



BSI Standards Publication

## Space product assurance — Worst case analysis

---

## National foreword

This Published Document is the UK implementation of CEN/TR 17602-30-01:2021.

The UK participation in its preparation was entrusted to Technical Committee ACE/68, Space systems and operations.

A list of organizations represented on this committee can be obtained on request to its committee manager.

### Contractual and legal considerations

This publication has been prepared in good faith, however no representation, warranty, assurance or undertaking (express or implied) is or will be made, and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted by BSI in relation to the adequacy, accuracy, completeness or reasonableness of this publication. All and any such responsibility and liability is expressly disclaimed to the full extent permitted by the law.

This publication is provided as is, and is to be used at the recipient's own risk.

The recipient is advised to consider seeking professional guidance with respect to its use of this publication.

This publication is not intended to constitute a contract. Users are responsible for its correct application.

This publication is not to be regarded as a British Standard.

© The British Standards Institution 2022  
Published by BSI Standards Limited 2022

ISBN 978 0 539 18730 4

ICS 49.140

### **Compliance with a Published Document cannot confer immunity from legal obligations.**

This Published Document was published under the authority of the Standards Policy and Strategy Committee on 31 January 2022.

### Amendments/corrigenda issued since publication

Date	Text affected
------	---------------

---

ICS 49.140

English version

## Space product assurance - Worst case analysis

Assurance produit des projets spatiaux - Analyse pire  
cas

RaumfahrtProduktsicherung - Worst-Case-Analysis

This Technical Report was approved by CEN on 22 November 2021. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.



**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre:  
Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels**

# Table of contents

---

<b>European Foreword</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>2 References</b> .....	<b>6</b>
<b>3 Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms</b> .....	<b>7</b>
3.1 Terms from other documents.....	7
3.2 Terms specific to the present document .....	7
3.2.1 ambient temperature .....	7
3.2.2 biased variation value .....	7
3.2.3 component parameters .....	7
3.2.4 component specification.....	7
3.2.5 design lifetime .....	7
3.2.6 effective ageing data .....	7
3.2.7 lifetime assumed in database.....	7
3.2.8 radiation .....	7
3.2.9 random variation value.....	8
3.2.10 reference condition.....	8
3.2.11 temperature assumed in database .....	8
3.2.12 variation factors.....	8
3.2.13 worst case.....	8
3.2.14 worst case analysis (WCA) .....	8
3.2.15 functional block .....	8
3.3 Abbreviated terms.....	8
<b>4 General methodology</b> .....	<b>10</b>
4.1 Introduction.....	10
4.2 Flow diagram of WCA.....	10
4.3 Identification of the critical aspects w.r.t. worst case performance .....	12
4.4 Evaluation of worst case performance .....	12
4.5 Comparison of WCA with requirements .....	13
<b>5 Analysis parameters and technical issues</b> .....	<b>14</b>

5.1	Definition of worst case parameters within parts database.....	14
5.1.1	Variation factors .....	14
5.1.2	Summary on deviations.....	18
5.2	Phase and timing considerations within the WCA.....	19
5.2.1	Introduction .....	19
5.2.2	Timing of transient pulses .....	19
5.3	Numerical analysis techniques.....	19
5.3.1	Approach .....	19
5.3.2	Extreme value analysis .....	20
5.3.3	Extreme value analysis combined approach .....	20
5.3.4	Root-sum-squared analysis.....	20
5.3.5	Monte Carlo analysis.....	20
<b>6</b>	<b>WCA and project phases .....</b>	<b>22</b>

## Figures

Figure 4-1:	Flow diagram of WCA .....	11
-------------	---------------------------	----

## Tables

Table 5-1:	Deviations and attributes summary.....	18
Table 5-2:	Numerical techniques and value summary .....	21

## European Foreword

---

This document (CEN/TR 17602-30-01:2021) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5 “Space”, the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

It is highlighted that this technical report does not contain any requirement but only collection of data or descriptions and guidelines about how to organize and perform the work in support of EN 16602-30.

This Technical report (CEN/TR 17602-30-01:2021) originates from ECSS-Q-HB-30-01A.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and has therefore precedence over any TR covering the same scope but with a wider domain of applicability (e.g.: aerospace).

# 1

## Scope

---

This handbook provides guidelines to perform the worst case analysis. It applies to all electrical and electronic equipment. This worst case analysis (WCA) method can also be applied at subsystem level to justify electrical interface specifications and design margins for equipment. It applies to all project phases where electrical interface requirements are established and circuit design is carried out.

The worst case analysis is generally carried out when designing the circuit. For selected circuitry, worst case analysis (WCA) can be used to validate a conceptual design approach.