

ANSI/IES LP-13-21



**Illuminating**  
ENGINEERING SOCIETY

# LIGHTING PRACTICE: INTRODUCTION TO RESILIENT LIGHTING SYSTEMS

AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD



[www.ies.org](http://www.ies.org)



**ANSI/IES LP-13-21**

**LIGHTING PRACTICE:  
INTRODUCTION TO RESILIENT LIGHTING SYSTEMS  
AN AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD**

Publication of this document  
has been approved by IES.  
Suggestions for revisions  
should be directed to IES.

**Prepared for IES  
By the Resilience Committee**



*Copyright 2021 by the Illuminating Engineering Society.*

*Approved by the IES Standards Committee, August 8, 2021, as a Transaction of the Illuminating Engineering Society.*

*Approved November 2, 2021, as an American National Standard.*

*All rights reserved.* No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in any electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without prior written permission of the IES.

Published by the Illuminating Engineering Society, 120 Wall Street, New York, New York 10005.

IES Standards are developed through committee consensus and produced by the IES Office in New York. Careful attention is given to style and accuracy. If any errors are noted in this document, please forward them to Brian Liebel, Director of Standards, at [standards@ies.org](mailto:standards@ies.org) or the above address for verification and correction. The IES welcomes and urges feedback and comments.

Printed in the United States of America.

ISBN# 978-0-87995-412-3

---

## DISCLAIMER

IES publications are developed through the consensus standards development process approved by the American National Standards Institute. This process brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve consensus on lighting recommendations. While the IES administers the process and establishes policies and procedures to promote fairness in the development of consensus, it makes no guaranty or warranty as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein.

The IES disclaims liability for any injury to persons or property or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, or reliance on this document.

In issuing and making this document available, the IES is not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity. Nor is the IES undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances.

The IES has no power, nor does it undertake, to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. Nor does the IES list, certify, test or inspect products, designs, or installations for compliance with this document. Any certification or statement of compliance with the requirements of this document shall not be attributable to the IES and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

## AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

Approval of an American National Standard requires verification by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made toward their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether that person has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation to any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretations should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

CAUTION NOTICE: This American National Standard may be revised at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard no later than five years from the date of approval. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

**Prepared by the IES Resilience Committee.**

**Nicola D. Ferzacca, *Chair***

**Carla Bukalski, *Vice Chair***

**Members**

P. R. Chavdarian

T. K. McGowan

A. B. Thornton

R. Gibbons

T. W. Patten

---

# CONTENTS

<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction and Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1	Introduction .....	1
1.2	Scope .....	1
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
2.1	Resiliency .....	1
2.2	Other Terms .....	2
<b>3.0</b>	<b>The Role of Lighting in Resilient Buildings and Infrastructure</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Programming for Resilient Lighting</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Sustaining Disruptive Events</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Lighting for Continuing Operations</b> .....	<b>4</b>
5.1.1	What Needs to Be Lighted? .....	4
5.1.2	Illumination Levels .....	4
5.1.3	Safe Rooms .....	4
5.1.4	Passive Survivability .....	4
5.1.5	Power .....	5
<b>5.2</b>	<b>System Hardening</b> .....	<b>6</b>
5.2.1	Flooding .....	6
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Resilient Lighting for Homeowners</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Future Directions – Uses for Resilient Lighting Systems Beyond Illumination</b> .....	<b>9</b>
7.1	Smart Street Lighting and Signaling .....	9
7.2	Lighting as a Platform for Communications .....	9
7.3	Radiant Energy for Disinfection .....	9
	<b>Annex A – Other Resources</b> .....	<b>10</b>
	<b>Annex B – NEMA Ratings and IP Equivalency</b> .....	<b>10</b>
	<b>References</b> .....	<b>13</b>



## 1.0 Introduction and Scope

---

### 1.1 Introduction

Every year, buildings and infrastructure are subjected to severely disruptive events caused by natural disasters and human-made intentional attacks. These events create significant disruptions and economic damages in addition to unfortunate injury and loss of life. According to the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, there were 22 separate billion-dollar extreme weather events across the United States in 2020 resulting in \$95 billion of damages.<sup>1</sup> To reduce the impacts of these disasters, communities, building owners, institutions, public agencies, and others are looking to enhance the resilience of buildings, infrastructure, and communities overall.

For the purpose of this document, *resilient design* is defined as the ability to prepare and plan for, absorb, recover from, and more successfully adapt to adverse events.<sup>2</sup> Emergency planning is not a new undertaking. However, with such high-profile events as Hurricane Katrina, Superstorm Sandy, and the COVID-19 pandemic, focused efforts to understand how the built environment can incorporate resiliency into homes, buildings, and infrastructure is a distinct goal. The purpose of this Lighting Practice document (LP) is to introduce the concept of resilient design and explain how lighting systems can support the goals of enhancing the resilience of buildings. The intent is to provide guidance on lighting performance, controls, and the characteristics of lighting equipment for resilient buildings.

### 1.2 Scope

The scope of this LP does not include emergency lighting for the purpose of evacuation and marking of egress paths, since building codes already dictate these needs. In addition, this document is not intended for the development of emergency plans or courses of action during extreme events. For example, determining when to evacuate a building or whether to shelter in place is determined by local authorities.

## 2.0 Definitions

---

### 2.1 Resiliency

The term *resiliency* is often used to describe the broad goal of community resilience, which is concerned with addressing functionality of services across a community. Buildings and infrastructure play critical roles in the community; therefore, it is critical that the design of resilient buildings (resilient design), take into consideration community-wide goals. On the other hand, building owners and institutions may have resiliency goals independent of community needs, which will also need to be considered by designers.

Current building codes focus on life safety and dictate how buildings should sustain acute events such as fires and earthquakes, while facilitating building evacuation. The ways that buildings anticipate, adapt, and function through sustained disruptive events may have common approaches to building evacuation, but the goals are distinct from those of evacuation. For example, there is industry consensus and, through model codes and standards such as the *International Building Code* and the U.S. *National Electric Code*, guidance for egress lighting (see **Section 3.0 The Role of Lighting in Resilient Buildings and Infrastructure**).

However, if adverse events require occupants to shelter in place, are there minimal building functions that require lighting? At what levels? For how long? Furthermore, while there are ways to “harden” systems to sustain adverse events, do all systems need to be hardened? Resilient design attempts to address these questions. The broad implication of the phrase *adverse events* can not only encompass a range of natural disasters from tornados to floods, but can also include human-made events that compromise building systems and energy service, such as terrorist attacks and cyber hacking. Planning for and adapting to these widely differing adverse events can result in different and potentially conflicting solutions. For example, planning for flood conditions typically dictates raising critical systems above flood elevations, whereas planning for tornados may encompass storm shelters that are in basements or below grade.