

# EP27

## Constructing and Interpreting an Error Grid for Quantitative Measurement Procedures

This guideline provides recommendations on constructing and using error grids to evaluate the clinical acceptability of quantitative measurement procedures, based on the potential harm that may be caused by erroneous measurements with clinical consequences.

A guideline for global application developed through the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process.

# Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

*Setting the standard for quality in medical laboratory testing around the world.*

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) is a not-for-profit membership organization that brings together the varied perspectives and expertise of the worldwide laboratory community for the advancement of a common cause: to foster excellence in laboratory medicine by developing and implementing medical laboratory standards and guidelines that help laboratories fulfill their responsibilities with efficiency, effectiveness, and global applicability.

## **Consensus Process**

Consensus—the substantial agreement by materially affected, competent, and interested parties—is core to the development of all CLSI documents. It does not always connote unanimous agreement but does mean that the participants in the development of a consensus document have considered and resolved all relevant objections and accept the resulting agreement.

## **Commenting on Documents**

CLSI documents undergo periodic evaluation and modification to keep pace with advances in technologies, procedures, methods, and protocols affecting the laboratory or health care.

CLSI's consensus process depends on experts who volunteer to serve as contributing authors and/or as participants in the reviewing and commenting process. At the end of each comment period, the committee that developed the document is obligated to review all comments, respond in writing to all substantive comments, and revise the draft document as appropriate.

Comments on published CLSI documents are equally essential and may be submitted by anyone, at any time, on any document. All comments are managed according to the consensus process by a committee of experts.

## **Appeal Process**

When it is believed that an objection has not been adequately considered and responded to, the process for appeal, documented in the CLSI *Standards Development Policies and Processes*, is followed.

All comments and responses submitted on draft and published documents are retained on file at CLSI and are available upon request.

## **Get Involved—Volunteer!**

Do you use CLSI documents in your workplace? Do you see room for improvement? Would you like to get involved in the revision process? Or maybe you see a need to develop a new document for an emerging technology? CLSI wants to hear from you. We are always looking for volunteers. By donating your time and talents to improve the standards that affect your own work, you will play an active role in improving public health across the globe.

For additional information on committee participation or to submit comments, contact CLSI.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute

P: +1.610.688.0100

F: +1.610.688.0700

[www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org)

[standard@clsi.org](mailto:standard@clsi.org)

---

# Constructing and Interpreting an Error Grid for Quantitative Measurement Procedures

Anthony Killeen, MD, PhD  
Paula Ladwig, MS, MT(ASCP)  
Nicholas Burn, BAsC, MSc  
David Alter, MD  
Jeffrey R. Budd, PhD  
Paula V. Caposino, MA, PhD  
Janel Huang

Adil Khan, MSc, PhD  
Marina V. Kondratovich, PhD  
Jeffrey E. Vaks, PhD  
Anca Roxana Varlan, PhD  
Hubert W. Vesper, PhD  
Clarke Xu, PhD

## Abstract

---

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guideline EP27—*Constructing and Interpreting an Error Grid for Quantitative Measurement Procedures* explains the function of an error grid, illustrates the concept with examples, and provides recommendations on constructing and using one. For a given measurand, error grids characterize the relationship between measurement errors and clinical management errors that may harm patients. This guideline covers the process of creating error grids with multiple error zones and describes the two primary options for doing so. After error grids have been constructed, they can be populated with data from a measurement procedure comparison experiment, as described in this guideline. A candidate measurement procedure's clinical performance is evaluated by visually comparing the experimental data points with the error grid and tabulating the number of points that fall within each error zone. This guideline includes an example experiment that demonstrates proper interpretation of an error grid plot and its tabulated results.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Constructing and Interpreting an Error Grid for Quantitative Measurement Procedures*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline EP27 (ISBN 978-1-68440-152-9 [Print]; ISBN 978-1-68440-153-6 [Electronic]). Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, USA, 2022.

The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute consensus process, which is the mechanism for moving a document through two or more levels of review by the health care community, is an ongoing process. Users should expect revised editions of any given document. Because rapid changes in technology may affect the procedures, methods, and protocols in a standard or guideline, users should replace outdated editions with the current editions of CLSI documents. Current editions are listed in the CLSI catalog and posted on our website at [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org).

**If you or your organization is not a member and would like to become one, or to request a copy of the catalog, contact us at:**

**P:** +1.610.688.0100 **F:** +1.610.688.0700 **E:** [customerservice@cls.org](mailto:customerservice@cls.org) **W:** [www.clsi.org](http://www.clsi.org)

Copyright ©2022 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Except as stated below, any reproduction of content from a CLSI copyrighted standard, guideline, derivative product, or other material requires express written consent from CLSI. All rights reserved. Interested parties may send permission requests to [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

CLSI hereby grants permission to each individual member or purchaser to make a single reproduction of this publication for use in its laboratory procedures manual at a single site. To request permission to use this publication in any other manner, e-mail [permissions@clsi.org](mailto:permissions@clsi.org).

## Suggested Citation

CLSI. *Constructing and Interpreting an Error Grid for Quantitative Measurement Procedures*. 2nd ed. CLSI guideline EP27. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2022.

### Previous Edition:

September 2012

EP27-Ed2

ISBN 978-1-68440-152-9 (Print)

ISBN 978-1-68440-153-6 (Electronic)

ISSN 1558-6502 (Print)

ISSN 2162-2914 (Electronic)

Volume 42, Number 15

.....

## Committee Membership

### Consensus Council

The Consensus Council sets priorities for CLSI standards development and votes on Final Draft documents to confirm that process requirements have been met. Consensus Council members are listed on the CLSI website: <https://clsi.org/standards-development/consensus-council/>

### Document Development Committee on Error Grids for Quantitative Assays

**Anthony Killeen, MD, PhD**  
**Chairholder**  
**University of Minnesota**  
**USA**

Paula V. Caposino, MA, PhD  
 FDA Center for Devices and  
 Radiological Health  
 USA

Hubert W. Vesper, PhD  
 Centers for Disease Control and  
 Prevention  
 USA

**Paula Ladwig, MS, MT(ASCP)**  
**Vice-Chairholder**  
**Mayo Clinic**  
**USA**

Adil Khan, MSc, PhD  
 Temple University Hospital - Parkinson  
 Pavilion  
 USA

Clarke Xu, PhD  
 Instrumentation Laboratory -  
 Representing AACC  
 USA

**Nicholas Burn, BSc, MSc**  
**Committee Secretary**  
**Abbott Point of Care**  
**Canada**

Jeffrey E. Vaks, PhD  
 Roche Molecular Diagnostics  
 USA

David Alter, MD  
 Emory University  
 USA

Anca Roxana Varlan, PhD  
 Epocal, a Siemens Healthineers  
 Company  
 Canada

### Expert Panel on Evaluation Protocols

Expert Panel volunteers support the development of CLSI documents by providing technical expertise in specialty areas. Expert Panel members are listed by area of expertise on the CLSI website: <https://clsi.org/standards-development/expert-panels/>

### Staff

Clinical and Laboratory Standards  
 Institute  
 USA

Laura Martin  
*Editorial Manager*

Kristy L. Leirer, MS  
*Editor*

Emily J. Gomez, MS,  
 MLS(ASCP)<sup>CM</sup>MB<sup>CM</sup>  
*Project Manager*

Catherine E.M. Jenkins, ELS  
*Editor*

Lisa M.W. Walker, MS, ELS  
*Editor*

## Acknowledgment

---

CLSI, the Consensus Council, and the Document Development Committee on Error Grids for Quantitative Assays gratefully acknowledge the following volunteers for their important contributions to the revision of this guideline:

Jeffrey R. Budd, PhD  
USA

Janel Huang  
USA

Marina V. Kondratovich, PhD  
FDA Center for Devices and  
Radiological Health  
USA

# Contents

Abstract .....	i
Committee Membership .....	iii
Foreword .....	vii
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Scope .....	2
1.2 Background .....	2
1.3 Standard Precautions .....	6
1.4 Terminology .....	6
<b>Chapter 2: Basic Concepts and Procedure .....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Overview .....	10
2.2 Candidate Measurement Procedure .....	13
2.3 Comparative Measurement Procedure .....	13
2.4 Calibration and Quality Control .....	14
2.5 The Zones .....	14
2.6 Considerations for Zone Placement .....	15
2.7 Sources of Information on Clinical Requirements for Zones .....	16
2.8 Placement of the Zones .....	17
2.9 Goals or Acceptance Criteria .....	20
2.10 Evaluation of a Candidate Measurement Procedure .....	20
<b>Chapter 3: Conclusion .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Chapter 4: Supplemental Information .....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>References</b> .....	28
<b>Additional Resources</b> .....	30
<b>Appendix A.</b> Consensus Approach to Constructing Error Grids .....	31
<b>Appendix B.</b> Literature-based Approach to Constructing Error Grids .....	35
<b>Appendix C.</b> Calculating 95% Confidence Intervals .....	38
<b>The Quality Management System Approach</b> .....	40



# Foreword

Error grids are a widely accepted tool for visualizing a measurement procedure’s clinical acceptability. Most commonly, they have been used to evaluate blood glucose monitor performance, but they can also be used to evaluate other measurement procedures. As explained in this guideline, error grids illustrate the clinical consequences of measurement errors, as quantified by differences in results between a candidate measurement procedure and a comparative measurement procedure.

This guideline provides recommendations on constructing an error grid based on the likely clinical effect associated with measurement errors. It also explains how to estimate the proportions of results that are likely to fall within each error grid zone. Additionally, this guideline provides direction on using an error grid to evaluate a candidate measurement procedure and provides examples to illustrate these concepts.

## Overview of Changes

This guideline replaces the previous edition of the approved guideline, EP27-A, published in 2012. Several changes were made in this edition, including:

- Adding an initial flow chart that depicts the processes of creating and using an error grid
- Aligning the guidance with CLSI document EP21<sup>1</sup> on allowable total error
- Updating and adding plots to more clearly demonstrate the process of error grid creation
- Adding an example plot from an error grid experiment, including the grid and its data points
- Moving error grid construction examples to appendixes to more closely follow the initial flow chart

**NOTE:** The content of this guideline is supported by the CLSI consensus process and does not necessarily reflect the views of any single individual or organization.

### KEY WORDS

**Allowable total error**

**Error zones**

**Measurement procedure comparison**

**Error grid**

**Limits of erroneous results**



# Chapter ①

## Introduction

# Constructing and Interpreting an Error Grid for Quantitative Measurement Procedures

---

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Scope

This guideline provides recommendations on constructing and using error grids to evaluate the clinical acceptability of quantitative measurement procedures, based on the potential harm that may be caused by erroneous measurements with clinical consequences. This guideline is intended for use by laboratories and manufacturers (collectively referred to as “developers”) and users of quantitative measurement procedures.

### 1.2 Background

An error grid is a graphical tool for interpreting data from an experiment that compares a candidate measurement procedure with a comparative measurement procedure, using the same patient samples. Erroneous measurements can lead to diagnostic or therapeutic errors that harm the patient. A grid of zones is constructed, with each zone corresponding to a different level of severity of harm, and the data points from the measurement procedure comparison are plotted on the grid.

The error grid displays all data points on an X-Y plot. The x-axis represents the comparative measurement procedure, and the y-axis represents the candidate measurement procedure. The x values are defined as true, so any difference between a true value and a y value is regarded as a quantification of measurement error. The plot also includes boundary lines separating zones of observed error at different concentrations into a hierarchy based on their magnitude. Small errors may be tolerated because they pose minimal risk to the patient, whereas large errors are likely to cause patient harm. Thus, limits bound the region of allowable errors, Zone A, which ideally contains most data points (see the green area in Figure 1); another region of unacceptably large errors, Zone C, where there should be no data points (see the red area in Figure 1); and the intermediate region representing moderate errors, Zone B (see the yellow area in Figure 1), where it is acceptable to have a few data points.

The error grid can be used to evaluate the candidate measurement procedure, in terms of both the percentage of results within the desirable zones and the percentage of results with unacceptably large errors. Error grid analysis is most appropriate for measurement procedure comparison experiments that use many patient samples. Testing fewer patient samples provides less confidence in data interpretation, so using an error grid might not be appropriate.