5.1 Process innovation attribute	39
5.3.7.2	PA 5.2 Process optimization attribute	39
6	Process assessment method	40
6.1	Introduction	40
6.2	Assessment process definition	41
6.2.1	Introduction	41
6.2.2	Assessment process	43
6.2.2.1	Assessment initiation	43
6.2.2.2	Assessment planning	47
6.2.2.3	Briefing (recommended activities)	50
6.2.2.4	Data acquisition	50
6.2.2.5	Data validation	52
6.2.2.6	Process rating	53
6.2.2.7	Assessment reporting and recording	54
6.2.2.8	Inputs to the risk management process (recommended activity)	57
6.2.3	Assessment actors and roles	60
6.2.3.1	Introduction	60
6.2.3.2	Assessment sponsor (AS)	60
6.2.3.3	Local assessment coordinator (LAC)	61
6.2.3.4	Assessment team leader (ATL)	61
6.2.3.5	Other assessors in the Assessment Team (AT)	62
6.2.3.6	Technical specialists (AT)	62
6.2.3.7	Observers	62
6.2.3.8	Assessment participants (AP)	62
6.2.3.9	Organizational unit (OU)	63
6.3	Assessment process guidance	63
6.3.1	Introduction	63
6.3.2	Selection of assessment purpose	63
6.3.3	Assessment guidance for capability determination	64
6.3.3.1	Introduction	64
6.3.3.2	Assessment purpose (INI 3)	64
6.3.3.3	Selecting the assessment team (INI 7)	64
6.3.3.4	Assessment scope (INI 10)	64
6.3.3.5	Data and data validation criteria (INI 11)	67
6.3.3.6	Defining ownership and responsibilities for assessment outputs (INI 11)	67
6.3.3.7	Mapping the OU to the S4S model (INI 12)	67
6.3.3.8	Selecting participants (INI 13)	67
6.3.3.9	Assessment schedule (PLN 1)	68
6.3.3.10	Assessment data collection (PLN 3)	68
6.3.3.11	Verify conformance to requirements (PLN 5)	69

6.3.3.12	Briefing (BRF 1 and BRF 2)	69
6.3.3.13	Data collection (DAT 1)	69
6.3.3.14	Rating of process attributes (PRT 2)	69
6.3.3.15	Process profiles (PRT 3)	70
6.3.3.16	Assessment instruments	70
6.3.3.17	Act on results	71
6.3.4	Assessment for process improvement	71
6.3.4.1	Introduction	71
6.3.4.2	Assessment scope (INI 10)	71
6.3.4.3	Data acquisition (DAT 1 and DAT 2)	71
6.3.4.4	Process rating (PRT 1)	71
6.3.5	Assessment for ECSS conformance	72
6.3.5.1	Introduction	72
6.3.5.2	Planning for mandatory base practices (PLN 2)	72
6.3.5.3	Data acquisition (DAT 1 and DAT 2)	73
6.3.5.4	Process rating (PRT 1)	73
6.3.5.5	Act on results	73
6.4	Competency of assessors	73
6.4.1	Introduction	73
6.4.2	Gaining competency	74
6.4.2.1	General	74
6.4.2.2	Key relationships	74
6.4.2.3	Levels of competency	75
6.4.3	Maintaining competency	75
6.4.4	Verification of competency	75
6.4.5	Assessor competence instructions	76
6.4.6	Assessor experience instructions	77
7	Process improvement	78
7.1	Introduction	78
7.2	Process improvement cycle	79
7.2.1	Introduction	79
7.2.2	Process improvement process	80
7.2.2.1	Examine the organization's needs and business goals Role: (TM), IPM	80
7.2.2.2	Initiate process improvement	81
7.2.2.3	Prepare for and conduct a process assessment Role: (see subclause 6.2)	83
7.2.2.4	Analyse assessment output and derive action plan(s)	84
7.2.2.5	Implement improvements Role: (SPIG)	89
7.2.2.6	Confirm improvements Role: (IPM), IPL, SPIG, OU, AT	90
7.2.2.7	Sustain improvements Role: (TM), OU	90
7.2.2.8	Monitor performance Role: (IPM), OU	91
7.2.2.9	Management of the process improvement project Role: (IPM), IPL	91
7.2.3	Roles and responsibilities	91
7.2.3.1	Top management (TM)	91
7.2.3.2	Improvement programme manager (IPM)	92
7.2.3.3	Improvement project leader (IPL)	92
7.2.3.4	Software process improvement group (SPIG) members	93
7.2.3.5	Process owners (PO)	93
7.2.3.6	(Staff of the) Organizational unit (OU)	93

7.2.3.7	Assessment team (AT).....	93
7.3	Special considerations for the success of process improvement.....	94
7.3.1	Ensuring the ongoing commitment of management.....	94
7.3.2	Values, attitudes and behaviour	94
7.3.3	Short term benefits.....	95
7.3.4	Collection of baseline data	95
7.3.5	Information policy	95
7.3.6	Select and use pilot projects	95
7.3.7	Incremental implementation	95
7.3.8	Training, mentoring, coaching	96
7.3.9	Communication and teamwork.....	96
7.3.10	Recognition.....	97
7.4	Software process improvement failure factors	97
7.4.1	Exclusive top-down or bottom-up improvement.....	97
7.4.2	Unsuitable pilot project.....	97
7.4.3	Confining to training	97
7.4.4	Confining to CASE tools.....	97
7.4.5	Confining to capability levels	98
7.4.6	Too many promises.....	98
7.4.7	Late impact	98
7.5	Recognition of process improvement.....	98
7.5.1	Introduction	98
7.5.2	The process improvement cycle.....	99
7.5.2.1	General.....	99
7.5.2.2	Initiate process improvement	99
7.5.2.3	Prepare for and conduct a process assessment.....	99
7.5.2.4	Analyse assessment output and derive action plan(s).....	99
7.5.2.5	Implement improvements	99
7.5.2.6	Confirm improvements	100
7.5.2.7	Sustain improvements.....	100
7.5.2.8	Review improvement programme	100
7.5.2.9	Management of the process improvement project.....	100
8	Recognition of assessment schemes and results.....	101
8.1	Introduction.....	101
8.2	Recognition of assessment schemes.....	101
8.2.1	General.....	101
8.2.2	Recognition of the use of S4S.....	101
8.2.3	Recognition of other schemes	101
8.2.3.2	Process assessment model scope.....	101
8.2.3.3	Process assessment model indicators.....	102
8.2.3.4	Mapping process assessment models to process reference models	102
8.2.3.5	Recognition of assessment methods	102

8.3	Recognition of S4S results.....	105
Annex A Examples of target profiles (informative)		106
A.1	General.....	106
A.2	Rationale behind target profiles	106
A.3	Use of target profiles in verifying the capability of software projects or supplier organisations	109
Annex B Recommendations for the content of SW process assessment outputs		110
B.1	Assessment plan	110
B.2	Assessment report.....	115
B.3	Assessor record.....	120
Annex C Bibliography		122

Figures

Figure 1	SW life cycle processes in ECSS Standards (ECSS-E-ST-40C and ECSS-Q-ST-80C)	24
Figure 2	Relationship between assessment indicators and process capability.	35
Figure 3	S4S process assessment purposes	40
Figure 4	Process diagram notation.....	41
Figure 5	The assessment process definition	42
Figure 6	Assessment initiation.....	44
Figure 7	Assessment planning	48
Figure 8	Data acquisition.....	51
Figure 9	Data validation	52
Figure 10	Process rating	53
Figure 11	Reporting	55
Figure 12	Inputs to the risk management process	58
Figure 13	Use of target and actual profiles.....	58
Figure 14	Sample assessment schedule.....	68
Figure 15	Example of process profile	70
Figure 16	Demonstration and validation of assessor's competency [ISO/IEC 15504].....	74
Figure 17	Basic organization of a certification scheme.....	76
Figure 18	Process improvement cycle.....	78
Figure 19	Improvement cycle	80
Figure 20	Initiate process improvement.....	81
Figure 21	Analyse assessment output and derive action plan(s).....	84

Figure 22	Suggested target profile according to software criticality	108
-----------	--	-----

Tables

Table 2	S4S set of processes	29
Table 3	Process description components.....	31
Table 4	Capability levels and process attributes	34
Table 5	Sample target capability	65
Table 6	Establishing a target profile	65
Table 7	Example of assessor competence requirements	76
Table 8	Typical improvement cycle time-scale	83
Table A-1	: Proposed target profile	106

European Foreword

This document (CEN/CLC/TR 17602-80-11:2021) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5 "Space", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

It is highlighted that this technical report does not contain any requirement but only collection of data or descriptions and guidelines about how to organize and perform the work in support of EN 16602-80.

This Technical report (CEN/CLC/TR 17602-80-11:2021) originates from ECSS-Q-HB-80-02 Part 1A.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and has therefore precedence over any TR covering the same scope but with a wider domain of applicability (e.g.: aerospace).

Introduction

This handbook provides a framework for the assessment and improvement of software processes for the European space industry and organizations, whether customers or suppliers.

The framework presented in this handbook is called SPiCE for Space (S4S). As its name already mentions, S4S is based on SPICE (Software Process Capability dEtermination), a major international initiative to support the development of ISO/IEC 15504. In turn, ISO/IEC 15504 provides a common internationally recognized framework for the terminology and reference process assessment description.

The process assessment and improvement standardization efforts within the SPICE project have tried to be as general as possible, to be applicable to all domains, including the space domain. The space software development processes are not substantially different from software processes in some other application domains (e.g. defence, public transport), therefore S4S uses the material provided in ISO/IEC 15504 'as is' as much as possible.

The major benefits of using a standardized approach to process assessment and improvement are that it can:

- lead to a common understanding of the use of process assessment for process improvement and capability determination;
- facilitate capability determination in procurement;
- contribute to increase the efficiency and competitiveness of an organization
- be controlled and regularly reviewed in the light of experience of use;
- be changed and improved only by international consensus;
- encourage harmonization of existing schemes

Nevertheless, a number of requirements were identified from the ECSS Standards which appear to be unique, or which are of particular importance for space software processes. All space requirements not adequately represented within the ISO/IEC 15504 process assessment model were addressed and incorporated into S4S.

This Handbook provides the instruments and information to determine the level of process performance and capability, to improve the software processes identifying the changes or additions that should be done, and to ensure that all ECSS requirements are met for a given project. ECSS Standards impose requirements on processes but do not constitute process descriptions themselves.

S4S can be used by an organization to:

- understand the state of its own processes for process improvement;
- determine the suitability of its own processes for a particular requirement or class of requirements;
- determine the suitability of another organization's processes for a particular contract or class of contracts;
- improve weaknesses of organization's processes.

As such, this handbook should be of interest to quality managers, project managers, or software process improvement managers of companies and organizations currently within the space domain or who wish to enter the space domain.

S4S allows managers to focus on particular areas for process improvement.

Organisations performing their own internal assessments can choose to assess a single project, a business unit, or the entire organization, as deemed appropriate. The assessment responsible can select which processes to assess up to which capability level.

Organisations willing to improve their overall quality require using a proven, consistent and reliable method for assessing the state of their processes. They need also the means to use the results as part of a coherent improvement programme. The use of process assessment and improvement within an entire organization enables:

- a culture of constant improvement and the establishment of proper mechanisms to support and maintain that culture;
- the definition and implementation of processes to meet business goals;
- to better control resources, cost and schedule;
- to increase the quality of products and processes;
- to increase the efficiency and competitiveness of an organization.

Customers can also benefit from the use of process assessment and improvement. Its use as means for capability determination or in a conformance assessment can:

- reduce uncertainties in selecting suppliers of software by enabling the risks associated with the supplier's capability to be identified before contract award;
- enable appropriate controls to be put in place for risk containment;
- provide a quantified basis for choice in balancing business needs, requirements and estimated project cost against the capability of competing suppliers.

1

Scope

This handbook defines methods for process assessment and improvement that may be used to meet the requirements on process assessment and improvement of the ECSS-Q-ST-80C subclause 5.7. These methods constitute a clear and proven way of implementing those requirements. Alternative methods can be used provided that they meet the detailed instructions provided in this handbook for recognition of software process assessment schemes and results and process improvement.

This handbook provides a detailed method for the implementation of the requirements of the ECSS-Q-ST-80C for software process assessment and improvement. It also establishes detailed instructions for alternative methods intended to meet the same ECSS-Q-ST-80C requirements.

The process assessment and improvement scheme presented in this handbook is based on and conformant to the ISO/IEC 15504 International Standard. In designing this process assessment and improvement scheme the ISO/IEC 15504 exemplar process assessment model was adopted and extended to address ECSS specific requirements.

The methods provided in this handbook can support organizations in meeting their business goals and in this context they can be tailored to suit their specific needs and requirements. However when used to claim compliance with relevant requirements in ECSS-Q-ST-80C only the steps and activities explicitly marked as recommended in this handbook may be omitted or modified.