



AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

**Quantities and procedures for description and  
measurement of environmental sound — Part 4:  
noise assessment and prediction of long-term  
community response**

**Secretariat:**

**Acoustical Society of America**

**Approved on December 16, 2021:**

**American National Standards Institute, Inc.**

**Abstract**

This Standard specifies methods to assess environmental sounds and to predict the annoyance response of communities to long-term noise from any and all types of environmental sounds produced by one or more distinct or distributed sound sources. The sound sources may be separate or in various combinations. Application of the method of the Standard is limited to areas where people reside and related long-term land uses. This Standard does not address the effects of intrusive sound on people in areas of short-term use such as parks and wilderness areas, nor does it address other effects of noise such as sleep disturbance or health effects. This Standard does not provide a method to predict the community response to short-term, infrequent, non-repetitive sources of sound.

## AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARDS ON ACOUSTICS

The Acoustical Society of America (ASA) provides the Secretariat for Accredited Standards Committees S1 on Acoustics, S2 on Mechanical Vibration and Shock, S3 on Bioacoustics, and S12 on Noise. These committees have wide representation from the technical community (manufacturers, consumers, trade associations, organizations with a general interest, and government representatives). The standards are published by the Acoustical Society of America as American National Standards after approval by their respective Standards Committees and the American National Standards Institute (ANSI).

These standards are developed and published as a public service to provide standards useful to the public, industry, and consumers, and to Federal, State, and local governments.

Each of the accredited Standards Committees [operating in accordance with procedures approved by American National Standards Institute (ANSI)] is responsible for developing, voting upon, and maintaining or revising its own Standards. The ASA Standards Secretariat administers Committee organization and activity and provides liaison between the Accredited Standards Committees and ANSI. After the Standards have been produced and adopted by the Accredited Standards Committees, and approved as American National Standards by ANSI, the ASA Standards Secretariat arranges for their publication and distribution.

An American National Standard implies a consensus of those substantially concerned with its scope and provisions. Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered and that a concerted effort be made towards their resolution.

The use of an American National Standard is completely voluntary. Their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he or she has approved the Standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the Standards.

NOTICE: This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken periodically to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this Standard.



Acoustical Society of America  
Standards Secretariat  
1305 Walt Whitman Road, Suite 300  
Melville, New York 11747  
Telephone: +1 (516) 576-2341  
E-mail: [standards@acousticalsociety.org](mailto:standards@acousticalsociety.org)

© 2021 by Acoustical Society of America. This standard may not be reproduced in whole or in part in any form for sale, promotion, or any commercial purpose, or any purpose not falling within the provisions of the U.S. Copyright Act of 1976, without prior written permission of the publisher. For permission, address a request to the Standards Secretariat of the Acoustical Society of America.

# Contents

1	Scope .....	1
2	Normative references .....	2
3	Terms and definitions .....	2
4	Descriptors for environmental sounds.....	4
4.1	Single-event sounds.....	4
4.2	Continuous sounds .....	5
4.3	Repetitive single-event sounds .....	5
5	Sound measurement locations.....	5
6	Adjustments for background sound.....	6
6.1	General.....	6
6.2	Specific requirements.....	6
7	Method to assess environmental sound of a specific source type or in combination .....	6
7.1	General environmental sounds .....	6
7.2	Adjustments to general environmental sound .....	7
7.3	Sound Level Adjustments .....	8
8	Reporting assessments of environmental sounds and prediction of long-term community annoyance response .....	12
8.1	Use of A-weighted sound exposure and day-night average sound level.....	12
8.2	Assessment of environmental sounds .....	12
8.3	Prediction of long-term annoyance response of communities .....	12
8.4	Reporting.....	13
Annex A	.....	14
A.1	Introduction .....	14
A.2	Mathematical development .....	15
A.3	Background sound adjustment situations .....	15
Annex B	.....	18
B.1	Introduction .....	18
B.2	Fundamental descriptor .....	18
B.3	Measurement .....	18
B.4	Calculation of adjusted sound exposure level for high-energy impulsive sounds from C-weighted sound exposure level .....	18
B.5	Calculation of adjusted sound exposure level from C-weighted sound exposure level.....	18
B.6	Calculation of adjusted sound exposure level from C-weighted sound exposure .....	19
B.7	Use of adjusted sound exposure .....	20
Annex C	.....	21
Annex D	.....	22
D.1	Introduction .....	22
D.2	Analysis factors .....	22
D.3	Descriptor.....	23
D.4	Noise-induced rattles .....	24

Annex E .....	25
Annex F .....	26
F.1 Introduction.....	26
F.2 Basic relationships .....	28
F.3 Functional form of effective loudness function.....	28
F.4 Relationship between A and CTL.....	29
F.5 References .....	29
Annex G (informative) Estimated prevalence of a population highly annoyed using the CTL formulation and the 95 % prediction interval, both as functions of adjusted day-evening-night and day-night sound level 31	
G.1 The dose-response functions and their 95% prediction intervals.....	31
G.2 Aircraft noise .....	31
G.3 Road-traffic noise.....	34
G.4 Railroad noise .....	36
G.5 Qualifications to the dose-response functions .....	38
G.6 Source of 10 dB adjustment for rural areas .....	39
Annex H.....	40
H.1 Introduction .....	40
H.2 Complaint criteria .....	40
H.3 Complaint risk prediction.....	41
Annex I .....	42
I.1 Introduction .....	42
I.2 The method.....	42
Annex J Bibliography.....	46

## Figures

Figure I.1 – Equal loudness level contours in phons from ISO 226-1987. The non-shaded area shows the frequency range where, approximately, a 10-dB change in sound pressure level corresponds to a 10-dB change in phon level. At low frequencies this relationship does not occur. For example, at 31 Hz, a 10-dB change in sound pressure level corresponds to about a 20-dB change in phon level.....	44
Figure I.2 — Generalized house TL for windows open on the order of 5 cm. ....	44

## Tables

Table 1 – Relation between sound exposure level and sound exposure for a constant sound level of 60 dB.....	4
Table 2 – Adjustment factors and level adjustments for assessment of all types of environmental sounds.....	10

Table G.1 – Prevalence of high annoyance and the corresponding 95% prediction intervals as functions of DNL for aircraft noise implementing approximately a 5 dB penalty compared to road-traffic.... 33

Table G.2 – Prevalence of high annoyance and the corresponding 95 % prediction intervals as functions of DNL for road-traffic noise (based on Normative Reference ISO 1996-1:2016).....35

Table H.1 – Complaint Risk Criteria. .... 41

## Foreword

[This Foreword is for information only and is not a part of the American National Standard ASA/ANSI S12.9-2021/Part 4 (revision of ANSI/ASA S12.9-2005/Part 4 (R2020)) American National Standard Quantities and procedures for description and measurement of environmental sound — Part 4: noise assessment and prediction of long-term community response.]

This standard comprises a part of a group of definitions, standards, and specifications for use in noise. It was developed and approved by Accredited Standards Committee S12 Noise, under its approved operating procedures. Those procedures have been accredited by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). The Scope of Accredited Standards Committee S12 is as follows:

*Standards, specifications, and terminology in the field of acoustical noise pertaining to methods of measurement, evaluation, and control; including biological safety, tolerance, and comfort, and physical acoustics as related to environmental and occupational noise.*

This standard is a revision of ASA/ANSI S12.9-1996/Part 4, which has been technically revised. The changes in this edition harmonize with the new material added to ISO 1996-1:2003. This includes a minor change to high-energy impulse noise assessment (less than 1 dB) so that it is totally in sync with ISO. Second, as appropriate, ISO assessment adjustments have been included. Also, some new cautionary notes from ISO are added to the estimation of “highly annoyed” as notes to the informative annex. A new Annex G addresses complaints in the limited situation of high-energy impulsive noise.

The current edition of ISO 1996-1:2003 actually began as the text of ASA/ANSI S12.9 – 1996/Part 4. However, the ISO standard was substantially revised during the WG and committee deliberations. For example, ISO recognizes the more general Day-Evening-Night Sound Level in contrast to S12’s Day-Night Sound Level. Nighttime hours are not given in ISO because they vary from country to country. The terms “background” sound and “ambient” sound are NOT used in ISO because they have diametrically opposed meanings in different countries and regions. There are many other differences of this nature. ISO uses “rating” sound level; ANSI uses “adjusted” sound level, etc.

At the time this Standard was submitted to Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise for approval, the membership was as follows:

Douglas Winker, *Chair*  
Derrick Knight, *Vice-Chair*

Nancy Blair-DeLeon, *Secretary*

<b>3M Personal Safety Division</b> .....	Cameron Fackler
<b>Acoustical Society of America</b> .....	Robert D. Hellweg Richard McKinley (Alt.)
<b>Air-Conditioning, Heating and Refrigeration Institute</b> .....	Stephen J. Lind
<b>American Academy of Audiology</b> .....	Todd Ricketts Jason Galster (Alt.)
<b>American Clean Power Association</b> .....	Mark Bastasch Hillary Clark (Alt.)
<b>American Speech-Language-Hearing Association</b> .....	Laura A. Wilber

<b>Caterpillar, Inc.</b> .....	Clinton Metzger Charles Crowell (Alt.)
<b>Council for Accreditation in Occupational Hearing Conservation</b> .....	Charles Moritz
<b>Cummins, Inc.</b> .....	Shashikant More
<b>Department of Defense – Hearing Center of Excellence</b> .....	Douglas Brungart Robert Williams (Alt.)
<b>Emerson Electric – Copeland Corporation</b> .....	Ali T. Herfat Miles Strand (Alt.)
<b>ESI Group</b> .....	Chadwyck Musser Bryce Gardner (Alt.)
<b>ETS – Lindgren Acoustic Systems</b> .....	Douglas F. Winker Michael Black (Alt.)
<b>ExxonMobil</b> .....	Noel Hart
<b>General Motors</b> .....	Douglas B. Moore
<b>Gentex Corporation</b> .....	Brian Fowler Jacob Chaloux (Alt.)
<b>Honeywell Safety Products</b> .....	Tomas Chvatal
<b>Information Technology Industry Council</b> .....	Willem M. Beltman Stephanie Barrett (Alt.)
<b>Institute of Noise Control Engineering</b> .....	Jack Zyburra Robert O. Rasmussen (Alt.)
<b>International Safety Equipment Association</b> .....	Cristine Z. Fargo Mackenzie Peters (Alt.)
<b>Josephson Engineering, Inc.</b> .....	David L. Josephson
<b>National Council of Acoustical Consultants</b> .....	Bennett M. Brooks
<b>National Hearing Conservation Association</b> .....	Laurie Wells
<b>National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health</b> .....	William J. Murphy Edward Zechmann (Alt.)
<b>Noise Control Engineering, Inc.</b> .....	Allan Beaudry Jesse Spence (Alt.)
<b>Owens Corning</b> .....	Corey Taylor
<b>Power Tool Institute, Inc.</b> .....	William D. Spencer Mark Hickok (Alt.)
<b>U.S. Air Force</b> .....	Richard L. McKinley Hilary Gallagher (Alt.)
<b>U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Laboratory</b> .....	William A. Ahroon
<b>U.S. Army Construction Engineering Research Laboratory</b> .....	Michael J. White Michelle Swearingen (Alt.)

**U.S. Army Public Health Command** .....William Whiteford  
 ..... Charles Jokel (Alt.)  
 ..... John Merkley (Alt.)  
**U.S. Department of Transportation**.....Rhonda Solomon  
 ..... Chris Roof (Alt.)  
**U.S. Naval Surface Warfare Center – Carderock** ..... Alexey Titovich  
 ..... Jason Smoker (Alt.)  
**Université du Québec ETS** ..... Jeremie Voix  
 ..... Rachel Bouserhal (Alt.)

Individual Experts of Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise, were:

Bennett M. Brooks	David Lubman	Jeff Schmitt
Lawrence Finegold	Richard McKinley	Paul D. Schomer
Robert D. Hellweg	David Michaud	Laura A. Wilber
Arnold Konheim	Matt Nobile	
Stephen Lind		

Working Group S12/WG 15, Measurement and Evaluation of Outdoor Community Noise, which assisted Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise, in the development of this standard, had the following membership.

Paul D. Schomer, *Chair*

Mark Bastasch	George Hessler, Jr.	Karl Pearsons
Les Blomberg	Dick Horonjeff	Erica Ryherd
Bennett Brooks	Ken Kaliski	Erik Sloth
Gilles Daigle	Stephen Lind	Barbara Tabachnik
Sanford Fidell	George Luz	Nancy Timmerman
Lawrence Finegold	Vince Mestre	Kristjan Varnik
Mireille Guay	David Michaud	Drew Wetzel
Kaveh Habibi	Nick Miller	Jiping Zhang
Aaron Hastings	Larry Pater	
Robert Hellweg, Jr.		

Suggestions for improvements to this standard will be welcomed. They should be sent to Accredited Standards Committee S12, Noise, in care of the Standards Secretariat of the Acoustical Society of America, 1305 Walt Whitman Road, Suite 300, Melville, New York 11747. Telephone: + 1 (516) 576-2341; E-mail: [standards@acousticalsociety.org](mailto:standards@acousticalsociety.org).

## Introduction

**0.1** Part 1 of ANSI S12.9 defines day-night average sound level and other descriptors of community noise. Part 2 of ANSI S12.9 describes measurement procedures. ANSI S12.9/Part 5 provides a recommended relation between long-term usages of land and day-night average sound level for purposes of long-term land-use planning. Since the early 1970s, many agencies within the United States of America have used day-night average sound level as the fundamental descriptor to predict the community response to environmental sounds.

**0.2** The 1978 seminal paper by T.J. Schultz demonstrated the efficacy of day-night average sound level for predicting the annoyance response of a community as a result of noise from highway traffic, railroad, aircraft, and some industrial sites. Implementation of the concept of day-night average sound level for prediction of community response often combined the sound exposures from such sources.

**0.3** Day-night average sound level has been used to predict the annoyance response of communities to types of noises that were not included in the Schultz database for the relation between the percentage of a population expressing high annoyance and the corresponding day-night average sound level. These additional types of noises include sounds with special characteristics, such as impulsiveness, dominant pure tones, rapid onset, and strong low-frequency content.

**0.4** Technical reports and articles published in refereed engineering and scientific journals demonstrated that the community response to these sounds may be predicted, provided suitable adjustments are applied. A practical procedure to apply these adjustments is provided by this Standard.

**0.5** This version of ASA/ANSI S12.9 Part 4 introduces community tolerance level (Citations (General) Fidell *et al.* 2011 and (General) Schomer *et al.* 2012) as a systematic way to obtain the needed adjustments in a variety of situations, primarily with respect to transportation noise sources. The community tolerance level model accounts for changes in noise-induced annoyance based on loudness. As such, it provides a causal-based explanation for the growth in high noise annoyance over a range of day-night average sound pressure levels common to noise sources that fall within the scope of the current Standard.

NOTE 1 The method is applied to wind turbine noise in (General) Michaud *et al.* 2016.

**0.6** The application of source-based adjustments to the measured/modelled sound pressure levels are most suitable when the purpose is to predict community annoyance. For situations where activity interference is the major concern, use of adjusted day-night average sound level or adjusted total day-night sound exposure may not be appropriate. For example, day-night average sound level without additional adjustments may be a better predictor of speech interference than adjusted day-night average sound level. Descriptors such as maximum A-weighted sound level, time-above, or speech interference level may be even more appropriate for predicting speech interference.



## American National Standard

# Quantities and procedures for description and measurement of environmental sound — Part 4: noise assessment and prediction of long-term community response

## 1 Scope

**1.1** This Standard specifies methods to assess environmental sounds and to predict the potential annoyance response of a community to outdoor long-term noise from any and all types of environmental sounds from one or more discrete or distributed sound sources. The sound sources may be separate or in various combinations. Application of the prediction method is limited to areas where people reside and to related long-term land uses.

NOTE 1 The long-term period is typically one year. However, the user of this Standard can employ these methods for shorter periods of time, but they should report this change and not attempt to predict percent highly annoyed using Clause 8.3 or Annex F, since the Annex F data all represent long-term situations.

**1.2** This Standard describes adjustments for sounds that have special characteristics so that the long-term community response to such sounds can be predicted by a method that is based on day-night average sound level or total day-night sound exposure. Sounds, such as from highway traffic, are evaluated directly by sound exposure or sound level without adjustment. The prediction method is directly analogous to the use of day-night average sound level to predict the response of a community to general environmental sounds.

**1.3** This Standard does not address the effects of short-term exposure of people to intrusive sounds in locations such as parks and wilderness areas. The Standard also does not address other effects of noise such as sleep disturbance or health effects. This Standard does not provide a method to predict the response of a community to short-term, infrequent, non-repetitive sources of sound.

**1.4** This Standard introduces the application of new descriptors: adjusted sound exposure and adjusted sound exposure level. The new descriptors are closely related to sound exposure and sound exposure level, respectively. The new descriptors are introduced to facilitate the prediction of the response of communities to the wide range of outdoor sounds covered by the scope of the Standard.

**1.5** The sounds are assessed either singly or in combination, allowing for consideration, when necessary, of the special characteristics of impulsiveness, tonality, onset rate, and low-frequency content. In the same manner as sound exposure and sound exposure level are used to generate total day-night sound exposure or total day-night average sound level, adjusted sound exposure or adjusted sound exposure level are used to generate adjusted total day-night sound exposure or adjusted day-night average sound level.

**1.6** Annoyance is not the only possible measure of community response. One frequently cited measure is numbers of complaints, sometimes normalized to numbers of inhabitants. Complaints can be particularly relevant near factories and plants, by airports and military installations, etc. Complaints do not correlate well with long-term average metrics such as DNL (see Citations (General) Hume *et al.* 2005 and (General) U.S. GAO 2000 for example). Unfortunately, in general, metrics to predict the likelihood and prevalence of complaints do not yet exist with sufficient accuracy. One notable exception